G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIA

19D/-02
(Miraj)

File No. 34 Section

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month March 1908

SUBJECT.

File relating to the case of certain lands belonging to the Basaveswara Swami at Hadaga Village, Jedhalore Taluka.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES

LATER REFERENCES

Inward No. 419 of 1908

Inspection Department

Note submitted for orders

Correspondence ending with the Mysore Deputy Commissioner's Rukkari No 79 dated 9th April 1901,

Re the Inam lands attached to the Veera Bhadra and Basaveswara temples at Hadya in Yedatore Taluk :—

The above temples are Inspection Institutions endowed with Inam lands as noted below :—

Veera Bhadra Temple :—

Survey No		Extent A. G.	Assessment	Amount payable to Government. Jodi	Local cess	Irrigation cess	Water cess	Total
21.	Wet.	4 — 34	23—0—0	10—0—0	3—0—0	3—0—0	38—0—0	= 54
23	"	4 — 25	25—0—0					

Basaveswara Temple :—

5 — 8	25—0—0	3—8—0	3—1—0	3—1—0	37—12—0 = 47—6—0
	24—0—0				

In 1894, one Doddabhatti Veerayya having complained to the Inspection Officer of the Mysore District about the dilapidated condition of these temples, Mr. Ranjumdar Raj Urs, the then District Inspection Officer, directed (a) the Amildar that the door de of the Veera Bhadra swamy temple (in the order he says Rudra swamy temple) should be got repaired by the Pujari, and that a notice should be served upon the Archak of the Basaveswara temple, giving him 3 months' time, and that, should he fail to repair the temple within the specified period, half the proceeds of the Inam lands should be attached and taken possession of by Government.

(a) No 104 dated 18-1-94.

2. The Amildar reported that the Pujaries did not do the repairing work, though notices were duly served upon them. One Hussain Mr. Channigiah Chetty, who has since succeeded

Mr Narijunda Raj Urs as District Magistrate Officer, wrote a Yadi (b) to the Amildar, stating that unless the Pujaris undertook the repairing work, the Inam lands might be placed under attachment and the temples repaired from the sale proceeds of the produce.

3. ~~Award~~ After some correspondence between the Dy Commissioner and the Amildar, the latter finally reported (c) that he had placed the produce of the Inam lands under attachment, in pursuance of the above ~~of~~ orders (of the District Magistrate Officers).

4. Thereupon the villagers and the Pujaris appealed to the First Appellate Assistant Commr one of the appeal grounds being that the Amildar required the Pujaris to do some additional works to the temple and not merely the repairing work. The appeal petition was

formally

formally referred to the Amildar for report.
The Amildar submitted his report, explaining
the circumstances under which the produce
of the Inam lands was placed under attach-
-ment. This report the Gyneral Assistant-
Commissioner submitted to the Deputy Commissioner
with his observations thereon, and the
Dy Commr (d) decided that the produce of the (d) In his Yadi No 93 dated 28-1-96 to the Dy. A. Comr Ucr
Inam lands might be released from attach-
-ment, if the more repairing work could be done,
and that no fresh work need be required to
be done. This order was duly enforced
and the Correspondence between the District
and the Taluk Hues closed.

---

4. On the 17th June 1896, the same petitioner
Doddahatti Veerayya alias Amajutta renewed
his complaint by petitioning to this Office. The (e) Petn No 203 (Vernacular)
petition was referred to the Dy Commissioner for report, (f)

who, in his Yadi no 10 dated 20th August 1896,
replied as follows, annexing a statement of
the Inam lands attached to these temples :—

"These lands are not in the possession
and enjoyment of the Pujaries. They
have given them over for cultivation
to others, receiving madagadaee (5 x 8
x 8) on these lands. There are 9
occupants, whose names are given
below × × × × ×

"A Complaint had been received
(1) that the puja services in these
temples were not being rendered
properly, because the occupants of
the Inam lands were giving the Pujarees
only 10 or 15 Candies of paddy, although
the annual income for the Temples
there (one wet and one dry) was 100 Candies;

"

(2) that the occupants were giving such a small quantity of the whole produce, because they deducted the balance towards recoupment of interest on the Suddy amount advanced by them to the Pujaris. —

On this complaint, the produce of the Inam lands was placed under attachment, but it was since restored to the Pujaris in 1896."

"One Doddahatti Chinnamma presented a Darkhast on the 16th January 1896, offering 100 Kundies. This Darkhast is also placed in the file.

"The repairing work of these temples has not yet been begun.

"Under these circumstances it would be better, if the Inam lands are leased out through Government agency; and, after defraying the

~~Savings~~ ~~withheld~~ credited to the Husbandry Funds for the annual repairs - This arrangement would not only fetch the increased Kuttu amount, but it would also cause the persons that have advanced the Madagada amount to the Rajaru ~~to~~ lose their hak".

"5 influential residents of the village might be appointed as Dharmadarsees to supervise the working of these institutions."

(9)

In this office 38 dated 4 Oct 1896 6. In reply to the above, the Dy Commr was asked to ascertain and report, whether the present occupants of the Inam lands were either willing to give an increased Kuttu or to give up the in question ~~Ahead~~ Before the receipt of the reply, the Mysore Superintendent ~~and~~ wrote to the Dy Commr

(h)

(h)
No 636 dated
14th Nov 1896.

The following Rubkari :—

"The 2 temples were inspected by me on the 26th of last month. Of the two, Veera Bhadraswami temple is in good Condition, but some portions of the Compound wall in the North and the South are in a state of disrepair. I hais the Pujari should be directed to repair this at once."

"Basaweswara temple being of a tiled roof, looks most ugly; and it is also in the most dilapidated Condition and is leaky in all parts. The Amildar should therefore be directed to issue a strict Rukid to the Archak, requiring him to rebuild the temple with brick and chunam; and to sell by public auction the temple's share of the

"Of the Inam Lands, the sale proceeds being
placed under attachment, until the
Archak rebuilds the temple as required
above."

(i)
al nos 6010) 7. In reply to the above the Dy Commissioner reports
this Rubkaris 93-d 5-6 dated June 1897 d Dec: 1898. through Head-Notices were duly served upon the Pujaris they did not do the repairing work. And one
(j)
no 1039. Hence the following Rubkari was sent:—
d 24 th January 1898. Serial no. 11)

"As regards Veera Bhadraswamie Temple:—
An estimate should be prepared
to repair only such of the portions
of the Compound wall as are in
disrepair, and the Pujaris required
to do the work according to this
Estimate; but if he does not do
it within the specified time, only
so much of the quantity of the temple's
share of the produce that would
cover the cost of repairing, should

Ans 27/4/01

"attachment, and the Compound wall repaired out of the sale proceeds. No other action — either to have removed the Archak or to deprive the present accupants ~~of their possession~~ of their possession — is called for in the case of this temple".

"In the case of the other temple Basmeswara :—

The temple's shares of the produce should, as already observed in this Office Purkhasti No 636 dated 14th November 1896, be now sold by public auction, and the result reported to this office. And it should also be ascertained from the Darkhastdars as to what quantity of paddy they offer as Gutta (for ~~o~~ ~~w~~ ~~s~~ ~~w~~ replied.

Shore) on the Inam lands of the

Easwaraswara temple only".

2 to the above, the Dy Commissioner,

(K)
Kulkarni now submitted, states as

follows: —

" The produce of the Inam lands of the Easwaraswara temple has been taken possession of by Govt since the year Durmukhi. Out of the 5 years' produce up to the end of Saswari, 38½ Kundies of paddy for Hevilambi was given over to the Pujari by the cultivators; the produce of the remaining 4 years was sold by public auction for Rs as P.
divisional Sub-Court 931-6-0. Out of this amount Rs 312 be disbursed as noted in the margin, there would be a balance of only Rs 619-6 left-

the Pujari for 4 years at Rs 72 per annum

X

(12) "

left for repairing purposes. But as

the original estimate (to build

the temple with brick and chunam)

amounts to Rs 1166-6-0, the produce

of the Inam lands ~~should~~ has to

continue to be kept in the possession

of Govt for 2 or 3 years more

to make up the

~~in order to make up~~ the full

amount of the estimate. But

if the temple is repaired in its

present state, the repairing work

could be done with Rs 256,

for which an estimate has been

now

~~duly~~ submitted. Sanction is

therefore solicited (1) to disburse

the previous

Rs 288 to the Pujari for 4 years

as noted above and (2) to repair

the temple by roofing with new tiles &

accompanying

as per Estimate for Rs. 256 as

as suggested by the Amildar".

Get the further information required. 28/4/01

27/4/01

Note Continued :—

9. With reference to the above, the Dy Com

his office, was asked to submit information on the

Kacheri No. dated following points.—

June 1901. (Encl no. 13)

i Regarding the repairs to the Veerea —

Bhandara Swamy Temple.

ii Regarding the Kuttu amount payable

by the Darkhastdars, if the Inam lands

of the Basaweswara Temple only, is

leased out.

iii The authority under which

the cultivators of the Inam lands

attached to the Basaweswara Temple,

gave away to the Sujava 38½ Candies

of paddy for the year Hevilambi

in spite of their protestations.

14)

10. In reply to the above, the Dy Commr in his Rukkani no 97/1494 dated 24th June 1904, (Serial no 14) states, —

That the Veera Bhadra Swamy Temple was repaired by the Rajas at his own cost;

That the Inam lands of the Parameswara Temple were given for ½ for 34½ (for Temple's share) Candies for the year Dwemukhi and for 38½ Candies since then;

and that steps are being taken to recover the Gutta paddy for Hemalambi from the Gattadaris (because they had unauthorizedly given over the produce to the Rajas).

11. Now the points for orders are :—

(1) The payment of Rs 288 to the Rajas for 4 years (Rs 500 for 20 - 0 for 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0) at Rs 72 per annum.

(2) The payment of Kundayam Vij Rs 21

due are these Inam lands for 3 F. Vs.
Fasli

(3) Whether to repair the temple by roofing with new tiles at a cost of Rs 256 as suggested by the Amildar and approved by the Dy Commissioner

or

to rebuild the temple with brick and Sarsa Chunam aforesaid at an estimated cost of Rs 1166-6-0 as directed in the Mugrai Secretary Rubkari no 636 dated 14th Nov 1896

(Serial no. 3)

(In the former case there are sufficient temple funds now available under the head "Savings", but in the latter case, funds are still wanting.

And (4) Whether the Inam lands should continue to be leased out year by year by your Honor Govt Agency until temple is thoroughly repaired or rebuilt

Submitted for orders

28/6/01

(11) (16)

As regards the Veerabhadra Swami temple, as the Pujari has executed the necessary repairs as desired by Govt, no action need now be taken against him.

As regards the Virupaksha temple, the building should be put into thorough repair by the Auditor at the estimated cost of Rs. 256, and the Pujari's pay may be paid at Rs 72/- per annum including arrears. A report should be submitted after the completion of the repairs, when orders will be passed as to the restoration or otherwise of the lands to the Pujari.

(Note for future consideration
It is desirable to restore the lands to the Pujari, considering that he has been conducting worship upon them for his own purposes)

9/2/02

Draft passed / including other particulars
13/5/02

Note continued

Orders were

Rubbers 920

1817 dated

14th January 1902.

A

issued by the Deputy Commissioner

(i) that no further action need be taken in the case of the Veerabhadra-swamy Temple;

(ii) that the Enjoin of the Basaveswara temple might, as recommended, be paid Rs 288 for 4 years viz Dasami Vibhuthi, Vikari and Sarvari at Rs 72 a year;

& (iii) that the Kandayam due to Govt for Vikari and Sarvari, might also be paid.

In the matter of repairing the temple, ~~concurrently with the Dy Comm's opinion~~, in accordance with the Dy Comm's opinion, it was deemed sufficient to have the repairs executed at the estimated cost of Rs 256; but before formal orders were passed, sanctioning the Estimate, the Treasury Officer's Certificate was called for, as to the amount available at the credit of the Trusty. Final orders regarding the restoration to the Enjoin of the Inam lands were

sanctioned

(11)

18)

premises to be passed after completion

of the repairs. ~~[illegible]~~

The former tenant was ordered to be

turned out for that year (1901-02)

by public auction.

13. At the ~~further~~ recommendation of the

Dy Comr, however, the former tenants

were allowed to continue to be in the

possession and enjoyment of the lands for that year

on condition of their paying to the temple (1901-02),

the usual quitta of 38½ candies of paddy -

The question of their long enjoyment for

over 30 years having since arisen,

the Dy in actual enjoyment was no 2486

~~[illegible]~~ permitted to continue to dated 10th

be in their possession, pending the June 1903.

receipt of the Dy Comr's opinion in &

the matter of their continuance or otherwise to no 26049

the former tenants. 25th June 1903

B & C

14. The Dy Comr (see Kuntavijayas)

in his present communication no 315

dated 28th may 1904 states

that Rs 91-10-1 and Rs 839-11-11 are in the Savings Bank and Household Funds respectively; that the Estimate for Rs 256 may be formally sanctioned out of this sum; & that out of Rs 288 sanctioned to be paid to the Pujari for 4 years, Rs 231 have already been paid, leaving a balance of Rs 57 Gr:- to be paid.

He recommends the restoration of the lands to the Pujari, after the temple is repaired and their being leased out to the former tenants for 55 Candies of produce under the present Condition

They are now only 38½ Candies.

It is reported that the lands have been still in the possession of the former tenants.

15. The Pujari as well as the villagers have also submitted petitions praying for early orders for the repairs of the under the supervision of the

20)

The Memorandum sent for the release from attachment of the Crown lands.

Submitted with a draft to the Dewan

Mudaliyar Rao
20/7/04

Rs
20/7/04

Recd on 21/7/04 with Gl. No. 23 dt. 25/7/04. Draft approved. All the papers connected herewith returned by mail.

24/7/04

ll
25/7/04

674/25.7.04.

Note continued :—

The draft order (X) approved by the 2nd Councillor and the Dewan was issued in Govt. Pro: No. 558-558(A) dated 2nd August 1904. (X) Flag E

Reference is invited to para 4 of the order wherein ~~it~~ the Dy Commr's recommendation to lease out the lands on payment of 55 Khandies of paddy to the former tenants has been accepted for the

One Chicka Basavia h living in Ulsoor Fort has memorialized His Highness the Maharajah representing that the inam land of the temple referred to in the foregoing paragraphs of this note may in the interests of the inst.n be ordered to be auctioned this year at least & granted to the highest bidder and requesting early orders as the Sowing season has approached. The memorial is in English

Flag F

* This memorial has been transferred with the Private Secy's No 4708 of 15th August 1904, to this department for report.

Submitted Please see separate short report put up herewith.

Initd by

s/ Illegible 20/9/04

Private Secy to Dewan Admn. 20/9/04

Dewan Admn. 20/9/04

No.

Private Secretary's Memorandum No. 470, dated 15th August 1904, calling for a report on the petition of one Chikka Basavanthappa of Hadya in the Yedatore Taluk.

The lands belonging to the Basaveswara temple at Hadya in the Yedatore Taluk had been in the possession and enjoyment of the cultivators Thimma Veerappa and others for several years past, and they were annually paying to the Pujari certain quantity of paddy as gutta. Complaint petition having been received in this office

that the assessment to the land tax, the holdings of the lands was inadequate as compared with their income, the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore Dt. was requested to lease out the lands to the best advantage of the institution. The lands were thereupon leased out to the same tenants for 38 1/2 candies per annum. Subsequently when a higher putta was offered on these lands, the Deputy Commissioner was requested to sell by public auction the right of cultivating the lands and he accordingly secured a putta of 55

Candidate at [illegible] the highest bid. The present petitioner Chuckra Badaraliyah was the highest bidder. Before, however, the sale was confirmed by the Deputy Commissioner, the holders of the lands presented petitions to this Office, representing that the lands which were dry, had been 30 years before converted into wet, that they had to incur heavy expenditure to make the lands fit for wet cultivation and that they had been in their possession and enjoyment for more than 30 years and praying that their possession be not disturbed The Deputy Commissioner,

opinion having been thereupon called for from this office, that Mr. Mr. Hunting (Mr.) recommended in his letter No. 315 dated 28th D Dec, 1904 that in consideration of their long enjoyment, the lands might be leased out to the former tenants alone for 55 rupees of paddy, which was the sum offered at the highest bid. He at the same time reported that even after the sale the lands continued to be in the possession of the former tenants (and had not been given over to the possession of the highest bidder as alleged in the present petition). This

recommendation of the Excise Commissioner was approved by Government for a period of one year and orders were accordingly passed with the approval of the Dewan and the II Councillor, in Government Pro: No. 555-A/Misc. 34-01 dated. 2nd August 1904.

The present Memorial is against this order of Government. The file relating to the subject is summoned. The present tenants have long enjoyment in their favour.

T Ramakrishna Rao
30/9/04

Submitted to the Dewan through the 2nd I.

108
30.9.04.

Submitted to His Highness.

The note of the Surgeon fully explains the merits of the case - which show that the Rt. has no grievance. It is for him what to do with the land next year -

12.X.04

Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

14 10 04
294.

Subject.

Memorial of Chikka Basavalingayya regarding the lease of certain lands belonging to the Basaveswara Swami Temple at Hudya in the Yedatore Taluk.

Order.

Approved

~~KRW~~

26/10/04

orders issued 23.7.04

Record 25/10/04

Draft 25/10/04

Note Continued :-

The petitioner Chikka Basavalingiah was accordingly informed in this Office Endorsement No 1541 dated 2nd Nov 1904, ~~stating that it was observed~~ that he had no real grievance and that it was open to him to bid for the lease the next year -

A petition was received from him in March 1905 on the same subject, and it was forwarded to the Dy Comr, Mysore District for disposal.

In his present petition dated 14th June 1905 Chikkavenkatappa one of the tenants, states that, in accordance with the Dy Comr's recommendation (to Government), he and his cousins were allowed to cultivate the land for the last year for 55 Candies of Sandalwood (which was the highest bid) in consideration of their long enjoyment &c ; that for this and subsequent years' cultivation he applied to the Dy Comr for permitting him to cultivate the land hereditarily at a fixed quitta of

of 55 Candies, which request was accordingly granted by the Dy Comr on the 12th May 1905; but that on the 22nd idem the Auctioneer sold the land under the orders of the Dy Comr, by auction, in which nobody bid except Chikka Basavalingiah, "his enemy", who bid for 56 Candies. He further states that the extent of the land being only about 9¼ acres, the usual rate of the land in question is between 4 to 4½ Candies per acre; and that this fact taken along with the fact that Chikka Basavalingiah alone bid for the land, shows clearly that there is no bona-fide competition for the land. He also represents that he applied to the Dy Comr praying either for permission to cultivate the land for 56 Candies (the present highest bid) or for a fresh sale being held, so that he might have an opportunity to bid; but that he declined to do so -

* order in 211 dated 24th May

In these circumstances he prays that he may be allowed to cultivate the land this year for 56 Candies or that a new sale may be held. He adds that it is a great hardship for

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

and his cousins to be deprived of the possession of the land, inasmuch because his enemy Chicku Basava-linguiah takes it into his head to offer to pay a higher rent owing to personal enmity. He therefore prays that the land may be given over to them (the petitioners) either permanently for 56 kists or for a long term, or until such time as in the opinion of the local officers the rent may be enhanced on account of the improvement of local circumstances.

He further prays that until the matters contained in his petition are finally disposed of, the land may be allowed to remain with them —

He has enclosed the Ag

Muzrai Secretariat. File No of 190

Camp's order submitted

ks
17/6/05

on which the appeals

There is a certain amount of hardship involved in this case. The Dy Comr appears to have given one order and then changed it to another. Please therefore call for the records, and direct that pending final orders of Govt, the present occupants should not be disturbed in their enjoyment of the lands. Personal ill feeling seems to be at the bottom in this case and the matter has to be disposed of finally one way or the other on the present occasion.

17/6/05.

Issue the draft put up

19/6/05

MGCPB—3.500—15-10.04

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Note continued :—

As directed on page 32 of this note, the Dy Commr was informed that pending final orders, the present occupants should not be disturbed in their enjoyment & that until then 56 Khandis of paddy might be accepted from them.

Letter no C 4770 Muzg Dy 34-01 dated 27th June 1905

In reply, the Dy Commr reports in his letter no 94 dated 4th August 1905 that orders as above have been issued & entered the Sale records. From the records it is observed that as directed in Govt Pro: No 558 A dy 30th July 1904 the temple lands in question which were ordered to be leased out to Chennaviappa at 55 Khandis for one year, and as Chicka Basavalingiah was informed under His Highness' orders that it was open to him to bid for the same on the succeeding year, the lands were sold by auction in person by the Amildar Mr N. Rama Rao

No 1541 2.11.1904

Chamaiyappa, the present appellant appears to have been also present at the sale but that he declined to sign the sale list — although requested to do so the highest bidder was Chikka Basavalingayya who offered 56 Khandies. Upon the recommendation of the Amildar & the Asst Commr, the D. Commr, confirmed the sale to Chikka Basavalingayya — as the former cultivator, Chamaiyappa did not take up the opportunity of bidding at the auction ~~[illegible]~~ but the latter was not dispossessed of the land in pursuance of the subsequently issued orders from this Office —

Subd. 4/12/03 } From the Amildar's report it is clear that the inhabitants of this village "have split into two intensely hostile factions about these lands" and from the Huzur Patti

Sd/- K Venkoba Rao

Muzrai Secretariat. File No of 190

it is seen that none else bid for the land except Chikka Basavalingiah & that there were only a very few present at the auction sale -

See page (Vol. Part)

From the particulars exacted by the present petitioner Channa-veeraiya before the Auditor on the 29th June 1905 it is observed that he has accepted to give 56 Rupees by hobdy for the year Vishwavasu.

This is so far as the petition of Chikkaveeraiya the present cultivators are concerned -

Jawaraya, the present Lingai and his brother Lingannaa have presented a petition to this Office praying

Dated 24th July 1905

(1) that the lands may be released from attachment as the

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

objects of attachment has been fulfilled

(ii) that as an estimate for Rs 256 was sanctioned for the repairs of the institution, the balance of the accumulated savings may be given to them

(iii) that the cultivators of the land may be directed to give the produce of the land to them in future,

and (iv) that the last-year's produce which is said to have been kept in amanat may be given over to them -

Submit for orders

18/8/05

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Muzrai Secretary's Remarks :-

Owing to bitter enmity, the bid for this land has been going on increasing and has reached 56 candies, although, according to the Amildar not more than 4½ or ~~4½~~ 5 candies per acre or about 40 candies in all is fairly due from the land. As there should be a finality in these matters, and taking into consideration the long enjoyment (about 30 years) of the land by the petitioner Channaveerappa and the fact that he has improved the land at his own cost, it is respectfully submitted that the gutta may be fixed at 56 candies for the future, as agreed to by him, and that the petitioner be not dis-possessed of the land.

As regards the Pujari's request for the restoration of the lands to him, I beg to say that the pujari is being paid for his ~~services~~ services at the rate of ₹6 a month. Some amount has been accumulated under Government management, and the Representatives of the Dasara Assembly are pressing for the re-construction of the temple in

Mavrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190 .

pucka fashion in brick and chunam. After a thorough re-construction of the temple is effected, for which estimates will be called for , the question of restoring the land to the Pujari may be considered.

Submitted to the Dewan
through the II Councillor.

82
9.10.05.

Ellendula Sama Rao
9th October 1905

Agreed with the
Dewan on both the
above points.

10/10/05

10/10/05

9120
11.10.05

6.XI.05

2. 18/11/05

Draft please early
18/11/05

Issue the draft at once

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Note contd

Orders were accordingly issued in Govt Pro. no 2463-4 Dy 24th Nove 1905

Chickka Baswalingiah addressed the marginally noted petition to this office stating that he cultivated the temple lands for the year 1905-06 and praying that the produce may accordingly be given over to him

no. 2434 Dt 30th nov 05

The petition was referred for disposal to the Dy Commr of the Mysore Dist and that officer in his letter of the 21st inst. States that the petr and not Chenna Veerappa in whose favour the Govt. decided the lease of the Inam lands for the current year, cultivated them and that as Chenna Veerappa is reported to have taken part of the produce he has issued orders for its recovery from the latter and for giving it over to the petitioner.

Flag R)

Submitted with a draft—

25/4/06

Passed 26.4.06

Mysore Secretariat File No of 190

Note continued

(1) The Villagers of Hadya submitted a memorial to H.H. the Maharaja, which has been transferred to this Department for disposal (Vide Private Secy's No. 2724 dy 31st May 1906) Slip S

(2) Again another printed memorial and a telegram dy 6th June 1906 were forwarded with compliments to the Dewan of Mysore for favour of disposal. No. 1782 dy 30-5-1906. Slip T.

(3) Another telegram was forwarded in continuation of the above. Slip U

(4) A petition was received in this office on the 26th June 1906. Slip V

In all the above named four communications, Chicka Basavalingaiah of Hadya & certain other ryots of the village, represent the facts detailed in the preceding pages of this office note and strongly urge

(41)

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

if the lease of the temple inam land is ordered to be put up for auction, it will fetch more than 60 Kandies and that the petr. is willing to pay a Gutta of 60 Kandies per year. They pray that pending decision by Govt. of their appeals, Channaveerappa who has got possession of the land on a Gutta of 56 Kandies, under orders of Govt. No. 2463-4 dy 24th Nov. 05, be not allowed to enter upon the land, and that in the interests of the temple, the land may be kindly ordered to be sold every year by public auction & given to the highest bidder.

W.

Muzrai Secretary's remarks:-

Submitted 30/10/06

The temple of Basaveswara at Hadya in the Vedatore Taluk having been in great disrepair, the inam lands belonging to it were attatched some years back and they have been

since under the management of the Government. Two persons named Chenna Veerappaand Chicka Basavalingiah have been persistently agitating for the occupation of the said lands for cultivation purposes. Some unhealthy competition arose owing to Govt. having ordered the sale of the right of cultivation by public auction and the bids rose from 38½ to 55 and subsequently to 56 Khandies per annum where it has now stopped. The examination of the records showed that Chenna Virappa was in possession of the lands for more than 30 years that during the interval he spent much money on them converting them from dry into wet and that he had consented to his opponent's offer of 56 Khandies of paddy. The Amildar reported that under ordinary circumstances 'it would not be possible to realize more than 4 Khandies for each of the 9 acres of the land in question(or 36 or 40 Khandies in all'). Taking all these facts into consideration it was finally decided by Govt. in their Pro. No. 2463-4 dated 24th November 1905 that the Gutta should be fixed finally t 56 Khandies for the future and that Chenna Virappa ould not be dispossessed

Sup. VV

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

of the lands.

The present memorials are from the opposite party (Chikka Basavalingappa) who now offers 60 Khandies. This competition is very unwholesome and the offer may be rejected.

For further information regarding this case, please see pages 22 to 26 and 37 of this Note. The papers were on a former occasion before H.H. the Maharaja.

A draft is submitted for approval.

Submitted to the Dewan Saheb thro' the 2nd Councillor

T. Ananda Rao
4.7.1906.

This unhealthy competition due to local factions shd stop. The offer of 60 Khandies may be rejected - Drafts approved

4/7/06

Dewan has signed the draft. Issued
5/7/06

Rec'd 4/7/06

File No.	of 190	Muzrai Secretariat.

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Note continued

Orders were accordingly issued—in m Office no 90 dated 7th Jan 1906.

Chicka Basvalingiah has petitioned The Councillor praying for a re-consideration of The Govt. order relating to The lease of The lands belonging to The Basveswara Temple at Hadya in The Yedatore Taluk and that The annual Sitta of 60 candils of paddy offered by him for these lands may be accepted.

Submitted.

18/12/06

Muzrai Secretary's Remarks.

This matter has been so often gone into and so fully dealt with in the previous parts of this Note, that it seems unnecessary to write anything further about it now.

The personal imputations made

Y

x no. 3016 Dy 17th Dec 06. (Slip R).

46

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

---

in the petition appear to me to be beneath notice.

266 Submitted to the 2nd Councillor

L Bhaskara Rama Rao

9 12. 1906.

Please ask the parties to appear before me on a convenient date. I should like to hear them before disposing of the petn

6/12/07

Read 6/12/07

I have spoken to the learned Mor. Fix Tuesday the 19th inst for the hearing. Give notice.

19/12/07

361

Serial No.

Present.
Chicka Basavalingiah
Channavirappa
Jagirdar Madappa
Hosatti Ranjanna -

I have heard the parties at great length, in the presence of the Inspl Secretary.
Petr alleges that Counte Petr obtained the lease of the land about 1896 or 1897, when it was taken into Govt manag ment. that the land yields 100 to 720 Kandi of paddy - that the Petr himself prepared the dry land for wet cultiva tion - and that Counte Petr did not outlay any money or labor on this account. Petr and Jagirdar Madappa

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

Serial No.

say that they are prepared to give a rent of 65 Kandys per annum and to guarantee the same for 10 years.

Counter Petr. states that he has been cultivating the land for more than 30 years, since his father's time - the father died 22 years ago - that he converted the land to wet cultivation at large outlay when the Channel was brought to the village - that the land does not yield more than 65 Kandys a year. and that the present dispute is the result of ill feeling between himself and Petr. including others in

GPB—50,000—23.10.05

.S. 121
eneral and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

the village. and he is
not prepared to continue
the Gutta.

Please send for the
duluk record thereon
light on the above point

W Whittaker
19/3/07 D Donnelly

Observed
DS
19/3/07

Issue 19/3/07

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Note continued

The Deputy Commissioner was accordingly written to to furnish information on the points raised by the Council and his reply is awaited.

In the meanwhile, two petitions – one addressed to the Councillor and the other to the Secretary – have been received from the Villagers of Hadya. The petitioners state that the lands endowed to the Basveswaraswamy temple at the place are excellent and fertile, that the annual yield is 100 or 110 Krishnaraj Khandies of paddy, and that they are prepared to give an annual sutta of more than 60 khandies of paddy, if the lands are leased out to them. – It is also stated that an acre

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat

of land fetches a gutta of 6½ or
7 Kandies of paddy] They further
state that when the channel was
brought to the village, Basavalingiah
and others expended much money
and labour on, and improved the
lands and rendered them fit for
wet cultivation. They pray that
as the present gutta is inadequate,
the lease of the land ~~should~~ may be
ordered to be sold by public auction
every year and given to the highest
bidder. They also add that the
temple of Basweswaraswamy may
be re-constructed in a substantial
manner.

AC 24/4/07 Submitted
RS 25/6/07

Await DC's
Report Issue a Rem.

28.6.07 S.9

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Vide continued

The Deputy Commissioner has in reply to the several points raised by the Councillor on the subject, submitted the Vernacular records throwing light thereon under cover of his letter no. 60 dated 4th August 1904

The Amildar in his English report dated 20th July 1904 received with the Vern. records, states as follows:—

1) As regards the time when the present lessee actually came to the possession of the Basaveswara temple Inam land, the Amildar states that the exact time is not ascertainable; that from the records of enquiry held by the Amildar in December 1896, it is evident that Chennaveerappa was then in possession of 16 Kolagams of the inam land in question, and that he said that he has been enduring in

15 years which would take his possession back to 1881, and further that when the lands were taken under Govt management in 1894, Chennaveerappa was permitted to continue in possession on enhanced patta.

2) Regarding the query as to who converted the dry land into wet bearing the outlay and how long ago this was done, the Amildar reports that there is nothing to show that either Edrahalli Chennaveerappa or Basvalingiah laid out any money for converting the land from dry to wet; that the lands were wet at the time of the Survey Cahani C188 that the Khetwar of 1843 shows they were wet long anterior to the when Hadya was part and parcel the Arkalgud Taluk, and that there is no record of the Baseveswara Enam

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

even having been dry except for 32 guntas of S. no. 37 which became wet in 1892. He further states that from the record of the enquiry before the Amildar in 1896, it appears that Edrafatti Bhimaraccaya has lent Rs 80/- along with other tenants to the Brijasir which were laid out on the improvement of the land

3) In regard to the query as to what average annual income is derived from the land, the Amildar thinks on comparing with the neighbouring lands similarly situated, that at the present on garce, 15 guntas of the Inam land is 90 Kandis.

With respect to the delay in the submission of the report remarked by the Councillor, on petn no 84 which was also forwarded to him by the Amildar reports that he had to go through a lengthy file, and that the delay is due to

GPB—3,000—22-6-06

pendency of urgent Police work and (Slip B
Revenue work at the close of the year
1902 on the Assistant Commissioner
reports that the explanation furnished
may be taken as reasonable.

Submitted. In his statement before the (Slip C
Hobli Shekdar on the 1st August 1896, Yadavatte
Bhima Veerappa states that he has been
in possession of 18 Kolagams of wet lands
of the Basweswara temple for the past
16 years and in that before the Amildar (Slip D
on the 30th December 1896, he states that
he has been in possession of 19 Kolagams
of lands for the past 12 years.

Muz.84]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Muzrai Secretary's Remarks:-

The foregoing report shows that a portion of the land namely 16 Kolagas was in the --- possession of Channaveerappa from about the year 1881. He seems to have come into possession of the whole land in the year 1897. The annual yield of the land consisting of 9 acres 15 guntas is now estimated by the Amildar to be about 90 Khandies, --- while on a former report he stated that ~~it was only about 4~~ the gutta fairly recoverable was between 4½ and 5 Khandies per acre. On the whole the gutta fixed by Govt. viz., 56 Khandies seems to be a -- fair one and it does not seem desirable to oust the present cultivator Channaveerappa, merely because his opponent offers more, that is 65 Khandies.

The feeling of enmity between the parties appears to be intense and they have been going on increasing the bid recklessly.

103

Submitted to the 2nd Councillor.

26/8/04

60

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

21/
30/8/07

Another Office note is put up.
I think that Yedarkatte
Channaviraiya has got
the lands on too easy terms
He was a tenant of only 16 Kolagas
of land originally and
by a mere fiction got possession
of the whole Sirkat when the
lands were taken over by
Government. It is therefore
evident that his enjoyment
as tenant of the whole of the
land is of very recent date
If his tenancy is recognized,
why should we not recognize
the tenancy of the ex-Cultivators
also? I do not approve
of the arrangement to see
him as tenants on
On an

MGC

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

is evidently most valuable
the present Committee
makes a moderate estimate
of the outturn to be 90
Candies of paddy. If so, the
offer of 65 Candies made
by the Petr was by no
means too high. If there
are no strong grounds
I would throw open the
Inam lands to open
Competition. This will be
to the best advantage
of the Temple. It will
also be the best arrangement
in the long run. There
is much bitter feeling
in the villages in connection
of this matter & it is desir-
able to put an end to it

W. Kelly Commissioner

I agree
10/11/1907

6124
3981/07
Submitted to the Board
12/11/07
Orders

Orders of the Board
X 34

Orders Submitted
12/11/07

62

Page ( )

File No.	of 190	Muzrai Secretariat.

P. T O

Note.

Basaveswara Swami temple situated in Hadya Yedatore Taluk was in disrepair & complaints about this having reached the district Inspector officer Mr Ranjinda raj urs, steps were taken to get it repaired from the Pujari of the said temple who was enjoying the produce of the Inam lands of about 9 Acres – 15 guntas endowed to the benefit of the same. The Pujari as he failed to repair the temple & also allowed the said Inam lands to be cultivated by 9 tenants (including Yedarahatti & Channaveerappa) on Madagada (1855

~~After~~ correspondence ~~taken~~ to a place between the Taluk Amildar, Dy Comms & Inamdari Secretary, the details of which are at length dealt with in the Inamdari Secretary's office notes, & the Govt in their Pro: no 2463-4 Inam dated the 4th Nov 1905 directed the Dy Comms not to disturb the possession of the former tenants Chennaveerayya &c & fixed the quitta payable to Govt at 56 Kandies of paddy for the future on the ground of the present tenants (Chenna Veeraiyya's) long enjoyment.

2. A few points noticed after perusing the Taluk records &c are noted below.—

Yedatore Taluk Amildar's report dated 20.7.1907.

a. The Amildar states that from the enquiry held by the Amildar in Dec 1896, that the present lessee Chenna Veerayya was in possession of 16 Kolagams of the Inam land since the year 1881. This is partially corroborated from the statement made by Yedarahatti Chenna-Veerappa (one of the tenants cultivating the Inam lands belonging to the Basaveswara temple) on 1.8.1896 before the Hobli sheikdar to the effect, that he has been in possession of 18 Kolagams (and 16 Kolagams) of wet land

for the past 16 years. The above said Channaveeraffa deposed before the Taluk Amildar on 9.12.1902 that he with some others had been in possession of the Inamti lands for the past 20 years ie since the year 1881. Beyond this there is nothing in the records to show conclusively at what time the present lessee's right in the Inam lands concerned actually began.

b. That the Inam lands in question were taken possession of by Govt in Durmukhi (1896-97) is also corroborated from the records (Vide Kubkari no 1817 Dy 16.5.02 from the Inspector Secy to the Dy Commr Mysore) & it is thought by the local officers as probable

that the lease of the Inam lands to Chennaveerayya was effected in 1897.

C. The Amildar states that the Inam lands were wet at the time of the Survey Fabani Sud (1883) & that the Khetwar of 1873 shows that they were wet long anterior to the Survey & that with the exception of 32 guntas out of S. no 37), the Inam lands were never dry. The said 32 guntas became wet in 1892 & corroborated from the Shanbog's statement dy 30.12.1896. ~ Chennaveerappa son of Chennamma one of the tenants cultivating the said Inam lands states before the Taluk Amildar on 22.5.1897 that a portion of the Inam land viz 1 Kandy - 1 Kolaga which

he cultivated for the past 16 years were dry when he took possession. Beyond this the Taluk records do not throw any light on the above point.

d. The Amildar states that from the enquiry made before the Amr on 18.9.96 that Chennaveerappa had lent Rs 50 to the Pujari for the improvement of the Inam lands. It is quite probable that he should have done so considering that one Jaivara (another tenant) declared before the Amr on 30.12.1896 that he also lent Rs 55 to Pujari Chennamari for the improvement of the land. Chennaveerappa son of Chennamma stated before the Amildar on

22.5.1897 that he expended Rs 180 on the lands Similarly Veeramma son of Zamindar chikkam Pujari of both the temples Veerabhadradevaru & ~ Basaveswaradevaru has stated before the Sheikdar of Harasoge on 1.8.1896 that he ~ contracted a debt of Rs 215 for the improvement of the lands in question & that Rama another tenant in his statement before the Amildar on 23.5.1897 stated that he spent Rs 70 for the said purpose It is not at all clear from the records whether the Counter-petitioner Chennaveerayya or the petitioner chikka Basavalingiah actually spent any money in

converting the lands alleged to be dry into wet. Whether the lands were ever dry is an open question.

e. The Auditor now reports that the yield of produce of the drawn lands measuring 9 acres — 15 guntas can be roughly estimated at 90 Kandies of paddy per annum. On a previous occasion viz 27.2.05 he stated that the yield varied from 4½ to 5 Kandies per acre which comes up approximately to 40 Kandies on the whole. Whereas in his report no 15 dy 24.7.1896 to the dy Comr he estimated the yield at 50 Kandies of paddy.

3 ~~It is observed~~

There is a great discrepancy in the several statements made by different persons in estimating the yield of produce of the lands in the records e.g. the villagers of Hadya in their mahazar dy 20.9.94 stated that the yield was 80 Kandrés of padi. The Hobli Sheikdar in his reports nos 185, in dy 31.10.1894 & 30.12.1895 reply to the Amildar stated it to be 65 & 47 Kandrés. ~~reply~~

3. It is observed from the records that the Inam lands were put to auction in 1902 in pursuance of Inspr Rukkari no 1817 dy 16.5.02 & one Chikka Basavalingiah's (the petitioner's) bid for the Inam lands was

the highest. The Amildar issued a Saguvali chit dy 13.6.02 & a mutchalika also was taken from him on stamp paper. The bidder did sow about 3 acres of the land, but as the dy Commr reported that the lands continued to be in the possession of the former tenants re Counter-petitioner & orders were issued from the Inspn office in Rubkari no 80 dy 8.7.02 that the present tenants should be left undisturbed in their possession owing to long enjoyment on their paying 38½ Kandies of paddy for that year to Govt.

On 22.5.05 the Amn put to sale by auction the right to cultivate the Inam lands of

the Basaveswara temple &
the above said chikka
Basavalingiah offered to
pay 56 Kandies. So the
Dy Commr nor Sparkes
sanctioned the sale
1905-06 on 24.5.05. A
muntahika dated 29.5.
05 was taken from him
& Sagrevali chit no 213
dy 29.5.05 was also
the said Basavalingiah
cultivated the lands
during 1905-06 (vide
Revenue division Asst
Commr's docket no 284
dy 5.4.06 to the Dy Com-
mr). After this camp
no c dy 20.6.05 from
the Mysore Secretary
to the Mysore Dy Commr
was issued to the effect
that the possession of
the former tenants should
not be disturbed &
until final orders were
issued 56 Kandies of

paddy might be received
from them Eventually
Govt in their Pro: no
2463 – 4 dy 24.11.05
mmz an order
was issued, directing
the Dy Commr not to
disturb the possession
of the former tenants
& fixed the Sutta paya-
ble to Govt in future
at 56 Kandis on the
score of the former
tenants' long enjoyment
of the lands. Hence the review appn.

It will be evident from
the above that the
petitioner Chikka Basava
hiriah was put to much
hardship owing to adverse
orders from the Inmzri
Dept & that there is a
good deal of ill feeling
between the petitioner
& Counter – petitioner.

HBS
29.8.07.

Muz. 8 1]

Muzrai Secretariat | File No, of 190

Note continued:—

The orders recorded on pages 60 and 61 of this note were issued in Pro: No 2711 dy 10th December 1907 and the parties were informed of the substance of the orders.

Yedurkatti Chamaveerappa has memorialized His Highness the Maharaja complaining against the orders of the 10th Dec: 1907 referred to above. He states that the Govt: was first pleased to confirm his tenancy as per Govt Pro: No 2465 dy 24th Nov: 1905 and also to reject the appeal of the opposite party and that the Govt: again admitted a review & passed the orders of the 10th Dec: 1907 Cancelling the former orders. He says that frequent changes of orders of Govt: lead to the unsettling of the minds of the Ryots,

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat

He adds that the Sutta of 6 Khandies per acre which he is now paying is the maximum rate prevailing in the Yedatore Taluk and prays that the former orders of the 24th Nov. 1905 might be confirmed.

He also prays that pending disposal of his memorial the further proceedings in the matter of assigning the land to auction might be stayed.

The memorial has been referred to this Department and His Highness has been pleased to call for the papers in this case.

The history of this case appears fully in the previous parts of this note. The File is herewith submitted.

276

Submitted to the Dewan thro' the 2nd Councillor

Ellanta Rama Rao

21. 1. 1908.

GPB—3,000—25-2-07

Muz. 8 \|

Muzrai Secretariat	File No.	of 190

I have nothing to add to my
previous Remarks,

KLM
21/1/08

4725 21/1/08

File No. : of 190 Muzrai Secretariat

GPB—3,000—25-9-07

Submitted to His Highness.

A petition in the Petty Cause List [illegible] No.1031 dated 5th January 1907, I submit herewith the file of papers connected with the appeal of Chinna Veeria of Kudym Village, in the Vellore Taluk, praying that his enjoyment of the lands enfeoffed to the Sri Baravesvara Swami Temple on a fixed Cattle, may be continued.

The facts of the case are explained in the Government order of the 10th December 1907.

P

29/1/1908

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

548

Memorial of Chenna Veeria Nadya Vadiyar, Yelandur Taluk, praying for the favourable order of His Highness in respect of Mallikere tank in Sri Basaveswara and Swami temples, Yelandur, granted.

Order.

5/9.

5423 4/2/08

I think that there is no doubt, that the order of 10-12-07 is correct and Petitioner must be informed that I will not interfere in the matter.

2 At the same time it is very regrettable that, owing to inadequate enquiry in the first instance, Government should have been forced to reverse an order which had more than once been declared final. When I saw the case last in 1904, the position was, that, on the ground of long tenure, Chenna Veeria was to be continued in possession for a year on payment of a gutta of 56 kandies. In the following year Government confirmed him in possession in perpetuity. Two years after, this decision is reversed and it is not surprising that Chenna Veeria feels aggrieved. Cases of the kind do not add to the

[illegible] creation of [illegible]

[illegible] at least, I should be glad [illegible] whatever declaration of terms he thinks to be a tenant in perpetuity.

Secondly, what is the position in case, I understand it is intended that Irrigable Government lands may be sold "easy" [illegible] "by metes and bounds", that is to say 640 acres. Is it possible for him to grant the 640 acres [illegible] as mentioned in the case of similar lands in Fiji?

30/1/08

30/1/08

Note in reply, send
1/3/08

277

Submitted to His Highness.

With reference to the remarks contained in His Highness' order dated the 30th January on this case, I submit herewith the Muzrai Secretary's note dated 1st instant explaining the various points.

I regret that by an oversight the case was not submitted to His Highness before the issue of the orders of 10th December 1907, as I always do when an order passed by any of my predecessors is proposed to be modified.

P

2/2/1908

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders
of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

[illegible]

Order.

~~[illegible]~~
7/2/08

To the Mujrai Secy
B.P. 8-2-08

318
Submitted to the 2nd C. for perusal
11. 2. 08

Secy 11/2/08

Put up draft
11/2/08

Submitted.
11/2/08.

19/2/08

Muz. 8 A]

Muzrai Secretariat File No. of 190

Paper under dispute

Note continued

The gracious orders of H H the maharaja were communicated to yeduvhatti Lokenna-Veerappa in Endorsement of the 2nd march 1908

With reference to the leasing out of the lands endowed to the Sri Basveswara-swamy temple at Nadya, the Dy. Commissioner states that as recommended by both the Amildar and the Sub-Division Officer, the bid offered by Basaniah alias Appajiah viz 85 Kandies of Paddy for the year Kilaka may be accepted. He further reports that a sum of Rs 140/ has been deposited by the said individual subject to adjustment later on, and that the former cultivator Lokanaveerappa has signed the Kabe list with the remark that as he has appealed to H. H. the Maharaja

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat

regarding the cultivation of the said lands, he did not bid at the auction although he was present at Mari Aime. The Amildar of the Pernatore Taluk reports that (Slip I the gulla hitherto paid is 56 Khandies while the present bid is 85 Khandies.

Submitted for sanction & other orders

6/3/1908) Muzrai Secy's remarks:—

The bid of 85 Khandies is advantageous to the temple and may be approved.

337

Submitted to the 2nd Committee

Thanekar Laxmi Das

6/3/1908

Approved

6/3/08

Asst. 7/3/08

Submitted.

GPB—3,000—25-9-07

Inz 8A]

Mnzrai Secretariat File No. of 190

Mnzrai Secretary's remarks.-

A draft in accordance with the foregoing orders confirming the bid of 85 Kandres offered by one Basaiah alias Appajah is put up below for approval. Before issuing the draft however I beg to submit the following for consideration and orders.

The Government Order directing the sale of the gutta or lease by public auction ~~was~~ was issued on 10th December 1907 and the sale was held by the Amildar of Yedatore on the 10th January 1908. At the sale, Chamaveerappa who has till now been in possession of the land was present, but he

GPB—3.000—22-6 06

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

did not bid remarking on the list of bids that as he had Memorialized H. H. the Maha-raja he did not make any bid. The Memorial in question was finally disposed of by His Highness on 7th February 1908, and an endorsement rejecting his petition was issued to the Memorialist on 2nd March 1908.

If the sale held by the Amildar on 10th January 1908 be now Confirmed, Thennaveerappa will Complain that if he had received before the date of sale the final orders of His Highness rejecting his Memorial, he would have bid even higher than the

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

85 Kandies of which the bids were closed. Under the circumstances, orders are solicited whether a fresh sale may be ordered.

= 375
20-3-08
submitted to the 2nd Councillor } C Ananta Lakshmi Rao

20th March 1908

The Dy Comms recommendation may be accepted. Hon Chennavirappa's own fault if he did not bid at the auction sale - He was present at the sale & knew that Govt had ordered the same It does not seem expedient

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

to order a resale for the
sake [illegible]
21/3/08

Issue the draft put up below
21/3/08





43-44 Endle issued to the Pehur
Doddahatty Doduveerisale
alias Amajappalu
30.7.96

ರೂಬಕಾರಿ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಗೌರ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖಾ.

ತಾ|30ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ಜುಲೈ
1896ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ.
ಚಿಂಗಳಲಂದಲ್ಲಿ

By order,

Muzrai Secretary.

No. 636.

[illegible]

ಈ 3651

19-10-96

20/1/97

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಆಇ 430/ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ

ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಲು ಇಷ್ಟಪಡುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ, ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಆದೇಶ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಆಇ 430/ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ

ಅಲಂದಾಳ್ಳ.

ಬೆಲ್ಲ ನಲಂರಲ ಕಾಂಳ್ಳಿ ಚಿಂಟೆ ವಲಬಿಲರಾಂಲಬಿಸಿಕ್ಷಟಿಲರಿಷಾ
ಲೆಗರವರಆಪ್ಲೀಕಿಷಿ ಬಿವಾಂಚಿಗೆ-

ಅಲಬರಕಿಲಾಕಿಕಾಂಳ್ಳ ಧಾರ್ಮಿಕಾಲಬದಲ್ಲಿ ಕ
ವ. ವೀರಭದ್ರ. ಚಲಕ್ಷಬ್ಬಲೀಳ್ಳರಷ್ಟಾ ಲಿ ದೇವಸಿಪ್ಪನಳ್ಳ
ಇ ನಾಂರಿಬಲಂಗ್ಗಳನ್ನ ಅಲಬರಕಟ್ಟಿ ಚಿಂಬಿಲೀರಲಿ
ತಳ್ಳಿಕಿ 9 ಬನನಳ್ಳ ಸುಪ್ಪಲಿಗೆ ಲಬಲಂಬಿಲಾರಿನಳ್ಳ ಕಿಂಟ್ಟಿಡಾಂ
ಸಲಾ ಧಾಲ ಆ ವರನಳ್ಳ ಸುಪ್ಪಲಿಗೆ ನಿಳ್ಳಲ್ಲಲಬಿಡಾಂಸಂ
ಕಾಂಲಬಿಕ್ಕಿದ.

ಧಾಲಿ ನದರಿಬಿ ಕಿಂಗ್ಗಳನ್ನ ಬಿಚಾಸ್ಟಿ ನಲ್ಲಿಕ್ಕಿ
ಕಿಲಾಂಡ್ರಿಬಿಳ್ಳಿಕಿಂಕ್ಕಾ ದರಖಾಂಸ್ಟ್ಲ ಶಾಂದಿಕಿಲಾಂಲಂದರಿಂದ ರಿಜಿಲ್ಟ
ಸುಲ್ಟಿಕಿಸಿಕಿಲಾಂರ ದರಕ್ಷಿಸಿ ಪಿಷಂಲರುದಲ್ಲಿ ಲಂಲಲಾಂ. ಅದ್ರಲಾಂಬಿಕಿಂಗ್ಗಿ
ಬಿ ಪ್ಲಟ್ಟಲಬಿರಕ್ಷಿ ಬಿಪಷಂಲರುದಲ್ಲಿ ಲಂಲಲಾಂ ಮಿಲ್ಲಾಂರ ಬನನಳ್ಳ
ಲಂಲಿ ನುಕಿಳ್ಳಲು ಕ್ಕಾಂಕಿ. ಆ ವರನಳ್ಳ ರನಬಿಳಿಲಲಾಂದ ಬಿವಾಂಬಿಗೆ
ಕಿಲಾಂರಿಬಿಳ್ಳಿಕಿಂದು. ಶಾಂಪ್ಲಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಕಿಲಾಲಲಿದ 13-10-96 ನೀವು
ರ್ಯಂ. 38 ನೆ ನಂಚಿನ್ ರಲಾಂಲ್ಟಿಕಾಂಬಿಲಿ ಆ ಕಿಲಾಂಲಬಿಕಿ
ಲರಕಿಲಾಂಲಲ್ಲಿ:- —

ನಾನು ನಮಿಂಗಾಸ್ತಾ ಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬಹಳ ಸಲ್ಲನಿಕಾ
ಬೆರುವರಿಗೆ ಕೆಲಸಂಡರುವಿಚಾರಿಸಿದೆ- ನಾಂಸುವಳ್ಳಿ ದಾಲ್ಲಿ.
ನವಾಲ್ಲಿ ದಾಕ್ಕೆ- ಪೂಂಚಾಂಕಿಸಳ್ಳಿಕ್ಕೇಳಿ ಕೆಲಿದಳಿಂಡಿ ನಿ-
ರೆಳ್ಳುಲಿಂಚಿಡಿ ನಿ. ನಾಂಸುವಳ್ಳಿದಾಂಕಿಲ್ಲಿರು ಯೀಲಕೆರಂತವಾ
ನಿ ಕಾಂವುಲಿಡನಕ್ಕೆ ನಿಂದ ಲಂಸಕಾರಿಟ್ಟು ಕರಿನಾಂಸುವಳ್ಳಿಪೋಚಿಂ
ನುಶಂದದ್ದಾನಿಂಟು. ಕೆಂದುನ್ಪೆಲ್ಪುದಿವನವಾಂಕಿಲ್ಲಿ ಲಿಲಿದಕ
ಲಾನವರಿಸಾಂಸಿ ರಕ್ಕಿಂದ ಪೂಂಚಾಂಕಿಪಿಂಚಿಲಸುತ್ತಿ ನಾಂ-
ಕೆಲಾಂಡಿರುವಡಾಂಸು. ಅದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ನಿಬಡ್ಡಿವುಕ್ಕಾರವೂಂಡಿ-
ಕೆಲಾಂಳ್ಳುವಡಾಂಸುಂ. ಲಿಲಿದಕ್ಕೆಲ್ಲಿನಿ ಕಾಕರಡಾಂದದಾಂಪ್ಪೆ ಲಿಲ್ಲಲ್ಲಿಂ
ರಾಂ ಹೇಳಿದರು ಪೂಂಚಾಂಕಿಲಿಟು. ಅದೀಲಕಾಲಾಂತಿಹೇಳಿದರು. ನಾವೆಂ
ನಿಪೂಂಕ್ಕಾವೀರಕ ಭದ್ರಪೋವರಂಟು ನಾಂಕಿಲ್ಲಿಂಕಿ ಲಂದುಲಿಟಕಿಳಿ
ಲಾಂಟು ಚಾಂಕಿದಕ್ಕಿನ್ಗಿಲುನಕಡಿದ್ದಾಂಪಿಲಲಿಪಿ ಕ್ರೋಂಕಲ್ಲ. ನವೆಂದಿನ
ಲಿಯಾಂಚಿ- ಆ ಲಂದುಲಂಟುಕಿಳಿಪುಲ್ಲಿ ಕರಿನಿಳಂದು ನಿಲಿಯನಿಡಿದ್ದೇಲ
ಲಿರುವಾಂತ್ಲೆ ಲಿಪ್ಪುವಿಂದಕಿಳಂಟುಳಾರುತ್ತೆ- ಬನಿವೆಲ್ಲಿಕ್ಕಾರಪೋವರ-
ಯು ನಾಂಕಿಂಟುಲು. ಲಂಟಾಂವಾನವಲುಕರಿಲಂಟಾಂಪಿಕ್ಕ- ಲಂದುಲಂಟುಕಿ
ಪುಲ್ಲಿಬಚಿಟಾಂನಾಂನ್ಪಿ ಕರಿಲಾಂಡಿಪ್ರಿಕ್ಕೆನಿ ನಾಂಸುವಳ್ಳಿದಾಂಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಿ
ಳ್ಳುವಾಂತ್ಲೆ. ಕಟ್ಟು 430 ರೂಂಪಾಂಟು ಲಾನವಡೆಂಟುಲದು ವಪ್ಪುವ
ದಕ್ಕೆನಿ ನುವದಿಲ್ಲಾ- ಪೂಂಚಾಂಕಿನಳ್ಳು. ಕವ್ಯನ್ಪೆಂಕಖಚಿಡಿನಾಂನಿ.
ಮೋಕಾಂದಪ್ಪೆ ನಾಂಲಟಾಂನ್ಪಿ ನಾಂಸುವಳ್ಳಿದಾಂಟಿಂದಪ್ಪುಕದುಳಿಂಟಿಂಡು
ಪ್ರತಿಮಿಲ್ಲಿನ ನುಟ್ಟಿಕಿಂಟು ನಾಂನುವಳ್ಳಿ ನಿ ಕೆಲಾಂಡುವಅಚಕನಿವಾಂ
ಡಿ ಡೆಲಾಂಡಿಟು ಲಿಲಿದದ್ದಾಕಿ. ಹೊಂಕಿಯೀಲಿಲಿದಾಂನುನಡದಿರಳಾಲು
ದ್ದಾಂನಿ ಕೊಂಡುಕ್ಕಿ. ಅಂದ್ರಾನಾಂಲನಳ್ಳು ಪೂಂಚಾಂಕಿನಳ್ಳು ಮಿನ
ದುಪೂಂಡರಾಂ. ದೇವರಚಮಾಡ್ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಲಿಲಾಂಡಿಯಕಕ್ಕೆ
ದ್ದಿಲ್ಲಾಂ.

ಬಿಟ್ಟು ದಿನ್ನೆಯ. ಕಾಲನ್ನೇ ಲೆಕ್ಕಿಸಿಂದಾಂಸನ್ನಲ್ಲಿ ಮೇಲಿಲಿದ್ದನಾ
ಸಲಿಸಿಲ್ಲ- ಲಿಂಗಾಯಿತಸಳಿಂದ ಸಾಂಸುಳ್ಳ ದಾರರು ಸಾಂ
ಸುಳ್ಳಿಲ್ಲಿಟ್ಟಿ ಲಿಡದಿಲ್ಲಾ- ಲಿಂಗಾಂ ಸಾಂಸುಳ್ಳ ದಾರರು ಹಾಂಲ
ಏ ಬಾಂಲ್ಗೆಂದಿ ರುವಷ್ಟೆ ಸುಳ್ಳಿಸಿಡಿಂದಿಲಾಂಬಿಂಳ್ಳಾ ಗರಿಚಿಲ್ಲಿ
ಳ ಲಿಂಬಿವರಳಿಲ್ಲಿರಾಂರು ಅಂಸಿಲ್ಲಿಕಾಂಂಡಿನಿವಾಸಿಲ್ಲಾ
ಸಾಂಸುಳ್ಳ ಲ್ರು ಮಿಲಿಳ ಬಂಳ್ಳಿ ಹಾಂಚಿಲಿಲಿಲ್ಲಾಸಿರುವಂಳ್ಳಾ. ವಷ್ಟೆ
ಏ ಬಾಂಲ್ಗೆ ಬಾರಸಾಂದ ಲಿಂಬಾಂವಿರಿಲಿಳ ಸುಳ್ಳಿಸಿಸಿನಡಿಲಿಂಬಿಲು ಲಿಂಬಿ
ಷ್ಟೆಲಿವಿದ್ದಂಲಿಂಬಿಲಿಲಿಲಿದ್ದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿದು. ಲ್ರಿಲಿನುಸಿಬಾಲ್ಗೆ ಳಾಂ
ರುವಂಳ್ಳಾ ಲಿಂಬಿಷ್ಟು ತಿಳಿಂಳ್ಳಿಸ್ಲ್ಲಿ ಕಿಬಿಲಾಂಲ್ಗೆ ಲಿಂಬಿವಿಲಿಂಬಿಲಿಳಿಳ
ಏ ಬಿಳ್ಳಿಸಿಂದು ಲ್ರಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲ್ಲ ಸಾಂಸುಳ್ಳ ದಾರಂನ್ನುಳ್ಳಿಲಿಲಿ-
ಲಿಂಬಿಲಾಂರಾಂಲಿಲಿಲ್ಲಿಬಿಲಿಲ್ಲಿಲಿದಿರು. ಲಿಂಬಿವಿಲಿಲ್ಲಾಲಿಲಿಲಿ-ಲಿಂಸಿ-
ಲಿಂಬಿಲಾಂರಿಲಿಲಿಲಾಂರಿರುವಂಳ್ಳಾಕಿಲಿಲಿಲ್ಲಿಳ್ಳಾ. ವಲ್ಲಿರ ಲಿಂಬಿಲ್ಲಿರ.
ವಿಲಿಲಿಂಬಿ ದಿನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಿ ಏ ಬಾಂಲ್ಗೆ ನಿಲ್ಲಿಲಿಬಿಳಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿ. ಲಿಂಬಿ
ಲಿರಸಳ್ಳಿ ಬಿಲಿಂಷ್ಟೆರುವಸಾಲಿಂಶರವಿಲಿಂರಿಬಿಲಿಂಳಿಬ್ಬಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಂ
ಲಿಂಬಿವರು ಲಿಲಿಂಗಾಯಿತಲಿಂಬಿನ್ನಿಲಿಂರ ಇಲಿಂದಸಿಬಿಳಿಲಿ ದಾಂ
ಬಾಂವಿಲಿಂರಿಬಿಳಿಲಿಲಿಳ. ಲಿಂಬಿವಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಸಾಂರಿಲಿಂಬಿ. ದೀವಿಲಿಲಿಚ
ಬಿಲಿಂಸಿಬಿ ಬಾಂಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಲಿ

ರಿಂದ ವಂಬುರಾಯ ಚೌಳಿಂದು ಆಲುಂಬ್ರಿಂದರಿಗಲಲಿದ.

30-5-97 ನೆ ವರ್ಷಂ $\frac{206}{280}$ ನೆ ನಂಬರ್ ರಿಟಿಂಟುಗಿ

ರುಲಪ್ಪುಂಬಿನಳ್ಳಂ ಸ್ಥಳುದರಿಂದ ಸಿ ರವಾನಿಳಿಲ್ಲಿ—

ನಾಂಸುರಳ್ಳದರಿಂಗೆ ಬೆಳುಂಗ್ಚಿ ಬಿಲುವದಕ್ಕ-ಲು

ನಿನದರಖಾಂಕ್ಷಟರ ಸುಕ್ಷಿಕ್ಷಿಗೆ ಕೆಲಂಡುವದಕ್ಕ ನಡಂಬಪ್ಪಡ

ಂಬುರುವದ್ದರಿಂದ. ಬೆಳುಂಗ್ಂಬುವರಕ್ಕೆ ರಪ್ಪುಳಿ ದರಖಾಂ

ಕ್ಷದಾರನು ನಾಂಸುರಳ್ಳಿಂ ಕೆಲಂಡುವವಿಕ್ಕಂಬುದಲ್ಲಿ

ಅಪ್ಪುನೀ ಆವಚ್ಚಿಕಾಂದವುಬೆಳುಂಬುಶ್ರುರಪಡಿಳಿಲ್ಲಿ.

ರಾಂಶಿಬು 19 ನೆ ವರಂಬರಬುಂಗ್ 1897 ನೆಲ್ಲುಗೂ

M. Shamu Rao

ಪ್ಲೈಗ್— ಡಿಪ್ಪುಟಿ ಕಲಿಂಬರನಾಗಿ

ಬೆಲ್ಲಸುಂದಿ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್

7

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT

Registered No.— 298

Name of Petitioner— ಯಲಕಲಂಚಿಹಳ್ಳಿ

ನಂಜೇಂದಪ್ಪ ಹೊರಳ್ಳಿ ಬಂಗಾರಪ್ಪ

Address— ನೆಲಮಂಗಲ ವಿಜಯಪಲ್ಲಿಂಚಾಂ

ಅಂಚೆ ಕೆಳ

Date of Petition— 13/8/97

Date of Receipt— 17/8/97

No. of Enclosures—

To put up with

the Dy Commrs

Rule: on the subject

17/8/97

Abstract

ఆ. కొండూరు గ్రామం

మల్లె నేలలు కార్తీకం పంట చేలు జలాలు లులా
నేలా వేళంటివేం రేం పచ్చ ఫొటో బళవరిక—

యతరకొండి కాలాల్లా కుప్ప నేలా నిళ్ళాడాళ్ళదాళ్ళవచ
నవి శ్లోకదివేరళ్లో జారి లింగాళ్ళుత్తై భూదేవి బొడిక్కాళ్లా
వచ్చి వేంబ్లో—

ఈదివేచిత్తె నవ నిళ్ళక్కాళ్ళ రూలు రూలు వేంచారి
శ్చిన్నాగి యు భీరత్తె నరనిళ్ళ కాశళిక్కట్టబ్లో రేంచు కాళిలిడేంర
రూంటిచ్చిన్నాగి యుఆహాశ్లో రూంతదేళ్ళు క్కిందచ బోళిక వేతిరరదివేం
రాదనేచు శ్వేతం రేంల్పట్టేరు రేంలు నాంజలిలాంద్ నేళ్ళ జుప్పమాత్రిలు
త్తె శ్రీ. జలిలాంద్నల్లు బేళ్ళి శ్లోవేరళు లేదళ్లేసలాత్తెరదే నన్నేవుచక్కె
నన్నేవుక్కెళ్ళ చ్రీరనక్కె అ నానాను కూలచాత్రిలుక్కె. లు లుసాంది
జ లాంద్నేల్పవ్రిచా నరది యుం చ్రాది శ్లాగ ముట్టి యు లుచక్కె —
బడేళ్ళదిన్నేదనరది నుం సినాచుళ్ళి యుంనదే దంరచాత్రి చ్రాదియుద
శ్లో ఒచ్-ల్లుశ్లో రూంపి లు లుచు నన్నేసాలనుండిన్నే కార్తిక క్కే చ్రీవిరిరూం
రుచాత్తె లు దచ్చి చ్రాది యుంగమీరాంత్రి చ్చిచ్చిన్నే కాతళున్నే రిచుంగిన్నే
నేల్ చుచుచరం రేంవూతలు బడేళ్ళకాత్రి ఫాలనుండి లుంనళ్ళ-ఇ
రేచుప్పదిందిచ్-ళో వెత్తిళు బంరకచ్చర్త చుచుచరంరేత్రి లుచు. లు
బరళ్లుగం బంరకచ్చర్త చ్రోతిరూం చులత్రి నేంస్నేర మంరిచాత
లు బంరకదళ్లుపతిరేరదివేంరా చ్రదనేచూం నన్నేవుక్కెనన్న
రన ఫాంరాచ్చాత్రిశ్లే లు లుసాంద్రళ్లుపరి
రూంరిచదిళాచ్చరల్లు బంరకాత్రిరేం

ఇట్టి ఘోరతిఘోరమైన కేసవదనాలపై కేసులకొరకై కోర్టువారల్లను
వాదియుపక్షాన కోర్టువారికి విన్నవించుకొనుట ఏమనగా- ఈ కేసవదనాలపై
పోలీసువారు ఏ ఏ ప్రకారములచే కేసులను బనాయించిరో అట్టి
ప్రకారములు ఈ కింద తెలుపబడినవి. దొంగతనమునకు
పాల్పడినవారల్లో కొందరు ఆయుధములను తమవెంట తీసుకొని
వచ్చినవారల్లో ఉందురు. ఇట్టివారు ఆయుధములను ఉపయోగించి
ప్రాణహాని కలుగజేసినచో అట్టి ఆయుధములను ఉపయోగించి
ప్రాణహాని కలుగజేయుటకు ప్రయత్నించినచో అట్టి ఆయుధములను
ఉపయోగించి ప్రాణహాని కలుగజేయుటకు ప్రయత్నించినట్లు
కనబడినచో అట్టి ఆయుధములను ఉపయోగించి ప్రాణహాని
కలుగజేయుటకు ప్రయత్నించినట్లు అనిపించినచో ఇట్టి
ఆయుధములు ఉపయోగించుట ఉపయోగించుటకు ప్రయత్నించుట
ఉపయోగించుటకు ప్రయత్నించినట్లు కనబడుట ఉపయోగించుటకు
ప్రయత్నించినట్లు అనిపించుట అనునవి ఈ కేసులలో
ఇరుపక్షములవారు ఒప్పుకొనిన విషయములు కావున
ఈ విషయములను ఇరుపక్షములవారు ఒప్పుకొనుచున్నందున
ఈ కేసులలో ఇరుపక్షములవారు ఒప్పుకొనిన విషయములు
ఇరుపక్షములవారు ఒప్పుకొనుచున్నందున ఈ కేసులలో
ఇరుపక్షములవారు ఒప్పుకొనిన విషయములు ఇరుపక్షములవారు
ఒప్పుకొనుచున్నందున ఈ కేసులలో ఇరుపక్షములవారు
ఒప్పుకొనిన విషయములు

8/10/97

Regd.No 596

18-11-97

Ref

3 11 97

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಕೃಇ/ಕೃಯಂತ್ರ/ಟಿಸಿ/ಪಿಎಂಪಿ/೯೭-೯೮

ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೃಷಿ ಯಾಂತ್ರೀಕರಣ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿ ಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರ್ ಮತ್ತು ಪವರ್ ಟಿಲ್ಲರ್ ಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಬರಾಜು ಮಾಡಲು ಅರ್ಹ ಕಂಪನಿಗಳಿಂದ ಮೊಹರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಗಳನ್ನು ಆಹ್ವಾನಿಸಿದೆ.

ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೃಷಿ ಯಾಂತ್ರೀಕರಣ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿ ಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರ್ ಮತ್ತು ಪವರ್ ಟಿಲ್ಲರ್ ಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಬರಾಜು ಮಾಡಲು ಅರ್ಹ ಕಂಪನಿಗಳಿಂದ ಮೊಹರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಗಳನ್ನು ಆಹ್ವಾನಿಸಿದೆ. ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ ೨೦-೧೨-೯೭ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ೩ ಗಂಟೆಯವರೆಗೆ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. ಅಂದು ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ೩.೩೦ ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಗಳನ್ನು ತೆರೆಯಲಾಗುವುದು

15-11-97

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT

Registered No.— ೪೯೬

Name of Petitioner—

Address—

Date of Petition—

Date of Receipt— 25-9-97 8-10-97

No. of Enclosures—

Abstract

Rev.
10881/2

1897-98

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Mujrai dept.

Dated
Despatched 18
Received
General No. December 1897.
No.

Enclosure No.

I have the honor to forward herewith Roobakari No 56 dated Enstant soliciting orders to assume the management of the Inam lands assigned for the Veerabhadra and the Bheemeswara temples at Hadya in the Yedatore Taluk in the interest of the temples

M. Shama Rao
for Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

11
20-12-97

Reg. No
10 88½

No
56

ಕರಾರುಚೀಟಿ

ಕಲುಚಿರಾಂಡು ಮಲಾಂಮಾರಿಪ್ಪುಪಟಿಕ

ಮಾಷಿಣ್ಣಿಗೆ. ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಸ್ಟುಸುಂಗಿ

ಬರಾಂಕಿಮ 18 ನೆಲಲಾಂಡಿ ರಿಕಾಂಕರೆ 1897 ಸೆಂಮ್ಮಿ

ಸಂತಕ್ಕೆಂ
ಸ್ಟುಸುಂಗಿ } Ch. Ramakrishna

ಪ್ಲೈಂಡ್—ಡಿಟು ಕಲಿಂಗನರೆ

ಗಿಲುಡಕಿಂಗಿಕಿಂಗ್ಳ ಕಾಲ್ಗಿನಾಗಿಲುದಲ್ಲಿಲಾ

ಎಲೆರಚ ಪ್ರದೇಲಸ್ಟ್ರಿ ನಲುಕ್ಕ ಬನಳ್ಳಿಕ್ಕರಡೇಲಸ್ಟ್ರಿನ

ದ ಲಿಲನಾಂಕ್ಕಿಬಳಿಂಡ್ಸಳಂಸ್ಟ್ರ ಕಾಲಿಸಾಮುನಳ್ಳ

ದಾಕ್ರ ಕ್ಕೈಕಲ್ಪಿಶಿ. ದರಖಾಲ್ಲಿದಾಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಪ್ಪಚಿಸಿಕೆಲಿಂ

ರುಬಲಿಪೆಂಲು ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಪ್ಪಿನಿ ಕ್ರಾಯಚಿಳ್ಳಿಕೆಂದು ಕಲುಚಿರಾಂ

ಕ್ಕೈಪೆಟಿ ಸಾಂಕ್ಷಿಬರವರಕುಲುಂಡಿಸಿ— 19-6-97:

వెబ్ సైట్ లో 93 వ నంబర్ రుంబుకొరకు బిడ్-

లు దాఖలు చేయుటకు చివరి తేదీ వాటి అర్హతలు తెలుపు

వివరములు

వెబ్ల్ండర్ల వివరములు మరుచటి రోజు

వెబ్ సైట్ లో సాంకేతిక కారణాల వలన 14-11-96 వ తారీఖు వరకు 636 రూపాయలు 25-1-97 వ

తారీఖు 920-21 వ నంబర్ రుంబుకొరకు

తేదీలు క్రింది విధముగా మార్చడమైనది. —

ఇ సదరు టెండర్ దారులు దరఖాస్తు నందు

తాము కోరిన రుసుము డి.డి. రూపంలో చెల్లించుటకు బదులు

రిజర్వ్ బ్యాంక్ ఆఫ్ ఇండియా వారి "ఇంటర్ బ్యాంక్"

ద్వారా చలానా పే ఆర్డర్ తీసుకొని

దాన్ని తగిన ఆధారాలతో జతపరచవలసి ఉంటుంది

సదరు దరఖాస్తు నందు ఇవన్నీ జతపరచని

దరఖాస్తులు పరిగణించబడవు

ಅಲ್ಲಿರುವಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಲ್ಪಿದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಅಪಚಾರಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸಾಲ್ಲ

ವಂದನೆಗೆ 95 ಮಂಡಿ ಭದ್ರವಸ್ತುಸ್ವೀಕಾರಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಪಡೆದು ಲೋ

ಪಟ್ಟಿಂಗ್ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯಚಾರ್ಜ್ ಕೈಕಾಲ್ಪಿಕೆ ವರಮಾನ್ಯದಾರನ

ಕಿರಾಣಿರುವಚ್ಚಾನವಲ್ಲಿ ಕ್ಷೇಮಲಾಭವಿಲ್ಲೆಂದು 30-6-7

ನೆಳರಕ್ಷೇ. 206 ನೆನಂಬಳರಿಟ್ಟುಂಟುಗಿವಂತ್ರಿಲ್ಲ

ನು - ನದರಿಜೀವಸ್ಥಿನತಂಕಿಳಿ ಯಿಂಚಕಟ್ಟಲು —

(1166-6-0) ರಂತೆಯಿಂಯು ನಅಂಚಾಂಗ್ಯಾರಲು ಂಕೆನ

ರಿ ಕೆಳುಪಿಂಬುವಸ್ಥಿಕಿ ಆಲುಲ್ಲಿಂದ ತೆಲಿದ. 30-11-

ನೆಕಕ್ಷೇ - 62 ನೆನಂಬಳರಿಟ್ಟುಂಟುಗಿಂಬಲಪಡಂತಿ

ನಳ್ಳಂ ಸ್ಥಿಂಬುದಕ್ಕಿಂತ್ರನಿರವಾನಿಸಿಕೆ —

ವಿಂದಲುಬರಕ್ಕಿಂಬುವ. 19-6-97 ನೆ

ವಕ್ಷೇ - 93 ನೆನಂಬಳ. ರಂಬಕಿಂತಿಲ್ಲಂ

ನೆ ಂಬುದುಕ್ಕೀಂ —

ರಿಬು ನಾಂಬಿಸಿಂದ್ರನಳ್ಳು ನಾಮುವಳ

ತಲ್ಪಿಕೆ ವರಮಾನ್ಯದಾರ ಅಪಚಾಂ

ನಮ್ಮ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮಾನ್ಯರಾದ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರವರಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ

ಸವಿನಯ ಕೋರಿಕೆಯೆಂದರೆ ನಾನು ಮಂಡಿಸಿದ ಧರ್ಮಾಂಸ್ಥೆಯ

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾಗಿ ಸುದೀರ್ಘವಾಗಿ ಸೇವೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ

ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪನವರಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ಗೌರವ ಪೂರ್ವಕವಾದ

ಶ್ರದ್ಧಾಂಜಲಿ ನಿರ್ಣಯವನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂಡಿಸಿ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಲು

ಸಭೆಯ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಲು ಬಯಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳು

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

No. 1039
Enclosed (two files).
Separately.

ರೂಬಕಾರಿ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ.

ಬ ತಾ|| ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ
189 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಮೊಕ್ತಾಂ.

By order,

Muzrai Secretary.

ಗುರುತಕೋರಿ ತಿಂಗ್ಳಾಂತಿ
ಹಂತ್ಯಗ್ರಾಮದ ಲ್ಲಿ ಉರು ವ ಮುಚರಂ
ಉಲಿಚಾರಿಹೆಗಳವಳ ಕಟ್ಟು ವೀರಭದ್ರ
ಮತ್ತು ಇನಿಶೀಶ್ವರದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಉ
ನಾಂತಿಜ ವಿಂಸುಗಳನ್ನು ನದರಿದೇವ
ನಗಳ ಶ್ರೀಂಚಾರಿಗಳು ಕರಾದ್ರೀವಯಂ
ರಂತ್ಕಾರೆಂದು ವಸ್ಪೈರೆ, ಯಿಯಾಂ ಅಭಿನಿಗೆ
ಬಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ತಿಳ್ಳುಚಿತಿ
ಪ್ಪನರವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳ್ಳುಮಿನಿಲುರಲಾಂಗಿ,
ಕನಿಗೆಲುಂದ ಉಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಬರಸಿ

(X)

వ యజమాని మంచికొండ సర్వాసనము అందించుచు

ఇంచు కొంది గల్గు సేవలకై ఇట్లు

నగరి వీరభద్రుని

ఇనివేల్పుర మయాంయురడు దేవస్థిక

గల్గి గురం అనిపాం వంచిడ్డ సర్వాసనమూ

వీరభద్రుడి దేవస్థిరకే	సిస్తి ఖాత్ ఆకార	దిరువ
ఇనివేల్పుర దేవుడికే	9-19. 48-0-0	కృకార
	9-17 49-0 "	లులాం

తిరువిందు గల్గు యిరువంతే యయూ,

యిందిక్రి వీరభద్రుడి దేవస్థిరదకిం

కాం తు నేండడే యయుల్లు శిద్దిలవాంగారు

; శీలవుభ్రాం గశి వాంలు భూంతిదేల్లాడు

స్థి స్థిరియయుల్లి యిరువంతే యయూ,

ఎప్పు అల్లి భూం ఇచేయుటప్పుత్రమవాం

నడియుశ్తే లరువడాంగుం. ఇని

వేల్పుర దేవస్థిక డాంగీలుల్లుదే మీ-

డంగి

1897 నే ల్యూలి ఇనిరిల్ చుంది 19 నే తేదు 4/3 1/2 వీరభద్రుడు యాంనగరిలో ఉండును.

తిరుమలదుచుంది 18 వీరభద్రు 5-6 నే వీరభద్రుడి ఉండును.

శరీరస్థానికి కండరాలకు మరియు స్థిరత్వాన్ని
ఇచ్చువటకు సహాయపడుతుంది. ఇది
సహజసిద్ధమైన రక్షణ కవచంగా ఉంటుంది
ఎముకలకు బలాన్ని మరియు దృఢత్వాన్ని
కలిగిస్తుంది. ఎముకలలో ఉండే
మజ్జలో ఎర్ర రక్తకణాలు తయారవుతాయి
ఇవి ఆక్సిజన్ ను రవాణా చేస్తాయి.
ఎముకలలో ఉండే మజ్జలో కొన్ని
రకాల తెల్ల రక్తకణాలు తయారవుతాయి
ఇవి వ్యాధి నిరోధక శక్తిని పెంచుతాయి
ఎముకలలో ఉండే మజ్జలో కొన్ని
రకాల ప్లేట్ లెట్స్ తయారవుతాయి
ఇవి రక్తం గడ్డకట్టడానికి సహాయపడతాయి
ఎముకలలో ఉండే మజ్జలో కొన్ని
రకాల మూలకణాలు తయారవుతాయి
ఇవి శరీరంలో ఏ భాగానికైనా
మారగలవు. ఇవి శరీరంలో
దెబ్బతిన్న భాగాలను బాగుచేస్తాయి
ఎముకలలో ఉండే మజ్జలో కొన్ని
రకాల మూలకణాలు తయారవుతాయి
ఇవి శరీరంలో ఏ భాగానికైనా
మారగలవు. ఇవి శరీరంలో
దెబ్బతిన్న భాగాలను బాగుచేస్తాయి

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ

ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಲು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರು

ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ

ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಸಹಕರಿಸುವುದು

ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಭೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸುವುದು

ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಭೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸುವುದು

ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಭೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸುವುದು

ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಭೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸುವುದು

ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಭೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸುವುದು

ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಭೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸುವುದು

ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಭೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸುವುದು

ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಭೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸುವುದು

లనేండు దశాబ్దాలందు శిథిలమయ్యాయి
కదే. ఆద్దరిందు ఎన్నాం ఆశ్చర్యకరంగా మే
డపల్లి బుర్రనిలయరువ 1896 సంలు నవి నవా
బురు వుంచి 14 సేవుర్గుం 636 సేనం
బురు గుంబు కొరియవుల్లా నమూందిరువ
కే, యిం బునవీశ్వర దేవస్థానదబ
బరు రంబుస్తాంలు వ్రసలు యిం కొరి
బువరిగే స్థయిర్తినిరువదున్న రంబు
వుంర్తిని, వీం బులగు యిష్టు గుచే
అదున్న శిథిలమబేకు.

వీరభద్రు దేవస్థానదబు
వీంబుగళ్ళన్న డాంలందరు వీంస్థిందు:
బువుంర్తిరువదరిందు, యిం బునవీ
శ్వర దేవస్థానదబు వీంబుగళ్ళన్న
శ్రీ సాంగువల్లిగే కేయిండ్లున్న రంబు
లు అందరే దేవస్థానక్కే సొంతవాద
వు యిష్టు గుర్తిగే కేయిండలు దం

సున్నివుండ్రాయిపల్లి గ్రామంలో, ప్రసంగవివరాలు
సరస్వతిపల్లి సచివాలయం యందుంచబోవు
చున్న పట్టాదారు పాస్‌పుస్తకాలు త్వరలో తయారు చేయుచు
కమిండ్ల సరవరలు పల్లిశ్రీ వెల్లుబిచిలు
చేసి.

మలప్పరాధి వల్లు వంచకీ వుండి
రుచ్చి,

By order

పోస్టుమాస్టర్
23-1-78

Fair Copy

Mugalturu

Ls

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
REG. No.
MUZRAI BRANCH

16/4/01

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
REG. No. 1788
MUZRAI BRANCH

ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಪ್ರದೇಶಾಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಮಂಡಳಿ - ಯಾದಗಿರಿ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೆಕೆಆರ್‌ಡಿಬಿ/ಆಡಳಿತ/ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ/(93/-6-0)

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 4 ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿದ

ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಗಳ ಪ್ರತಿ, 38½ ಮಾರ್ಗಸೂಚಿಗಳ

ಪ್ರತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಅಗತ್ಯ ಕ್ರಮಕ್ಕಾಗಿ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಗಳ

ಪ್ರತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಹಿತಿಗಾಗಿ ಮತ್ತು ಅಗತ್ಯ ಕ್ರಮಕ್ಕಾಗಿ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಗಳ

ಪ್ರತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಹಿತ

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೧೦ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೧೧ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೧೨ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೧೩ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೧೪ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೧೫ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೧೬ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೧೭ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೧೮ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೧೯ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೨೦ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೨೧ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೨೨ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೨೩ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೨೪ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೨೫ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೨೬ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೨೭ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೨೮ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೨೯ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೩೦ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೩೧ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೩೨ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೩೩ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೩೪ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೩೫ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೩೬ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೩೭ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೩೮ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೩೯ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೪೦ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೪೧ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೪೨ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೪೩ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೪೪ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೪೫ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೪೬ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೪೭ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೪೮ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೪೯ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೫೦ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೫೧ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೫೨ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೫೩ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೫೪ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೫೫ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೫೬ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೫೭ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೫೮ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೫೯ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೬೦ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೬೧ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೬೨ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೬೩ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೬೪ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೬೫ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೬೬ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೬೭ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೬೮ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೬೯ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೭೦ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೭೧ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೭೨ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೭೩ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೭೪ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೭೫ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೭೬ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೭೭ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೭೮ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೭೯ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೮೦ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೮೧ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೮೨ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೮೩ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೮೪ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೮೫ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೮೬ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೮೭ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೮೮ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೮೯ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೯೦ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೯೧ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೯೨ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೯೩ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೯೪ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೯೫ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೯೬ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೯೭ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೯೮ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೯೯ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲಂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೧೦೦ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

[M & 7] MYSORE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

ನಂ. 782 Sm1 file.

ಪರಿಚಯಪತ್ರ

ಪೈಕಿ- 92
11

ಮೈಸೂರು ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ

ಬಜಾ / ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ೨೦
190 / ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಮೊಕ್ತಂ

By Order,

Muzrai Secretary.

ಇಂದು ನಾನು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಮುಂದೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಲು ಬಂದಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ನನ್ನ ಹೆಸರು ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಬಿನ್ ಲೇಟ್ ಮುನಿಯಪ್ಪ. ನನ್ನ ವಯಸ್ಸು ಸುಮಾರು ಅರವತ್ತೈದು ವರ್ಷ. ನಾನು ಕೃಷಿಕ ಕುಟುಂಬಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವನು. ನಮ್ಮ ಕುಟುಂಬಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ 47/2 ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಮೂರು ಎಕರೆ ಭೂಮಿ ಇದೆ. ಈ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಪಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ 47/1 ಜಮೀನು ಇದೆ. ಅದು ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಮುಖಂಡರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಚಿಕ್ಕಣ್ಣ ಗೌಡರ ಜಮೀನು.

ಈ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಗಡಿ ವಿಚಾರವಾಗಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಮತ್ತು ಚಿಕ್ಕಣ್ಣ ಗೌಡರ ಕುಟುಂಬದವರ ನಡುವೆ ಹಲವು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಭಿನ್ನಾಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಇತ್ತು. ಆದರೆ ಅದು ಎಂದೂ ಹಿಂಸೆಯ ರೂಪ ತಾಳಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಹಿರಿಯರು ಕುಳಿತು ಮಾತುಕತೆಯ ಮೂಲಕ ಬಗೆಹರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು.

ಆದರೆ ಕಳೆದ ವರ್ಷ ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಬದಲಾಯಿತು. ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳ ಮೊದಲ ವಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ನೋಡಿದಾಗ, ನಮ್ಮ ಹೊಲದ ಬೇಲಿಯ ಒಂದು ಭಾಗವನ್ನು ಕಿತ್ತು ಹಾಕಲಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಸುಮಾರು ಹತ್ತು ಅಡಿಗಳಷ್ಟು ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಅತಿಕ್ರಮಿಸಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕಣ್ಣ ಗೌಡರ ಕಡೆಯವರು ಉಳುಮೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರು.

ನಾನು ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದೆ. ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯವರು ಸರ್ವೆಯರ್ ಅವರನ್ನು ಕರೆಸಿ ಅಳತೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿದರು. ಅಳತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅತಿಕ್ರಮಣ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿತು. ಆದರೆ ಚಿಕ್ಕಣ್ಣ ಗೌಡರು ಅದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಲಿಲ್ಲ.

ಜೂನ್ 24ರಂದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ಸುಮಾರು 10 ಗಂಟೆಯ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾಗ, ಚಿಕ್ಕಣ್ಣ ಗೌಡರ ಮಗ ಸುರೇಶ ಮತ್ತು ಇನ್ನೂ ಮೂವರು ಬಂದು ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಅಡ್ಡಗಟ್ಟಿದರು. ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬನ ಕೈಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಬ್ಬಿಣದ ರಾಡ್ ಇತ್ತು. ಅವರು ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಜಾತಿ ನಿಂದನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಬೈದು, ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಕಾಲಿಟ್ಟರೆ ಕೊಂದು ಹಾಕುವುದಾಗಿ ಬೆದರಿಕೆ ಹಾಕಿದರು.

ನಾನು ಭಯದಿಂದ ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಓಡಿ ಬಂದು ಮನೆಯವರಿಗೆ ವಿಷಯ ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ನನ್ನ ಪತ್ನಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮ ಮತ್ತು ಮಗ ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಇಬ್ಬರೂ ಈ ಘಟನೆಗೆ ಪ್ರತ್ಯಕ್ಷ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು. ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಆಗ ಹತ್ತಿರದ ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದನು.

ಈ ಘಟನೆಯ ನಂತರ ನಾನು ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಲು ಭಯಪಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಬೆಳೆಯನ್ನು ಕಟಾವು ಮಾಡಲು ಸಹ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಸುಮಾರು ಎಪ್ಪತ್ತು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಷ್ಟು ನಷ್ಟವಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ನಾನು ಅಂದಾಜಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ನಾನು ಸತ್ಯವನ್ನೇ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಸಹಿ / ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ

ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿದವರು

ಮೇಲಿನ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಓದಿಸಿ ಕೇಳಿ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

(1) ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಹುದ್ದೆ

ಹೆಸರು : ಎಂ. ಶಿವಕುಮಾರ್

ಹುದ್ದೆ : ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಸಬ್‌ಇನ್ಸ್‌ಪೆಕ್ಟರ್, ಕಸಬಾ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಠಾಣೆ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ (2) ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡ ದಿನಾಂಕ ಮತ್ತು ಸಮಯ

ದಿನಾಂಕ : 24ನೇ ಜೂನ್ 1984 ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 3 ಗಂಟೆ

ಕಳ್ಳತನ ಪ್ರಕರಣ ದಾಖಲಿಸಿ -

ಈ ಪತ್ರದ ಮೂಲಕ ನಾನು ತಮಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ ನಾನು ಮೂಲತಃ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನವನಾಗಿದ್ದು ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಕೆಲಸದ ನಿಮಿತ್ತ ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸವಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ನಾನು ಕಳೆದ ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷದಿಂದ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಕುವೆಂಪು ನಗರದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಮನೆಯನ್ನು ಬಾಡಿಗೆಗೆ ಪಡೆದು ವಾಸವಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ದಿನಾಂಕ 27/4/07 ರಂದು ನಾನು ಕೆಲಸದ ನಿಮಿತ್ತ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದು ಮರುದಿನ ಅಂದರೆ ದಿನಾಂಕ 28/4/07 ರಂದು ಮೈಸೂರಿಗೆ ವಾಪಸ್ಸು ಬಂದಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಆಗ ನನ್ನ ಮನೆಯ ಬಾಗಿಲು ಮುರಿದಿದ್ದು ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಸುಮಾರು 50,000/- ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಹಣ ಮತ್ತು ಚಿನ್ನಾಭರಣಗಳು ಕಳ್ಳತನವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಕಳ್ಳತನವಾದ ಹಣ ಮತ್ತು ಚಿನ್ನಾಭರಣಗಳನ್ನು ಪತ್ತೆ ಹಚ್ಚಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಈ ದೂರನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ -

ಸಹಿ/-
29/4/07
ಸೈಯದ್ ಮುಸ್ತಾಕ್

29/4/07

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
REG. No. 1474
MUZRAI BRANCH

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 1900-1 47

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

Put
27/6/07

Refers
R
27/1/07

14


OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
REG. No. 4944
MUZRAI BRANCH

ಕನ್ನಡ ಪ್ರಾಥಮಿಕ ಶಾಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಗೀತ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ

ಸಂಗೀತ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣವು ಮಕ್ಕಳ ಸರ್ವತೋಮುಖ ಬೆಳವಣಿಗೆಗೆ ಅತ್ಯಂತ ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದು ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೃಜನಶೀಲತೆ, ಭಾವನಾತ್ಮಕ ಸಮತೋಲನ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಕೌಶಲ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಇಂದು ಅನೇಕ ಪ್ರಾಥಮಿಕ ಶಾಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಗೀತ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರ ಕೊರತೆ ಇದೆ.

ಸಂಗೀತ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣದ ಪ್ರಯೋಜನಗಳು

ಸಂಗೀತವು ಮಕ್ಕಳ ಏಕಾಗ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಹಾಡುಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮತ್ತು ವಾದ್ಯ ನುಡಿಸುವಿಕೆಯಿಂದ ಮಕ್ಕಳ ಉಚ್ಚಾರಣೆ ಸುಧಾರಿಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಗುಂಪಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಡುವುದರಿಂದ ಸಹಕಾರ ಮನೋಭಾವ ಬೆಳೆಯುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಂಗೀತವು ಒತ್ತಡವನ್ನು ಕಡಿಮೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಮನಸ್ಸಿಗೆ ಆನಂದ ನೀಡುತ್ತದೆ.

ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳು

ಅನೇಕ ಶಾಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಗೀತ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರ ಹುದ್ದೆಗಳು ಖಾಲಿ ಇವೆ. ಸಂಗೀತ ಉಪಕರಣಗಳ ಕೊರತೆಯೂ ಇದೆ. ಪಠ್ಯಕ್ರಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಗೀತಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಸಮಯ ನೀಡಲಾಗುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಪೋಷಕರಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಸಂಗೀತ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಜಾಗೃತಿ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಇದೆ.

ಪರಿಹಾರ ಕ್ರಮಗಳು

ಪ್ರತಿ ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ಒಬ್ಬ ಸಂಗೀತ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರನ್ನು ನೇಮಿಸಬೇಕು. ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಸಂಗೀತ ಉಪಕರಣಗಳಿಗೆ ಅನುದಾನ ನೀಡಬೇಕು. ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರಿಗೆ ತರಬೇತಿ ಶಿಬಿರಗಳನ್ನು ಏರ್ಪಡಿಸಬೇಕು. ಪೋಷಕರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತದ ಮಹತ್ವದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅರಿವು ಮೂಡಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಉಪಸಂಹಾರ

ಸಂಗೀತ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣವು ಮಕ್ಕಳ ಸಮಗ್ರ ಬೆಳವಣಿಗೆಗೆ ಅತ್ಯಗತ್ಯ. ಸರ್ಕಾರ, ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು ಮತ್ತು ಪೋಷಕರು ಒಟ್ಟಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಿದರೆ ಈ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಹರಿಸಬಹುದು. ಪ್ರತಿ ಮಗುವಿಗೂ ಸಂಗೀತ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ದೊರೆಯುವಂತಾಗಲಿ.

No. 1817 Dy 16. 5. 1902.

Imwz F 34-1901

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ –

ಅ) ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

i) ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಎರಡು ಕೃತಿಗಳ ಹೆಸರನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಉತ್ತರ:

ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಎರಡು ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಕೃತಿಗಳೆಂದರೆ ಪಂಪಭಾರತ ಮತ್ತು ವಿಕ್ರಮಾರ್ಜುನ ವಿಜಯ.

ii) ಪಂಪಭಾರತ ಕೃತಿಯ ಕರ್ತೃ ಯಾರು?

ಉತ್ತರ:

ಪಂಪಭಾರತ ಕೃತಿಯ ಕರ್ತೃ ಪಂಪ.

iii) ಪಂಪಭಾರತ ಕೃತಿಯು ಯಾವ ಶತಮಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದೆ?

ಉತ್ತರ:

ಪಂಪಭಾರತ ಕೃತಿಯು ಕ್ರಿ.ಶ. ೧೦ನೇ ಶತಮಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದೆ.

iv) ಪಂಪಭಾರತ ಕೃತಿಯ ಕಥಾನಾಯಕ ಯಾರು?

ಉತ್ತರ:

ಪಂಪಭಾರತ ಕೃತಿಯ ಕಥಾನಾಯಕ ಅರ್ಜುನ.

v) ಪಂಪಭಾರತ ಕೃತಿಯ ಕಥಾನಾಯಕನ ಬಿರುದುಗಳಾವುವು?

ಉತ್ತರ:

ಪಂಪಭಾರತ ಕೃತಿಯ ಕಥಾನಾಯಕನ ಬಿರುದುಗಳು ಉಭಯ ಕವಿತಾ ವಿನೋದ, ಸಮ್ಯಕ್ತ್ವ ರತ್ನಾಕರ, ಸಹಜ ಕವಿ ಪರಮೇಶ್ವರ, ಸಕಲ ಕವಿ ಚಕ್ರವರ್ತಿ, ಸಕಲ ಕವಿ ಲೋಕ ಪಿತಾಮಹ, ಸಕಲ ಕವಿ ಲೋಕ ಮಿತ್ರ, ಸಕಲ ಕವಿ ಲೋಕ ಗುರು, ಸಕಲ ಕವಿ ಲೋಕ ಸಾರ್ವಭೌಮ, ಸಕಲ ಕವಿ ಲೋಕ ಸಾಮ್ರಾಜ್ಯ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀ ಪತಿ ಮತ್ತು

vi. ...

...

...

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಸಿಆಸುಇ 636 ಸಿಕೆಎಂ 96 ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ 14 ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ 1964

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಕಛೇರಿ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರು,

ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಛೇರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಬಳಕೆ

ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಛೇರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಯನ್ನು ಆಡಳಿತ ಭಾಷೆಯಾಗಿ ಬಳಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿರುವ ಆದೇಶಗಳ ಹೊರತಾಗಿಯೂ ಕೆಲವು ಕಛೇರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡವನ್ನು ಸಮರ್ಪಕವಾಗಿ ಬಳಸಲಾಗುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲವೆಂಬ ದೂರುಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿವೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಗಂಭೀರವಾಗಿ ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಿದೆ.

2. ಈ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಆದೇಶಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದೆ:-

ಅ) ಎಲ್ಲ ಕಛೇರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಡತಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಟಿಪ್ಪಣಿ ಬರೆಯುವುದು, ಪತ್ರವ್ಯವಹಾರ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಮತ್ತು ಆಂತರಿಕ ಸಭೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಚರ್ಚೆಗಳು ಕನ್ನಡದಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ನಡೆಯಬೇಕು.

ಆ) ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಂದ ಬರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕನ್ನಡದಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಉತ್ತರ ನೀಡಬೇಕು. ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಇಂಗ್ಲಿಷ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದರೂ ಉತ್ತರ ಕನ್ನಡದಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಇರಬೇಕು.

ಇ) ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಫಲಕಗಳು, ಸೂಚನಾ ಪತ್ರಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಗಳು ಕನ್ನಡದಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಇರಬೇಕು.

ಈ) ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಬಳಕೆಯ ಪ್ರಗತಿಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರತಿ ತ್ರೈಮಾಸಿಕಕ್ಕೊಮ್ಮೆ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

3. ಈ ಆದೇಶಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸುವಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಫಲರಾಗುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಶಿಸ್ತುಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಆಜ್ಞಾನುಸಾರ,

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ, ಆಡಳಿತ ಸುಧಾರಣಾ ಇಲಾಖೆ

ಸಾಲ

ಸಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳು : ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟು ಈ ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

೧. ಈ ಸಾಲದ ಮೊತ್ತವನ್ನು ಸಾಲಗಾರರು ಸಾಲ ಪಡೆದ ದಿನಾಂಕದಿಂದ ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷದ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂದರೆ ೧೯೮೧ ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳ ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕದೊಳಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಮರುಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೨. ಸಾಲದ ಮೊತ್ತವನ್ನು ಸಾಲಗಾರರು ಸಾಲ ಪಡೆದ ದಿನಾಂಕದಿಂದ ಮರುಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡುವ ದಿನಾಂಕದವರೆಗೆ ಶೇಕಡಾ ೧೨ ½ ರಂತೆ ಬಡ್ಡಿಯನ್ನು ಸಾಲಗಾರರು ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೩. ಸಾಲಗಾರರು ಸಾಲದ ಮೊತ್ತವನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಬಡ್ಡಿಯನ್ನು ಸಾಲ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಬ್ಯಾಂಕಿನ ಶಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೪. ಸಾಲಗಾರರು ಸಾಲದ ಮೊತ್ತವನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಬಡ್ಡಿಯನ್ನು ಸಾಲ ಪಡೆದ ದಿನಾಂಕದಿಂದ ಮರುಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡುವ ದಿನಾಂಕದವರೆಗೆ ಪ್ರತಿ ವರ್ಷ ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳ ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕದೊಳಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೫. ಸಾಲಗಾರರು ಸಾಲದ ಮೊತ್ತವನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಬಡ್ಡಿಯನ್ನು ಸಾಲ ಪಡೆದ ದಿನಾಂಕದಿಂದ ಮರುಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡುವ ದಿನಾಂಕದವರೆಗೆ ಪ್ರತಿ ವರ್ಷ ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳ ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕದೊಳಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಸಾಲದ ಮೊತ್ತ	೧೮೮ ½	ರೂಪಾಯಿ
ಸಾಲಗಾರರ ಹೆಸರು	೩೮ ½	"
ಸಾಲಗಾರರ ವಿಳಾಸ	೩೮ ½	"
ಸಾಲಗಾರರ ಹೆಸರು	೩೮ ½	"
ಸಾಲಗಾರರ ವಿಳಾಸ	೩೮ ½	"
ಸಾಲದ ಮೊತ್ತ	೩೪ ½	ರೂಪಾಯಿ

ಕಾಲ್ಪನಿಕ ಕಥೆಗಳು ಮಕ್ಕಳ ಕಲ್ಪನಾ ಶಕ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸುತ್ತವೆ, ಮತ್ತು ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ ಸೃಜನಶೀಲತೆಯನ್ನು ಹುಟ್ಟುಹಾಕುತ್ತವೆ. ಅವು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಹರಿಸಲು ಮತ್ತು ಸವಾಲುಗಳನ್ನು ಎದುರಿಸಲು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತವೆ. ಕಾಲ್ಪನಿಕ ಕಥೆಗಳು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಸಹಾನುಭೂತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕಾಳಜಿಯನ್ನು ಕಲಿಸುತ್ತವೆ. ಅವು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಸಂತೋಷ, ದುಃಖ, ಭಯ ಮತ್ತು ಕೋಪದಂತಹ ವಿವಿಧ ಭಾವನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಅರ್ಥಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತವೆ. ಕಾಲ್ಪನಿಕ ಕಥೆಗಳು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಸಂವಹನ ಕೌಶಲ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಪಡಿಸಲು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತವೆ. ಅವು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಗಳನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಮಿಸಲು ಮತ್ತು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಲು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತವೆ. ಕಾಲ್ಪನಿಕ ಕಥೆಗಳು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಸಮಾಜದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಗೆ ಬದುಕಬೇಕು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಕಲಿಸುತ್ತವೆ. ಅವು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಸರಿ ಮತ್ತು ತಪ್ಪುಗಳ ನಡುವೆ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸವನ್ನು ಗುರುತಿಸಲು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತವೆ. ಕಾಲ್ಪನಿಕ ಕಥೆಗಳು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಜೀವನದ ಮೌಲ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಕಲಿಸುತ್ತವೆ. ಅವು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಾಮಾಣಿಕತೆ, ಧೈರ್ಯ, ಸಹಾನುಭೂತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಕರುಣೆಯಂತಹ ಗುಣಗಳನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತವೆ. ಕಾಲ್ಪನಿಕ ಕಥೆಗಳು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಸಂತೋಷವಾಗಿರಲು ಮತ್ತು ಜೀವನವನ್ನು ಆನಂದಿಸಲು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತವೆ. ಅವು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಕನಸು ಕಾಣಲು ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಕನಸುಗಳನ್ನು ನನಸಾಗಿಸಲು ಪ್ರೇರೇಪಿಸುತ್ತವೆ. ಕಾಲ್ಪನಿಕ ಕಥೆಗಳು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳನ್ನು ಓದುವ ಅಭ್ಯಾಸವನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸಲು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತವೆ. ಅವು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಜ್ಞಾನವನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲು ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಶಬ್ದಕೋಶವನ್ನು ವಿಸ್ತರಿಸಲು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತವೆ. ಕಾಲ್ಪನಿಕ ಕಥೆಗಳು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯವನ್ನು ಮೆಚ್ಚಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಮತ್ತು ಆನಂದಿಸಲು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತವೆ. ಅವು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಜೀವನದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಶಸ್ವಿಯಾಗಲು ಅಗತ್ಯವಾದ ಕೌಶಲ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಕಲಿಸುತ್ತವೆ. ಕಾಲ್ಪನಿಕ ಕಥೆಗಳು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಸಂತೋಷವಾಗಿರಲು ಮತ್ತು ಜೀವನವನ್ನು ಆನಂದಿಸಲು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತವೆ. ಅವು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಕನಸು ಕಾಣಲು ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಕನಸುಗಳನ್ನು ನನಸಾಗಿಸಲು ಪ್ರೇರೇಪಿಸುತ್ತವೆ. ಕಾಲ್ಪನಿಕ ಕಥೆಗಳು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳನ್ನು ಓದುವ ಅಭ್ಯಾಸವನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸಲು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತವೆ. ಅವು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಜ್ಞಾನವನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲು ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಶಬ್ದಕೋಶವನ್ನು ವಿಸ್ತರಿಸಲು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತವೆ. ಕಾಲ್ಪನಿಕ ಕಥೆಗಳು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯವನ್ನು ಮೆಚ್ಚಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಮತ್ತು ಆನಂದಿಸಲು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತವೆ. ಅವು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಜೀವನದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಶಸ್ವಿಯಾಗಲು ಅಗತ್ಯವಾದ ಕೌಶಲ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಕಲಿಸುತ್ತವೆ.

ه 24 مد ← ← ... ...

... ...

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಪಿಡಿ 54 ಬಿಎಲ್ಆರ್ 2016 (iii) ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ: 27.04.2016

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಪಿಡಿ 54 ಬಿಎಲ್ಆರ್ 2016 ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ: 27.04.2016

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಪಿಡಿ 54 ಬಿಎಲ್ಆರ್ 2016 (iii)

೨ ನೇ ಅಂಶವು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳುವ ಸಂದರ್ಭವನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸುತ್ತದೆ

ಈ ಸಮಯ ಸಮಯ ಸಮಯ



16

1902

From A. Ramamjiengar Esquire
Asst Deputy Commissioner
Hospet District

To L. Anantaswami Rao Esquire BA
Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
Honzrai Department.

Dated 25th } June 1902.
Despatched
Received
General No.
No.

Enclosure No. 1 H.V.P

Submitting a Rubkari in the matter of leasing out the inam lands of Sri Basaveswara Swami temple at Hadya, in the Yedatare Taluk, for the year "Subhakrutu".

fees
2/
27/6/02



Submitted for orders
kr
30/6/02

The Dy Commr's recommendn is approved steps should be taken early for the disposal of the matter.
kr
5-7-02

రసీదు వ్రాసి

వసూలు చేసినవారు

సాంబశివరావు

బి.ఏ.

1902 సం॥ మే నెల 25 వ తేదీ

ఇట్లు

ఫైనల్ రిక్వెస్టు రసీదు

ఈ రసీదు ఎవరికి ఇవ్వబడినదో వారు ఈ రసీదు
తీసుకొని వెళ్ళి ఇవ్వవలసిన వారి వద్ద నుండి
వసూలు చేసుకొని వెంటనే ఈ రసీదును
తిరిగి ఇవ్వవలసినది. లేకపోతే
వసూలు చేసినవారు ఈ రసీదు ఇవ్వకుండా
వసూలు చేసినట్లు రుజువు అయినట్లయితే
వారిపై క్రిమినల్ చర్యలు తీసుకోబడును
కావున ఈ రసీదు ఇవ్వబడిన వారు
తమ వద్ద నుండి ఎవరైనా వసూలు చేసినట్లు
తెలిసినట్లయితే వెంటనే తెలియజేయవలసినది
కోరడమైనది.

ಯಾವುದಾದರು ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಪರ್ಕಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕೆಲಸದ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕಾರಣಕ್ಕೂ ಮೊಬೈಲ್ ಫೋನ್ ಬಳಸಬಾರದೆಂದು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದೆ. ಒಂದು ವೇಳೆ ಯಾರಾದರೂ ಮೊಬೈಲ್ ಫೋನ್ ಬಳಸುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಕಂಡುಬಂದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಹವರಿಗೆ ಮೊದಲ ಬಾರಿಗೆ ಎಚ್ಚರಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿ ನಂತರ ಪುನರಾವರ್ತನೆಯಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಹವರಿಗೆ ಕೆಲಸದಿಂದ ವಜಾಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗುವುದೆಂದು ಎಚ್ಚರಿಸಿದೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಮವಸ್ತ್ರ ಧರಿಸಿ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಬರಲು ಸೂಚ

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸದರಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಸಹ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಸಮರ್ಥಿಸಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸದರಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸಗಳು ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸದರಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಆರೋಪಿಯ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆರೋಪಿಯು ಸಹ ಸದರಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಅಲ್ಲಗಳೆದ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಇಂಜಿ ನಿನಿಪ್ರಾಇ/ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ/ಟೆಂಡರ್-ಕೆಸಾಪ್ರ/೨೦೨೪-೨೫

ಇಂದ: ಇಂಜಿ ನಿನಿಪ್ರಾಇ/ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ/ಟೆಂಡರ್-ಕೆಸಾಪ್ರ/೨೦೨೪-೨೫

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು
ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸಾಂಖ್ಯಿಕ ಪ್ರಯೋಗಾಲಯ
ಕೆ.ಎಸ್.ಸಿ.ಎ. ಮೈದಾನ ಕಾಂಪ್ಲೆಕ್ಸ್ ಆವರಣ
ಮಲ್ಲೇಶ್ವರಂ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-೫೬೦೦೧೨

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಸ್ಥಳ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪಟ್ಟಿ ತಯಾರಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿಕೆ

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸಾಂಖ್ಯಿಕ ಪ್ರಯೋಗಾಲಯದ ಕಟ್ಟಡದ ಮೇಲ್ಛಾವಣಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಗೂ ಕಟ್ಟಡದ ಸುತ್ತಲೂ ಮಳೆ ನೀರು ಸೋರಿಕೆಯಾಗುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಕಟ್ಟಡದ ಒಳಗಡೆ ಇರುವ ಪ್ರಯೋಗಾಲಯದ ಉಪಕರಣಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ ಪೀಠೋಪಕರಣಗಳು ಹಾಳಾಗುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸೋರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ತಡೆಗಟ್ಟಲು ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಉದ್ದೇಶಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಸ್ಥಳ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ತಯಾರಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ,

ಸಹಿ/-

No. 80 Enfield
Inv/F. 34-01 WP.
Dt 8th July 1902

2.

ಶೈಲಾ ಎಲ್ ಬಿಲಂಡಿಕ್ ಹೆಂಗಸು ತಂದೆ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕಿ ಎಲ್ಲಿಂದಲೂ
ಬಿಲಂ ಹೈಂಡ ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಸಂಬಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಬಿಲ್ಲಿಂದ
ಕೆಲ, ಬಿಲಂ ಕ್ಲಿಷ್ಟ ಸಕ್ಕ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕಲಿಸಿ ಕ್ಲಿಕ್ಕು ಪ್ರಿಂ
ಕ ಶೈಲಾ ಎಲ್ ಎಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಂ ಬಿಕ್ಕ ಬಿಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಪ್ರತಿನಿ, ಶಿಂಕ
ಕ ಹೆಂಗಸು ಹೆಂಗಸು ಕ್ಲಿಕ್ಕು ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಸಂಬಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ, ಬಿಲಂಡಿಕ್ಲಿ
ಕ ಶಿಂಕ ಕಲಸ ಬಿಲ್ಲಿ ಶಿ ಬಿಲಂಡಿಕ್ಲಿ ಬಿಲಂಡಿಕ್ಕೆ

ಬಿ ಕಲ್ಲಿ -

3. ಬಿಲಂಡಿಕ್ಲಿ, ಹೆಂಗಸು ಹಿಲ್ಲಿ ಪಕ್ಕಂ ಕ್ಲಿಷ್ಟ
ಶಿಂಡಿಕ ಹೆಂಗಸು ಹೊಲ ಹೆಸರು ಕಲಿಸಿಂಡಿ ಕಲಿಂಸಿ ಕ
ಸಿಲ ಕಡಿ ಹೈ ಕಲಿಂ ಂ ವ- ಕ ಂ ಸಿ ಂಲಿ ಂ, ಶಿಂ ಸಿಲ್ಲಿಂ ಪ
ಂ ಬಿಡಿ ಕ ಒ ಂಡ ಕ ಂ ಸಿಂ ಂ ಶಿಂ ಬಿಲಂ ಂ ಬಿಲ
ಪ್ರಿಂ ಶಿಂ ಕ ಕ ಡ ಪ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಂ ಸ್ಟ ಲ ಹೈ ಚ ಕ ಸಿ ಹೆಂಗ್ಲಿಂ

ಕ ಡ್ಡಿ ಶಿಂ ಹೈ ಕಲಿಂ -

4 ಶಿಂ ಬಿಂ ಕಲಿಂ ಹೈ, ಹೊಲ ಹೆಂ ಕ ಬ ಕ ಶಿಂ ಹೆಂಗಸು
ಡಂ ಕೆ ಕಲಿಂ ಕ್ಲಿ ಡಿ ಂ ಕ ಲ ಬಿ ಡಿ ಂ ಕ ಒ ಂ ಡ ಹೆಲ್ಲಿ ಂಲಿ
ಕ ಕಲಿ ಪ ಕಲಿ ಬಿಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆ ೨೪ ೧/೨ ಚಿಂ ಂ ಡಿ ಹೈ ಕ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಸಿ ಕೆ ಂ ಸಿ
ಹೆಂಗ್ಲಿಂ ಂ ಕಲಿ ಚಿ ಂ ಸಿ ಶಿಂ ಕ ಕ ಸಿ ಪ್ಲಿ ಬಿ ಕ್ಲಿ ಕ ಪ್ಲಿಂಕಿ
ಚಿ ಬಿಲ್ಲಿ ಬಿಲಂ ಕಲಿ ಕ ಡ ಕಲಿಂ ಹೈ ಕಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲಿ ಬಿಲಂ ಂ ಕ ಶಿ ಕ
ಕ ಕ ಹೆಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆ ಕ ಂ ಸಿ ಂ ಹೆಂಗ್ಲಿಂ ಸ ಕ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಂ ಂ ಬಿಲ ಕ ಡ ಕಲ್ಲಿ
ಸ್ಲಿ ಹೆ ಕಲಿಂ ಂ ಸಿ ಂ ಕ್ಲಿ ಕ ಂ ಸಿ ಂ ಕ್ಲಿ — ಶಿಂ ಹೈ ಕಲಿಂ ಕ ಂ ಬಿಲಂ
— ಚ ಚಿಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಂ ಚ ಬ ಸಿ ಂಲಿ ಂ ಕ ಕ ಕ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಂ ಕ ಕಲಿಂ ಬ ಬಿಂಡಿ ಕ್ಲಿ ಶಿ ಕ ಕ ಕ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಂ
ಚಿ ಹೈ ಕಲಿಂ ಂ ಸಿ ಂ ಕ್ಲಿ ಕ ಂ ಸಿ ಂ ಕ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಂ ಂ ಬಿಲಂ ಕ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಂ ಕ ಂ ಬಿಲಂ ಕ್ಲಿ
5. ಶಿಂ ಂ ಡ ಕ ಕ ಕಲಿ ಪ. ಡ ಂ ಕ ಂ ಬಿಲಂ ಕಲಿ ಪ್ಲಿಂ ಕ ಂ ಬಿಲಂ

ಹೀಗೆ ಆಗಿ ಹೋದ ಅಪರಾಧಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಈ

ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಿರಿ, ಅಥವಾ

ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗದಿದ್ದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣ

ತಿಳಿಸಿರಿ —

6. ಅಂಜಲಿಯ ಹೆಸರಿನ ಹೆಂಗಸು ದಿನಾಂಕ 16 ನೇ ಜನವರಿ 1817 ನೇ

ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬಾಲಕನೊಬ್ಬನನ್ನು ಹೆತ್ತಳು ಎಂಬುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು

ಇವೆಯೇ? ಅವಳು ಆ ಮಗುವಿಗೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ಹೆಸರಿಟ್ಟಳೇ?

ಇಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರೆ ಅದು ಯಾವ ಹೆಸರು? ಅವಳು ಆ ಮಗುವನ್ನು

ಎಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆತ್ತಳು? ಅವಳು ಆ ಮಗುವನ್ನು ಹೆತ್ತಾಗ ಅವಳ

ಜೊತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾರಾದರೂ ಇದ್ದರೇ? ಇದ್ದರೆ ಅವರು

ಯಾರು? ಅವರ ಹೆಸರುಗಳೇನು? ಅವರು ಈಗ

ಎಲ್ಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ? ಅವರ ವಿಳಾಸವೇನು? —

7. ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಿರಿ

ಹೀಗೆ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದೆ
6-7-1902

ಸಹಿ.

By order

ಹೆಸರು,

For Superintendent

ಹೆಸರು

ప్రొసీడింగ్స్

ఎలక్ట్రిసిటీ కాంట్రాక్టర్ కొత్తపేట

ఎలా వా —

ఈనెల 25 వ తేదీ మధ్యాహ్నం 3 గంటలకు
కొత్తపేట పంచాయితీ ఆఫీసులో ఎలక్ట్రిసిటీ
కాంట్రాక్టర్ ఎన్నిక జరుగుతుంది అని
ఎలా తెలియజేయడమైనది —

దీనికి గాను ఆసక్తి గల మా
దగ్గరున్న వారు దీనికి చెందిన
క్వాలిఫికేషన్ సర్టిఫికేట్లు మరియు
వారి అనుభవం వివరాలు 25-6-1909 వ
తేదీ ఉదయం 10 గంటలకు మా
ఆఫీసులో అందజేయగలరు అని
తెలియజేయడమైనది —

29. 6. 1909

(సంతకం) D. Ahobalakon
ఎలక్ట్రిసిటీ కాంట్రాక్టర్

ఎలా ఫోటోలు తీయడానికి ఎలా వారు
రాలేక పోయినట్లు ఎలా ఫోటోలు తీయడం
ఎలా కాంట్రాక్టు ఆపివేయబడినట్లు
ఎలా ఫోటోలు తీయడానికి ఎలా వారు
వచ్చినట్లుగా — ఎలా ఫోటోలు

ನೆಲ್ಲಿಕಿಂಡಲಕ್ಕಿ ಸೀಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ

ಉಲಾಂ ಮಾಲ್ಕಳ್ಳಿಕಾರ್ -

ಮೇಲಾಡಕಲಂ - ಕಾಂಲ್ಮಾಕ್ಕೆ ಕೇಡು ಪದಕ್ಕೆಯಾಲಿಕ್ಕೆ
ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ ಉಲಾಳ್ಳ ಮಾ ಶ್ರೀಧರಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಯ
ಕೆಲ್ಲರ್ಕರ ಉಲಾಂ ಅಂತಿಮ ಮಾರ್ಗಸ್ಥಾಪನೆಯವರಿಗೆ
ರಕ್ಷಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಗ್ನಿ ನಲಿಂಗಯ್ಯ ಅಂಗರಕ್ಷಕ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಯರ
ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಆರ್ಡರ್ -

ಹಿಂಗಾಗಿ ಸಲಿಯ ಅಗ್ನಿ ಕೆಲ್ಲರ್ಕರ ಪ್ರೇಮ
ಉಪಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಸಲಿಂಗಯ್ಯ ಅಂಗರಕ್ಷಕ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಯರ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ
ಪ್ರಾಣಿಯಾಗಿ ಬಿಟ್ಟಿದ್ದ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ
ಹಿಡಿಯಿಸಿ ಕೊಂಡಾಡಿಕೆಯ ಸಲಿಂಗಯ್ಯ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಯರ
ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅಲಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಪ್ರಾಣಿಯರ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ
ಅಗ್ನಿ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಯರ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಯರ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ
ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಯರ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಯರ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ
15-8 ಕೆಳಗೆ ಅಂಗರಕ್ಷಕ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಯರ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ
ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಪ್ರಾಣಿಯರ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಯರ
ಬಿಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ

ಇತಿ ಉಲಾಂಮಾಲ್ಕಳ್ಳಿಕಾರ್
ಶಕ 14.7.02 ನಲಿಂಗಯ್ಯ ಅಂಗರಕ್ಷಕ
ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಪ್ರಾಣಿಯರ
ಬಾಲ್ಯ ಅಂಗರಕ್ಷಕ -

ಶಕ 5

ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಪ್ರಾಣಿಯರ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ
ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಪ್ರಾಣಿಯರ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ

ನಂ. 3ಬಿ.

ಅಪರ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಛೇರಿ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 13-6-1975

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಎ.ಡಿ.ಎಂ.(ಎಲ್.ಎ.)ಸಿ.ಆರ್.ಬಿ.ಎಂ.ಪಿ.ಆರ್.ಎಲ್.ಎ.

These are the enclosures
to the Petn no 102 d/23/7/02
from one Chickka Basavalingaiah
of Hadya Village.

The original Petn & the
Copies of these enclosures
have been forwarded to the
Dy: Comr Mysore with this
letter no. 207/34-01 d/27/7/02.

ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದು ಕೈಮುಗಿದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಂಡರೂ ಕೊಡಲಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವನಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಲೇಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹಠ ಹಿಡಿದು ಕೂತಿದ್ದೆ.

ಅವನು ಕೊಡಲಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ಕೇಳಿ ನನಗೆ ಕೋಪ ಬಂದಿತ್ತು.

ಅವನು ಕೊಡಲಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ಕೇಳಿ ನನಗೆ ಬೇಸರವಾಗಿತ್ತು.

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ.

ಸ್ಥಳ: ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು. ದಿನಾಂಕ: 11-7-1978.

ಗೌರವಾನ್ವಿತ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಅಬ್ದುಲ್ ಖಾದರ್ ಅವರಿಗೆ,

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು,
ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಸಮಿತಿ,
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಾರಿ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯನಾಗಿ ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ನಾನು ಕೃತಜ್ಞತೆಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಮೊದಲ ಸಭೆಯು ದಿನಾಂಕ 21-6-1978ರಂದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 10 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಕೆ.ಪಿ.ಸಿ.ಸಿ. ಕಚೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯಲಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿದುಬಂದಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಆ ದಿನ ನಾನು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಮುಂದೂಡಿದರೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಭೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕವನ್ನು ಮುಂದೂಡಿ ಬೇರೆ ದಿನಾಂಕವನ್ನು ನಿಗದಿಪಡಿಸಿದರೆ ನಾನು ಸಭೆಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಮುಂದೂಡಿ ಬೇರೆ ದಿನಾಂಕವನ್ನು ನಿಗದಿಪಡಿಸಲು ಕೋರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ.

ಸ್ಥಳ: ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು. ದಿನಾಂಕ: 11-7-1978.

P. Ahobalam

ಇವರಿಗೆ,

ಅಪರಾಧಿಯ ಸಹಿ

ಅಪರಾಧಿಯ ಹೆಸರು

ಅಪರಾಧಿಯ ವಿಳಾಸ

ಈ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರಾದ

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರ ಸಹಿ

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರ ವಿಳಾಸ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 158 ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಠಾಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ದಾಖಲಾಗಿರುವ

ಕೇಸಿನ ಆರೋಪಿಯು ಈ ದಿನ ದಿನಾಂಕ 25-6-02 ರಂದು

ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಆರೋಪಿಯು

ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಿರುವ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಇಚ್ಛೆಯಿಂದ

ಈ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತಾನೆ.

29-6-02 D. Abdulla

ಮೆಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟರು

23-7-02

Received from
Mr. [illegible]
24/7/02

102

This matter does require careful consideration - am not sure over order of the Court (No 80). Need correct. Register, call for the complete records including the relating to the sale - Also ask Mr. [illegible] not to disturb the party in actual possession as he may be —

24/7/02

ಸಮ 29 ಕ್ಷ ಅಂಶ ಮೊತ್ತ ಸಾಧಿಸಲು ಇರಬೇಕಾದ ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಸ ಎ ಪಿ ಆ ಸಂ ಬಿ ಸಿ ಡಿ ಇ ಎಫ್ ಜಿ ಎಚ್ ಐ ಜೆ ಕೆ ಎಲ್ ಎಂ ಎನ್ ಒ ಪಿ ಕ್ಯೂ ಆರ್ ಎಸ್ ಟಿ ಯು ವಿ ಡಬ್ಲ್ಯು ಎಕ್ಸ್ ವೈ ಝಡ್

7 ಸಮ 25 ಕ್ಷ ಅಂಶ ಮೊತ್ತ ಸಾಧಿಸಲು ಇರಬೇಕಾದ ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಸ ಎ ಪಿ ಆ ಸಂ ಬಿ ಸಿ ಡಿ ಇ ಎಫ್ ಜಿ ಎಚ್ ಐ ಜೆ ಕೆ ಎಲ್ ಎಂ ಎನ್ ಒ ಪಿ ಕ್ಯೂ ಆರ್ ಎಸ್ ಟಿ ಯು ವಿ ಡಬ್ಲ್ಯು ಎಕ್ಸ್ ವೈ ಝಡ್

ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಕೋಷ್ಟಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಆಧರಿಸಿ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ. 25-6-2025 ರಿಂದ 29-6-2025 ರವರೆಗೆ ಒಂದು ಸಾಫ್ಟ್‌ವೇರ್ ಕಂಪನಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವ ಉದ್ಯೋಗಿಗಳ ಹಾಜರಾತಿ ವಿವರ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತಿದೆ.

ದಿನಾಂಕ	ಒಟ್ಟು ಉದ್ಯೋಗಿಗಳು	ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದವರು	ರಜೆಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದವರು
25-6-2025	150	142	8
26-6-2025	150	145	5
27-6-2025	150	138	12
28-6-2025	150	140	10
29-6-2025	150	144	6

1) ಈ ವಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಅತಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಉದ್ಯೋಗಿಗಳು ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದ ದಿನಾಂಕ ಯಾವುದು?

ಉತ್ತರ: 25-6-2025 ರಂದು 142 ಉದ್ಯೋಗಿಗಳು ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದರು.

2) ಈ ವಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಅತಿ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಉದ್ಯೋಗಿಗಳು ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದ ದಿನಾಂಕ ಯಾವುದು?

ಉತ್ತರ: 27-6-2025 ರಂದು 138 ಉದ್ಯೋಗಿಗಳು ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದರು.

3) ಈ ವಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು ಎಷ್ಟು ಉದ್ಯೋಗಿಗಳು ರಜೆಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದರು?

ಉತ್ತರ: 8 + 5 + 12 + 10 + 6 = 41 ಉದ್ಯೋಗಿಗಳು ರಜೆಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದರು.

4) ಈ ವಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರಾಸರಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಉದ್ಯೋಗಿಗಳು ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದರು?

ಉತ್ತರ: (142 + 145 + 138 + 140 + 144) / 5 = 709 / 5 = 141.8 ಉದ್ಯೋಗಿಗಳು ಸರಾಸರಿ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದರು.

5) ಹಾಜರಾತಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಅತಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿದ್ದ ದಿನಾಂಕ ಯಾವುದು? ಆ ದಿನದ ಹಾಜರಾತಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಎಷ್ಟು?

ಹಾಜರಾತಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಅತಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿದ್ದ ದಿನಾಂಕ 25-6-2025. ಆ ದಿನದ ಹಾಜರಾತಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ 142/150 = 94.67%

6) ಈ ವಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಾತಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಅತಿ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದ ದಿನಾಂಕ ಯಾವುದು? ಆ ದಿನದ ಹಾಜರಾತಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಎಷ್ಟು?

ಹಾಜರಾತಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಅತಿ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದ ದಿನಾಂಕ 27-6-2025. ಆ ದಿನದ ಹಾಜರಾತಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ 138/150 = 92%

7 ಸಮ ಅಂಶಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಸಮಾಂತರ ಶ್ರೇಢಿಯ ಮೊದಲ ಪದ ಮತ್ತು ಕೊನೆಯ ಪದಗಳ ಮೊತ್ತ ಅದರ ಮಧ್ಯದ ಎರಡು ಪದಗಳ ಮೊತ್ತಕ್ಕೆ ಸಮವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಸಾಧಿಸಿ.

ಸಾಧನೆ: ಸಮಾಂತರ ಶ್ರೇಢಿಯ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸ d ಆಗಿರಲಿ. ಮೊದಲ ಪದ a ಆಗಿರಲಿ. ಆಗ ಶ್ರೇಢಿಯು a, a+d, a+2d, a+3d, ... ಆಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಪದಗಳಿರುವ ಶ್ರೇಢಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊನೆಯ ಪದ a+(n-1)d ಆಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಮೊದಲ ಪದ ಮತ್ತು ಕೊನೆಯ ಪದಗಳ ಮೊತ್ತ a + a+(n-1)d = 2a + (n-1)d. ಮಧ್ಯದ ಎರಡು ಪದಗಳು n/2 ಮತ್ತು (n/2)+1 ನೇ ಪದಗಳು. ಅವುಗಳ ಮೊತ್ತ a+((n/2)-1)d + a+(n/2)d = 2a + (n-1)d. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮೊದಲ ಪದ ಮತ್ತು ಕೊನೆಯ ಪದಗಳ ಮೊತ್ತ ಮಧ್ಯದ ಎರಡು ಪದಗಳ ಮೊತ್ತಕ್ಕೆ ಸಮವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಲೋಕಸೇವಾ ಆಯೋಗ

ಪ್ರಾಥಮಿಕ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಯನ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 1 ಮತ್ತು 2 ಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ಕೇಂದ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳು

1. ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 10.00 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾಗಿ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 12.00 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಮುಕ್ತಾಯವಾಗುವುದು. ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 9.30 ಗಂಟೆಯೊಳಗೆ ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ಕೇಂದ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು. ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 10.00 ಗಂಟೆಯ ನಂತರ ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ಕೇಂದ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರವೇಶಿಸಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

2. ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ಕೇಂದ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರವೇಶಿಸುವಾಗ ಮತ್ತು ಹೊರಗೆ ಹೋಗುವಾಗ ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಕಡ್ಡಾಯವಾಗಿ ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರಿಗೆ ತೋರಿಸಬೇಕು.

3. ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ಕೇಂದ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಕೈಗಡಿಯಾರ, ಮೊಬೈಲ್ ಫೋನ್, ಕ್ಯಾಲ್ಕುಲೇಟರ್, ಪೇಜರ್, ಬ್ಲೂಟೂತ್ ಸಾಧನ, ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳು, ಬರಹ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು ಅಥವಾ ಯಾವುದೇ ಇಲೆಕ್ಟ್ರಾನಿಕ್ ಉಪಕರಣಗಳನ್ನು ತರಬಾರದು.

4. ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ಕೇಂದ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಶಿಸ್ತು ಕಾಪಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು. ಯಾವುದೇ ರೀತಿಯ ಅನುಚಿತ ವರ್ತನೆ ಅಥವಾ ಅಕ್ರಮ ಎಸಗಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಯನ್ನು ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯಿಂದ ಅನರ್ಹಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

5. ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾದ ನಂತರ ಮೊದಲ 30 ನಿಮಿಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ಕೊನೆಯ 15 ನಿಮಿಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ಕೊಠಡಿಯಿಂದ ಹೊರಗೆ ಹೋಗಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

6. ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ಕೊಠಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಸ್ಪರ ಮಾತನಾಡಬಾರದು ಅಥವಾ ಸಂವಹನ ನಡೆಸಬಾರದು.

7. ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ಕೊಠಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಸ್ಪರ ಮಾತನಾಡಬಾರದು ಅಥವಾ ಸಂವಹನ ನಡೆಸಬಾರದು.

8. ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ಅಸ್ಪಷ್ಟತೆ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಅಂತಿಮವೆಂದು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಲೋಕಸೇವಾ ಆಯೋಗ, ಪ್ರಾಥಮಿಕ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಯನ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 1 ಮತ್ತು 2 ಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ಕೇಂದ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳು ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ ದಿನಾಂಕ 11-7-2025 ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ ದಿನಾಂಕ 13 ಸೆಪ್ಟೆಂಬರ್ 2025 ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ಸಮಯ ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 10.00 ರಿಂದ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 12.00 ಗಂಟೆಯವರೆಗೆ ಒಟ್ಟು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು 150 ಒಟ್ಟು ಅಂಕಗಳು 150 ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ಕೇಂದ್ರಗಳು 412 ಒಟ್ಟು ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು 5,08,000 ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಪತ್ರ ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ 14-7-2025 ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಪತ್ರ ಲಭ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸ್ಥಳ ಆಯೋಗದ ಅಧಿಕೃತ ಜಾಲತಾಣ ಮಾತ್ರ ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ಕೇಂದ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 9.30 ರೊಳಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾದ ನಂತರ ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಇಲ್ಲ ಮೊದಲ 30 ನಿಮಿಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊರಗೆ ಹೋಗಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲ ಕೊನೆಯ 15 ನಿಮಿಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊರಗೆ ಹೋಗಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲ ಕೈಗಡಿಯಾರ ಅನುಮತಿಸಲಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಮೊಬೈಲ್ ಫೋನ್ ಅನುಮತಿಸಲಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಕ್ಯಾಲ್ಕುಲೇಟರ್ ಅನುಮತಿಸಲಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಪೇಜರ್ ಅನುಮತಿಸಲಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಬ್ಲೂಟೂತ್ ಸಾಧನ ಅನುಮತಿಸಲಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳು ಅನುಮತಿಸಲಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಬರಹ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು ಅನುಮತಿಸಲಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಯಾವುದೇ ಇಲೆಕ್ಟ್ರಾನಿಕ್ ಉಪಕರಣಗಳು ಅನುಮತಿಸಲಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಕಡ್ಡಾಯವಾಗಿ ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರಿಗೆ ತೋರಿಸಬೇಕು ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ಕೊಠಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಸ್ಪರ ಮಾತನಾಡಬಾರದು ಅಥವಾ ಸಂವಹನ ನಡೆಸಬಾರದು ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ಕೇಂದ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಶಿಸ್ತು ಕಾಪಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು ಯಾವುದೇ ರೀತಿಯ ಅನುಚಿತ ವರ್ತನೆ ಅಥವಾ ಅಕ್ರಮ ಎಸಗಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಯನ್ನು ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯಿಂದ ಅನರ್ಹಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ಅಸ್ಪಷ್ಟತೆ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಅಂತಿಮವೆಂದು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಪಬ್ಲಿಕ್ ಸರ್ವಿಸ್ ಕಮಿಷನ್

ಕೆ.ಎ.ಎಸ್. (ಮುಖ್ಯ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ), 2023

ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಕನ್ನಡ

ಸಮಯ : 3 ಗಂಟೆ 30 ನಿಮಿಷಗಳು — ಗರಿಷ್ಠ ಅಂಕಗಳು : 100

ಸೂಚನೆಗಳು :

1. ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು ಎರಡು ವಿಭಾಗಗಳಿವೆ.
2. ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೂ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಬೇಕು.
3. ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಗಮನಿಸಿ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ.

ವಿಭಾಗ – ಎ

1. ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದ್ಯಭಾಗವನ್ನು ಓದಿ ಅರ್ಥೈಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಅದರ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ :

ಕಾಲ ಕಾಲಕೆ ಮಳೆಯು ಬೆಳೆಯು ಸಮೃದ್ಧವಾಗಿರಲು
ಹಾಲು ಜೇನಿನ ಹೊಳೆಯು ಹರಿಯುವುದು ಭೂಮಿಯಲಿ
ಬಾಳು ಬಂಗಾರವಹುದು ಬಾಳುವೆಯು ಸಂತಸದಿ
ಕೂಳಿಗಾಗಿಯೆ ಕೊಲೆಯು ಕಳ್ಳತನ ಸುಲಿಗೆಗಳು
ಕೇಳಿಯೇ ಕಾಣದಿರಲಿ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕೆ ಮಳೆಯು
ಕಾಲ ಕಾಲಕೆ ಮಳೆಯು ಬೆಳೆಯು ಸಮೃದ್ಧವಾಗಿರಲು

ಎ) ಪದ್ಯಭಾಗಕ್ಕೆ ಸೂಕ್ತವಾದ ಶೀರ್ಷಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 1

ಬಿ) ಪದ್ಯಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಳಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರಾಸಪದಗಳನ್ನು ಗುರುತಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 1

ಸಿ) ಪದ್ಯಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಳಸಿರುವ ಅಲಂಕಾರವನ್ನು ಗುರುತಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 1

ಡಿ) ಪದ್ಯಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಳಸಿರುವ ಛಂದಸ್ಸನ್ನು ಗುರುತಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 1

ಇ) ಪದ್ಯಭಾಗದ ಆಶಯವನ್ನು ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತವಾಗಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 2

ಎಫ್) ಪದ್ಯಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಳಸಿರುವ ಅಲಂಕಾರವನ್ನು ಗುರುತಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 1

ಜಿ) ಪದ್ಯಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಳಸಿರುವ ಛಂದಸ್ಸನ್ನು ಗುರುತಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 1

ಎಚ್) ಪದ್ಯಭಾಗದ ಆಶಯವನ್ನು ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತವಾಗಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 2

ಐ) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಧಿ ಬಿಡಿಸಿ ಸಂಧಿಯ ಹೆಸರನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 2

ಜೆ) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಮಾಸ ವಿಗ್ರಹ ವಾಕ್ಯ ಬರೆದು ಸಮಾಸದ ಹೆಸರನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 2

ಕೆ) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಮಾನಾರ್ಥಕ ಪದಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 2

ಎಲ್) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ವಿರುದ್ಧಾರ್ಥಕ ಪದಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 2

ಎಂ) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿ ಪ್ರತ್ಯಯ ಬೇರ್ಪಡಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 2

ಎನ್) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿ ಪ್ರತ್ಯಯ ಬೇರ್ಪಡಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 2

ಒ) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿ ಪ್ರತ್ಯಯ ಬೇರ್ಪಡಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 2

ಪಿ) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿ ಪ್ರತ್ಯಯ ಬೇರ್ಪಡಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 2

ಕ್ಯೂ) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿ ಪ್ರತ್ಯಯ ಬೇರ್ಪಡಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 2

ಆರ್) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿ ಪ್ರತ್ಯಯ ಬೇರ್ಪಡಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 2

ಎಸ್) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿ ಪ್ರತ್ಯಯ ಬೇರ್ಪಡಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 2

ಟಿ) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿ ಪ್ರತ್ಯಯ ಬೇರ್ಪಡಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 2

ಯು) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿ ಪ್ರತ್ಯಯ ಬೇರ್ಪಡಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 2

ವಿ) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿ ಪ್ರತ್ಯಯ ಬೇರ್ಪಡಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 2

ಡಬ್ಲ್ಯು) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿ ಪ್ರತ್ಯಯ ಬೇರ್ಪಡಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 2

ಎಕ್ಸ್) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿ ಪ್ರತ್ಯಯ ಬೇರ್ಪಡಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 2

ವೈ) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿ ಪ್ರತ್ಯಯ ಬೇರ್ಪಡಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 2

ಝಡ್) ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿ ಪ್ರತ್ಯಯ ಬೇರ್ಪಡಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. 2

Urgent-

To

The Dy Commr
Mysore District

No. 207 Ex 5
Mun. T 34-01
Dated 27th July 1902

Dated 26th July 1902.

208 & 209

Sir,

In continuation of
this Office Rubkari no 80
Mys R 34-01
dated 8th July 1902, I am
directed to forward herewith
petition no 102 dated 23rd
instant, presented by one
Chikka Basavalingiah of
Hadya village in the Gudu
-tore Taluk together with copies
of its enclosures-

2. From one of the
now
important documents produced by
the petitioner, viz the "Sanj-
-vali Chit" given to him

by the Amildar under his own
signature, it is clear that
the lands belonging to the
Parasurameswaraswami Temple
at Hanhya were leased out
to the petitioner for the
current year for 55 Rupees as he happened
to be the highest bidder
at the auction-sale held
in pursuance of this Office
Rubkari No 1817 dated
16th May 1902, after due
publicity and that
Said, Parasuralingiah
He was also authorized
to cultivate the lands in
question. He represents that,
on the strength of the Amildar's
"Inguvanti Chit" dated
13th June 1902, referred to above and the
Pattadhikara obtained

from him by the Amildar,
on ~~stamp~~ stamp paper,
which had been read
in this office with the
Dy Comr's Rubkari
he measured the lands
entire
and also planted crops
for a portion of it- viz
about- 3 acres. This
shows that- he was about
given possession of the
lands in question.

3 But- ~~it- having been~~ unbeknown
~~from the Dy~~ to the Dy
it- was understood from
the Dy Comr's ~~Comr's~~ Rubkari no 5-3
dated 25th June 1902, ~~it-~~
~~was understood that-~~
~~the lands had not been~~
~~given possession of to the~~
~~ryotwar bidders Brahmin~~
~~Bangish and that the~~
that- the lands continued to be in the
possession of the former
holders, Viz, Channa
Veerappa and Kunti

and it- Consequently
~~the Dy Commr it~~ was ordered in this Office

~~to cancel the~~ Rubkari cities

~~same could be accepted~~ above Head-

~~lands might be left in~~ undisturbed for this yr.

~~the hands of the former~~ and that the Lumsden Patt

~~holders.~~ of only 38½ Kandies might

be accepted from the

for this year

4 I am to state that-

the matter seems to require

further careful consideration, and I am accordingly

to request- ~~I am~~ that-

this Office order no 80 dated

6th instant, be not- given effect to — I am also to ask immediate

for the Lumsden

~~the~~ complete records

of)

on the subject, including

those relating to the sale,

such as Ishtikar, Tagavali

-Chit-, stamped matchulika &c.

~~should be submitted~~

~~to this Office at once~~

5- Pending the final orders of Govt,

~~I am directed~~

I am directed to request-

You will be so good
as to issue very early
orders to the Amildar
of the Zyadatee Taluk, not-
to disturb the party in
actual possession, who-
-ever he may be - that-
is, after the sale,
is, if, the highest bidder
Chetia
~~had~~ Parmanandjeeth
was actually
~~had been~~ placed in
possession of the lands
by the Amildar, he shd
now be left undisturbed
both, if even after the
satisfaction executed
by him and the 'Sunnudi
Chit-' given to him, the
former holders have
continued who in ~~the~~

possession of the lands

they should not be

disturbed., ~~pending~~ ~~final orders of Govt.~~

6 The party ~~some~~

in actual possession of

the lands will have to ~~.~~ however

give the Katta holders

as has been already agreed

to by him for this year -

I have &c

Dft. 27/7/02

Dy Secy

Irrigation Dept

Sr

20 ಕೆ
34-01

ದಿ 27.7.00

ಅನು ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ

ಶ್ರೀ ಚಾಲಕ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ

ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ-ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ

ಅಮ್ಮ ಶ್ರೀ ಅಂಗಂ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ

ಅನು ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ

ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಚಾಲಕ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ

ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ

ಅಂಗ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ

ಶ್ರೀ

26/7/02

27/7/02

R.P. 209
Rem. 734-01 Copy forwarded to the Dy Comr
Mysore, in continuation of this office
letter no 207 dated 26th July 1902.

26/7/02

Date 9-6-2025
No. 2025
UTTARAI BRANCH

2.4



ఈ సందర్భంలో ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ ప్రభుత్వం ఈ విషయంలో తీసుకున్న చర్యలు ఏమిటి?

9-6-93



Dated 19.6.1903

The Commissioner
Mysore Dist

ನಂ 2487-ಶಿ ದಿ 10.6.03.
ಗ್ರಾಪಂ: F-3401

ಅನುಬಂಧ

ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ. ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ ಪಡಿತರ ಚೀಟಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

1 ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಕೋಂ ರಾಮಪ್ಪ, ಹಳೆ ಕೇರಿ, ಬೆಳ್ಳಿಮಟ್ಟಿ 3401 4

2 ಶ್ರೀ ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಬಿನ್ ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ, ಗಾಣಿಗರ ಬೀದಿ, ಬೆಳ್ಳಿಮಟ್ಟಿ 3402 3

3 ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಸಾವಿತ್ರಿ ಕೋಂ ಮಂಜುನಾಥ, ಗಾಣಿಗರ ಬೀದಿ, ಬೆಳ್ಳಿಮಟ್ಟಿ 3403 5

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಸರಿಸಲಾದ ಫಲಾನುಭವಿಗಳು ಈ ಆದೇಶ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದ ದಿನಾಂಕದಿಂದ ಒಂದು ತಿಂಗಳೊಳಗೆ ಅಂದರೆ ದಿನಾಂಕ 10.7.2025 ರೊಳಗಾಗಿ ಈ ಆದೇಶದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕೆಲಸ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಈ ಆದೇಶದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕೆಲಸ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಲು ವಿಫಲರಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಹವರ ಹೆಸರನ್ನು ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಿ, ಅರ್ಹ ಹೊಸ ಫಲಾನುಭವಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಸೇವೆಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಿ ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ

ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಹಿತದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ

ನಂ 2487-ಡಿ
ಗ್ರಾಅಪ್ರ:ಸಿ.34-01 ದಿನಾಂಕ 10.6.03

ಇವರು ತಮ್ಮ ಮನೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಇರುವ ಸಿಮೆಂಟ್ ರಸ್ತೆಯನ್ನು ಅಗೆದು ಚರಂಡಿ ನಿರ್ಮಿಸಿ ಸಿಮೆಂಟ್ ಸ್ಲಾಬ್ ಹಾಕಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಈ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರ ಹಿತದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ —

ಸದರಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತ ರೂ. 2,000/- ಗಳಿಗೆ ಮೀರದಂತೆ ಮಂಜೂರಾತಿ ನೀಡಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಈ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರ ಹಿತದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ವರ್ಷ 2003-04ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ನಿಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಿದೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು

ಪಿಡಿಓ

10/6/03

To

The Dy Commissioner

Mysore District.

No 2486 Ex 2 &
Mun: F. 34-01

2487-8 Dy 10. 6. 1903.

Dated 10th June 1903.

In continuation
of this Office nos 207 & 209
dated 27th July 1902,
regarding the Inam lands
endowed to the Sri Basu-
-veswara Temple at Hadya
in the Gundlupet Taluk,
I have the honor to forward
herewith the marginally
noted 2 petitions - one
being from Lingamma,
& wife of the Pujari of the
said temple and the other
from

from Yadunandana Chuma-

-Veerappa and others, who

are the cultivators of the

Inam lands in question.

The Inamdar's wife represents

that the lands which were

in the possession and enjoyment-

of her ancestors from a

very long time were, ~~harassed~~ a few years ago, less

and ~~by the Arbitrator~~ brought the Suit- Agency, on the

misrepresentation of her

husband's opponents to the effect that-

the lands had been alienated-

by her husband; and prays

~~praying~~ that, as the allegations

against her husband, who

is since dead, are not-

true, the lands may be

restored to her for Puja

and other services in the

together with the past arrears of

income from the

Inam lands

deducting from the accumulated savings of Rs 900 and ~~the cost of~~ the estimated cost of the repairs required to the temple.

2. The other petitioners, who are the present holders of the land, state that the Inam lands belonging to the temple have not been alienated to them by the Gujaris, as was misrepresented by their opponent Chetty Basavalingiah; that after the introduction of the Channel about 30 years ago, the lands in question which were originally dry were converted into wet

that the Injuria being unable
to meet the cost of heavy
expenditure that had to
be incurred to make the lands
fit for cultivation,
~~gave them to their possession~~
the lands
leased out to them on thereya
^
terms, on condition that
the entire cost of incurred
on the lands should be
borne by themselves; and that they
accordingly ~~they had done~~ incurred heavy expenditure
in the possession thereof
on the lands and
have been
~~purchased~~ for ~~them~~ several
years, paying the Gutta
as agreed to by them
amount to the Injuria. ^ They further state
~~that they~~ that although
the lands were leased out
^
~~obtained~~ through Govt. Agency by
public auction for 55 Rundiks
(= Rundiks for one year in
902-903), their possession

was not disturbed, as
they since represented to
their grievances ~~would~~ In these
were they ~~thought~~ proper steps in
consideration of their
long enjoyment and
the heavy cost incurred
by them to make the lands
fit for ~~cultivation~~ Wet cultivation, they
may be allowed to conti
-nue to be in the possession
of the lands, paying the
usual quitta ~~viz~~ to the temple viz, 38 1/2
Kundies of paddy, which
they pay is a reasonable
quotta, when compared
with the ~~surrounding~~ prevailing rates on the
lands -

3. The Dy Comm's attenti
is drawn to his office
no 207 dated 27th July
1902

Signed

and he is requested to
submit a detailed report
on the following points, with reference to the
correspondence ~~[illegible]~~ previous correspondence
for from time to time: on the subject, as the
matter has to be finally
decided once for all

(i) In this office Rubkari
No 636 dated 14th November 1896
it was directed that the
temple's share of the produce
of the Inam lands should
be sold by public auction
and the sale proceeds
placed under attachment,
until the Arachak rebuilt
the temple. And in this
connection it was finally
decided that, as recommended
by the Dy Comrs, the temple
might be repaired at an
estimated cost of Rs 256,
the charge being met from

from the accused savings
of Rs 931-6-". What-
action was taken in this
matter ?

(ii) The Gujarati was direct-
ed to be paid a salary of
Rs 6 per mensem for the
previous four years, viz, from Decem-
ber -Summi both years
inclusive - Was the
amount paid to him
From the petition now
received from the
deceased's Gujarati's wife
it is understood that
the payment was not made
~~the amount not received~~

(iii). What is the Dy
Court's opinion in the
matter of restoring the
lands to the Gujarati, as
proposed

grounds set forth by them in their respectful petition?

4. It has already been observed in this Office Letter No 207 dated 27th July 1902, that the matters now under correspondence require further careful consideration; and it has also been directed in the same communication that pending final orders of Government, the party in actual possession of the lands, whoever he might be — whether Chief Basawalingiah, who was the highest under

at the auction held

last year, or the ~~former~~ present petitioners who

~~had been of the lands~~ are the occupants of the lands —

should not be disturbed.

From the petition of

Yadunandan Channarayya

and others ~~dated~~ under

reference, it is clear that their possession was not disturbed although the sale took place last year and that they are now in actual possession of the lands.

If so, they may be allowed to cultivate them as usual, on condition of their giving the usual quitta to the temple — and not to the Enjoi — pending final decision of Govt as to their continuance or otherwise —

5. The action taken in the matter should be immediately reported.

Bro

ks
10/6/03

Dfi

10/6/03

Dy

30

(General Serial No 30)

1902-03

~~189~~

From M. Kantaraj Urs, Esq B.A
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore district

To L. Ananta Rama Rao Esq B.A
Deputy Secretary, Govt
of Mysore, Muzrai
department

Dated

Despatched 18th June 1903

Received

General No. 327 / No. } 189

Enclosures No. One

Reporting re grant of
land as gutta of Sri
Basaveswara Swami temple
at Hadya, Yedatore Taluk.



Read
22/6/03

Refer
Ad

From

The Secretary Government

Mysore Revenue

Department,

Bangalore 18th June 1903

To

The Honble Mr. Kantaraj Urs, B.A.

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

Sir

I am directed to forward the petition of Chick Basavaraja praying the sanction for purchase of Gutta rights, praying for permission to cultivate the lands belonging to the Sri Basaveswara Swami temple at Hadya in the Yedatore taluk in accordance with the Muzrai rules executed by him last year. I have the honor to state that the petitioner had about a Rupee amount for manuring ploughing &c but was not

- quently allowed to cultivate the lands
in pursuance of your letter No. 207 dated
27th July 1902.

2. Since the management of the temple
has been assumed by Government the
lands belonging to the temple are to be
leased out by Government and not by the
Pujari as has been done in this case. The
annual payment of the quantity of
paddy to be given to the temple was
rightly put to auction and the petitioner
is the highest bidder.

3. When 55 Kandies or even more could
be got for the same lands 38½ Kandies
is rather low and therefore apprehend
others cannot complain of any loss as
they have been enjoying immense profit during
past years. If Government is inclined
to show them any concession at all it
may be allowed to cultivate the lands

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
Deputy Surveyor
Upper District.

ಕೃಷಿಯಂತೆಯೆ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಪ್ರಾಚೀನ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಳವಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದ ಕೆಲವು ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳು ಇಂದಿಗೂ ಸಹ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಲ್ಲಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬರುತ್ತವೆ. ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಂಗಸರು ಬಳೆಗಳನ್ನು ಧರಿಸುವುದು ಮದುವೆಯಾದ ಸಂಕೇತವಾಗಿದೆ. ಬಳೆಗಳನ್ನು ಧರಿಸುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವರ ಕೈಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ಬಗೆಯ ಸೌಂದರ್ಯ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಬಳೆಗಳು ಗಾಜಿನವು, ಪ್ಲಾಸ್ಟಿಕ್‌ನವು, ಲೋಹದವು ಎಂಬ ಮೂರು ಬಗೆಯವು ಇವ

Muz. 2.] MYSORE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT

ನಂ. 2605

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾಣ ಕಚೇರಿ

ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ.

[illegible]

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಸೈಟ್ ಮಾಹೆ 23 ನೇ

ಮಕ್ಕುಂ 2114 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

[illegible]

5 6·03

MGOPB—500—31-8-01

Secretary
Muzrai Dept.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
MUSEUM BRANCH

25/6/03

(105)

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಪಿಡಬ್ಲ್ಯೂಡಿ ೧೦೯ ಎಸ್‌ಬಿಬಿ ೨೦೦೩ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೨೫-೬-೨೦೦೩

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು,
ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ,
ಲೋಕೋಪಯೋಗಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ,
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ವಿಷಯ: ಮೈಸೂರು ಅರಮನೆಯ ಆವರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾರಂಪರಿಕ ಕಟ್ಟಡಗಳ ಸಂರಕ್ಷಣೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳ ಕುರಿತು.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಅರಮನೆಯ ಆವರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾರಂಪರಿಕ ಕಟ್ಟಡಗಳ ಸಂರಕ್ಷಣೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳ ಕುರಿತು ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಪತ್ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಪಿಡಬ್ಲ್ಯೂಡಿ ೧೦೯ ಎಸ್‌ಬಿಬಿ ೨೦೦೩ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೭-೬-೨೦೦೩ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾವನೆಯ ಕುರಿತು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟೀಕರಣ ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ದಿನಾಂಕ 24-2-1903

ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿ-ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕಾರ್ಯ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ—

ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಈ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವವರು ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಅಪ್ಪಾಜಿಗೌಡರು ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಚಿಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಗೌಡರು ಇವರು ಸಂಬಂಧಿಕರಾಗಿದ್ದು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ — ಇವರು ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಅಡಚಣೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಹವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಕಾನೂನು ಕ್ರಮ ಜರುಗಿಸ

Dated 19-6-1903

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore

Very urgent

Mysore Govt Memorial

Enclosure.

No 2604 Of

Mur F 34-1901

25/6/03

Enc 1 & 2

F - 2605 &6

To

The Dy Commr

Mysore District

Dated 25th June 1903

His Letter no 327

dated 18th June 1903,

regarding the Encumbrances

attached to the Basaveshwara

Temple at Hardya in the

Yedatore Taluk.

With reference to the

above has the honour to

invite the Dy Commissioner's

attention to this Office

Docket no 2486 dated

Mys F 34-01

10th June 1903, and to

H.

Also

2

The present communication from the Dy Commr does not appear to have been sent in reply thereto, as answers to some points therein referred to have not been received

~~has been received to the~~

~~Second point thereby referred~~

to. Unless a detailed report is ~~received~~ submitted on all the points as has been already called for, the question of leasing out the temple lands to others than cultivators cannot be finally decided by Government, as the question of long possession and enjoyment by the present cultivators Chinnaveerappa and others has crept in.

2. From the marginally-noted references it is quite clear that the former holders of the lands viz Chinnaveerappa, Kunta and others have continued to be in the possession of the lands and that in

* (i) Dy Commr's Proceedings no 53 dated 26th June 1902 to this Office,

(ii) Notice issued to Chikka Basavalingappa from the Tahsildar dated June 1

in pursuance of ~~the~~ ~~proposal~~ ~~submitted~~ ~~in~~ ~~his~~ ~~memorandum~~ ~~dated~~ ~~the~~ of the Dy Commissioner's orders

No 158 dated 29th June 1902

to the Subdivisional Officer, Hungwe not disturbed from their

3. In the matter of housing and the temple lands it is always desirable to give preference to those that have been already cultivating them, wherever it is possible to do so, having of course in view the interests of the temple. This point will be borne in mind when the entire correspondence is received from the Dy Commrs together with the detailed report

reports called for in
this Office Docket No
2486 cited above —
But as in the meanwhile,
the Foundry cultivating
for this year
season has already approached
the final disposal of the question by Lands on
and as further Correspondence
entails some unavoidable
and as the Temple suffers a loss hourly
delays the Dy Comm^r
is requested to issue
immediate instructions
to the Auditor permitting
the present occupants of
the lands to cultivate
them as for this year,
on condition of giving
the usual quitts to the
temple viz 38½ Cowdies.

4 The other questions
involved on the subject
will be disposed of in
due

due course when the
entire records are
received -

The Enclosure to the
Docket number reply
is herewith returned
marginally noted
together with the papers
since received.

Nos 2114 and 2131.

DVC

25/6/44

Secretary

ks
25/6/03

Encl- as above.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Muzrai Department
Dated Mysore 9th May 1904.

To

M. Shama Rao Esq., M.A
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore in the Muzrai Department

Sir,

With reference to your No. 207 and 2486/34-01 dated 27th July 1902 and 10th June 1903 respectively calling for further information re the produce of the Inam lands endowed to the Sri Basaveswara temple at Hadya in the Yedatore Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith the correspondence ending with the Hunsur Division Assistant Commissioner's Yadi No. 434 dated 28th April 1904. From the Yedatore Taluk Amildar's report No. 49 dated 23rd April 1904 it will be observed that the sums of Rs. 91-10-1 and 839-11-11 are in the Savings bank and Hoonhead at the credit of the temple. The repairs of the temple as per enclosed estimate for Rs. 256/ could not be executed without the formal sanction of Government as instructed in the Rulkhan No. 1817 dated 16th May 1902.

20

The aggregate sum of Rs 288/ being the pay of the archak from the year Dhoondhi to Shaarvari at Rs 6/ per mensem was due to him out of this, Rs 231/ being the value of the produce of the Inam lands for the year Nevilambi have been paid to the archak and the sum of Rs 57/ is yet to be paid.

The Amildar is of opinion that the Inam lands may be restored to the Pujari after the temple being repaired and that the lands may be leased out to the former tenants for 55 Kandies of paddy under the present condition. These lands are reported to have been in the possession of the former tenants.

I therefore request that early orders may be kindly passed to lease out the lands.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

KL

35

3132

MYSORE GOVERNMENT

3174.

3301

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
3819
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

19—6—౦౫

జవరాలి

Mysore Urgent

Read :—

Correspondence ending with letter no 315 dated 28th May 1904 from the Dy Commissioner, Mysore District, submitting for sanction an estimate for Rs 256 for repairing the Sree Basaveswara temple at Hadagu in the Gundlupet Taluk; and recommending the leasing of the temple Inam lands to the present tenants on a higher rent.

Order: —no: 558-562 Encl 1d17file. m:7:no.34-01 2nd August 04

From the correspondence noted above, it is observed that, since the produce of the Inam lands was attached in the year Dhoomketu, there is

no doubt

is an accumulated saving
of Rs 431-6-4 upto the
end of January, of which
Rs 411-10-1 is invested
in the Savings Bank and
the balance of Rs 839-11-11
are included under
Household Funds.

The Dy Comm recommends
that the estimated cost
of Rs 256 for repairing
the Institution may be met
from these savings. This
recommendation is approved
and the estimate is accordingly
sanctioned.

2. As several petitions
have been received from
the residents of the village
as well as from the Gujari, praying
that the work of repairs
may be entrusted to the
Dharmadarsis of the

who are stationed to be
taking a real interest in
the affairs of the Institution
the Dy Comrs is requested
to entrust the work to
the said Kasambandarams,
subject, of course, to the
supervision of the
Architect. The work
should be completed
before the end of December ~~October~~ ~~Septr~~
and the final intimation
to Govt, when final
orders will be passed
regarding the restoration
of the entire premises
of the Swami Mandir to the
Pujari.

Kilpennukkis
does this work
in the rainy season
& remembers
the Govt Dept
of

3. It is reported that
out of the sum of Rs 288

Executive to be paid
to the Deity from the gross
Income, viz., Rs
viz., and Income,
at the rate of Rs 72 per
annum, a sum of Rs
231 was paid to him,
leaving a balance of Rs 57
yet to be paid. This
balance may be paid
to him at once,
the charge being
met from the Savings
Bank Deposit of Rs 91-10-1

4. As regards the
leasing out of the temple Inam
lands, it is observed
that the present tenants,
who were reinstated
to have been in the enjoyment
thereof for several
years past, were liable
to pay to the temple 38 1/2 candies

of Bradley and the
in the auction sale held
took place in 1902 the
highest bid for 5-5-
candles was received.
The Dy Comr-n now
recommends Council, as
the same quantity viz
5-5 candles, is offered
to be given as gratuity
year by the farmers
tenants themselves.
The bonds may be issued
out to them, in consi-
-deration of building
enjoyment. The Govt-
are pleased to accept-
the Dy Comr's recommend
for this year
-tion and he is requested
to obtain a proper
quotation.

from here —

Dfl: 29/7/04

Secretary to Govt -
Irrigation Dept

20/7/04

28 VII 04

To

The Dy Comm^r, Nizamabad

returning the Ver: records
for Compli
Govt - Instns

Read
29/7/04

29/7/04

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness for the Maharaja of Mysore in the Muzroi Department, Bangalore for report

No. 553 of 04-05.

By Order,

I. C. S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

- 688

To

His Highness the Maharaja
Sree Krishnaraja Wodaiyar Bahadur.

May it please your Highness

Application of Chikka Basaviahya
son of Chenna Basaviah of Hadya, Hana
loga Hobli, Pedatore Taluk now living
in Jagirdar Madappa's house, Mysore

The applicant begs to state as follow

There is a Basaveswara temple in
Hadya. The Government has made a
gift of wet land to the God Basa-
veswara to meet the expenses of its
worship. The worshipper of the God
was in possession of the land and en-
its produce. The temple
en into disrepair, one

-to be leased to the original lessees for the same
Guthai. If the lease is to be put up for auction
it is likely to be raised to more than 60 Candies
of paddy, the petitioner is willing to give
a Guthai of 60 Candies from the present year for
any number of years that he may be allowed
to remain in possession of.

The Manjari Commissioner for
reasons best known to him does not want
to take into his consideration, how the temple
land should be managed to the best of its
advantage. If proper steps had been taken
by him in time the temple would have been
benifited to the extent of nearly 20 Candies
every year during the last 6 or 7 years.

Any number of applications to
him in this matter will be of no use.

If this application should be
referred to the Manjari Superintendent
the old order of things is likely to reign
again. In the interests of the temple, the
petitioner therefore prays that the
records in connection with this land
and temple may be sent for and perused
that the lease of the land may be ordered
be put up for auction this year and
— to the highest bidder.

Since the season for planting
seedlings is fast coming to a close, the
petitioner prays that your Highness'
will be pleased to direct the Sanjarai
Superintendant to conduct the auction
of the lease as early as possible.

The applicant also begs
that he may be favoured with an
endorsement to his application.

Sirsi 13th August 1900

Mark of Chikka
Basavalingya

R.D. 1333.

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Mysore, 4th October 190 4

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore in the Muzroi Department, Bangalore in continuation of this Office No. 470 dated 15th August 1904 and to enquire when the report called for therein is likely to be received.

No. 711 of 04-05.

By Order,

P. Narasimhaiengar
I.C.S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

No: 1333.

To

His Highness the Maharaja
Sree Krishnaraja wodaiyar Bahadur –

May it please your Highness.

Application of Chikka Basavaraja Son of Chenna Basa
Hadya, Hanabooga hobali yedatora taluk now living in
gahagirdar Maddappa's house Mysore.

– The applicant begs to state as follows –

There is a Basavaseswara's temple in Hadya. The Go
had made a gift of wet land to the God Basavaseswara
to meet the expenses of its worship. The worshippers o
the God was in possession of the land and enjoying its
produce.

The temple having fallen into disrepair one Annaja
made an application to the Amuzaroi Superintendent a
-ing that the temple is about to fall down and tha
arrangements may be kindly made to repair it out of
income of the wet land.

The Amuzaroi Superintendent Mr Sreenivasa Cha
inspected the temple on the 26th of October 1896 and ma
on 14-11-96 stating that the temple was in

dilapidated condition, that the tiled roof was leaky in many places. That it would be better to have a terraced roof at a cost of 1115 Rs, That the worshipper may be directed to complete the repairs within 6 months and that the inam land might be taken possession of by government till the repairs were Completed and the usufruct of it kept in deposit

In pursuance of this order, the Amildar of Gedadhora taluk leased the land to Gedar party Chenna Veerappa — Nagarathi Chenna Veerappa, Javara and Kunta for an annual gutta of 38½ Candies of Paddy. This gutta continued for 6 or 7 years though several ryots applied to the Amildar to give them the land for a higher gutta.

In 1902 the gutta of the land was put up for auction by the Amildar of Gedadhora taluk Since the bid of the petitioner which was 55 candies was the highest; he was put in possession of the land and a registered deed of lease obtained from him by the amildar on 13-6-1902. The petitioner cultivated the land and planted Seedlings at a cost of nearly 100 Rupees.

About a months after this the Inamdaroi Superintendent Sent an order to the Amildar without assigning any reason for it, to take back possession of the land from the petitioner and give it over to former Guttadar for their former gutta of 38½ Candies. This year also the petitioner applied to the Inamdaroi Superintendent through the Deputy Comm on 8-8-04 to give him a lease of the land for 55 Candies

He has received no reply till now he has that the land is likely to be leased to the original lessees for the same yearly Kist.

If the lease were to be put up for auction it is likely to be raised to more than 60 Candies of paddy The petitioner is willing to give a Gutta of 60 Candies from the present year for any number of years that he may be allowed to remain in possession of.

The Tumzarai Superintendant for reasons best known to him does not want to take into his consideration how the temple land should be managed to the best of its advantage If proper steps had been taken to him in time the temple would have been benefited to the extent of nearly 20 Candies every year during last 6 or 7 years. Any number of applications to him in this matter will be of no use.

In the interest of the temple the petitioner therefore prays that records in connection with this land and temple may be sent for and perused and that the lease of the land may be ordered to be put up for auction this year at least and granted to the highest bidder.

Since the Season for planting Seedlings is fast Coming to a close the petitioner prays that your Highness will be pleased to direct the Tumzarai Superintendant to conduct the auction of the lease as early as possible.

The petitioner also begs that he may be favoured with an endorsement to his petition.

On 13 8 04 the petitioner had submitted a petition to your Highness. The petitioner hears that it has been referred to the Inmyaroi Superintendent for disposal. Repeated applications to him about this matter was of no avail. If this is also sent to him the temple will also have to lose this years produce as in previous years. Hence the petitioner boldly venture to submit this application to your Highness and prays that your Highness would kindly send for the previous records and in the interests of the temple and public kindly grant his prayer.

I beg to remain
your Highness' most obedient
and loyal Servant.
Sd. Chikka Basava Linga

30th September 1904

OS

of the land in question next year.

By Order,

H H's order) 29/10/04

Secretary,
Mwz. Deptt

28
no: 1542 28/10/04
29/10/04.
Copy forwarded to
the Dy Commissioner
Mysore District, in
continuation of this Govt.
Lr no 558 dated
Mwz FT 34-01
nil August 1904.

By
29/10/04
Secretary

28
28/10/04
13/11/04.

Presented by Basavalingaiah in person 29/3/65





29/3/65

Government of H H the Maharaja of Mysore

Mysore Secretariat

Dated camp Mysore, 31st October 1904.

Endorsement granted to chikkabasavaling of Hadya, yedatore taluk, now residing in Jahagirdar Madappa's house in Mysore Fort, with reference to his memorials Nos. 688 and 1333, dated 13th Aug and 30th Sept 1904 respectively, addressed to H H the maharaja applying the against the order of Government directing that the lease of the lands belonging to the Basaveswara temple at Hadya, should for one year, be given over to the former tenants who offer to pay the highest bids for gutta during the season.

In reply, the memorialist is informed that Government consider that he has no real grievance, and that it is open to him to bid for the lease of the lands in question, next year.

By order

(Signed). L. Ananthaswami Rao,

Secretary

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Muzarai Department, Bangalore.

Received at Mysore 14/6/05

MYSORE GOVERNMENT 4651. RECEIVED

Petition of Chennavetrappa, of Hadya village in the Yedatore Taluk, Mysore District.

Sir,

I and 3 others and our ancestors have been cultivating the Inam land of Basaveshwara Swami Temple of our village from time immemorial. We had been paying Gutta at the rate of 37½ Kandies per year. Laterly, my enemy, Chikkabasavalingiah who wants to deprive me of the possession of the land somehow or other, having applied that he would pay a higher gutta, after some Correspondence it was ordered that, according to the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation I and my cousins should cultivate the land for the last year for 55 kandies, being the highest bid, in consideration of the fact of our having ~~multiplied~~ spent large amount of money and trouble in converting the dry land into the wet. And also in consideration of the fact of our having cultivated the land ever since it was given over in Inam. For this and subsequent years' cultivation, I applied to the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District for permitting me to cultivate it permenantly at a fixed gutta of 55 kandies. On the 12th May 1905, an order was passed by the Deputy Commissioner granting my request, and I went away to my village. On the 20nd May, the Amildar of Yedatore suddenly sent for me and told me that the land would be sold by auction for this year and wanted me to bid. I declined to do so, at the same time informing the Amildar of the above order of the Deputy Commissioner. The Amildar, however, held the sale; and notwithstanding every effort made by him, to get bidders, nobody would bid except Chikkabasavalingiah, my enemy, who took it for 56 kandies. It may here be noted that the extent of the land

being about 9½ acres, the ~~Rx~~ rent comes to 6 kandies per acre, and this is considered as worse than rack rent in this part of the country. The usual rate is between 4 to 4½ kandies per acre. This fact taken along with the fact that Chikkabasavalingiah alone bid for the land, shews clearly that there is no real bona-fide competition for the land.

Subsequently the Amildar having informed me that the sale records would be sent for the orders of the Assistant Commissioner, I went to Mysore on the 23rd May and there I learnt from my Advocate that the order of the Deputy Commissioner directing me to cultivate the land at 55 kandies, had been changed and that a fresh order had been passed directing an auction sale. I applied to the Assistant Commissioner and also to the Deputy Commissioner mentioning the above facts and requesting that as my abstaining from bidding at the sale, was due to my ignorance of the second order passed by the Deputy Commissioner that I may be permitted to cultivate the land for 56 kandies, the highest amount of bid, or that a fresh sale may be held so that I may have an opportunity to bid. I herewith enclose the original petition and the order passed thereon by The Deputy Commissioner.

I beg to appeal to your honour against that order, and I humbly pray that you may be pleased to allow me to cultivate the land this year for 56 kandies, as was ordered last year, or, if the land must be sold, that ~~the~~ a fresh sale may be held, so that I can bid.

Finally I humbly beg to submit that the Proceedings of the Government permitting me to cultivate the land at 55 kandies, do not explicitely order that the lease of the land should be sold every year.

It is a great hardship for me and my cousins who have been
the land from the time of my ancestors, to be de-
possession of the land simply because my
takes it into his head to offer to
which is not due to any

bona-fide object of getting the land for the purpose of making a profit, but to personal enemity between me and Chikkabasavalingiah, will if thus encouraged by Government land both of us, I ~~fear~~ am afraid, in ruin. If there is a real demand for the land at a higher gutta, it is strange why no one else except my enemy takes part in the sale. If there is no real demand for the higher gutta, I humbly beg to submit that I and my cousins, who have been cultivating the land from generations and who have spent money and trouble over it, are entitled to the lease at the fairly maximum rate. I humbly beg to submit that it can never be the intention of Government to get a few kandies more from this land as a consequence of personal enemity between me and Chikkabasavalingiah.

Under these circumstances your honour may be pleased to reconsider the matter personally and to be pleased to order that the land may be given over to us, tenents from time immemorial, at 56 kandies either permenantly or for a long term, or until such time as in the opinion of the Local Officers the rent may be enhanced on account of the improvement of local circumstances

I further beg that until the matters contained in this petition are disposed of by your honor, the land may be allowed to remain with us.

29/5/05

I remain
Sir
Your most obedient
& humble
servant

No 4506 dt 1.6.05

Rec'd at Mysore
duly stamped
14/6/05

Urgent

To

The Dy Commr
Mysore District

Camp No. D. encls 2
20-6-05.

4770 Dy 27.6.05
54-1907

Sir,

In continuation of this office Docket No 3815 dated 15th April 1905 I am directed to forward herewith petition Regd No 4651 dated 14th June 1905 from Channaveerappa of Hardyal village in the Yedatore Taluk, appealing against your order directing the sale of his right to cultivate the Inam land enfranchised to the Basaveswara temple

al- Hadya.

2. The petitioner represents- that he and his cousins have been cultivating the land for a very long time, spending a large sum of money in converting the land (which was dry) into wet, and that they would be put to heavy loss if they are deprived of its possession now - He offers to give the same quota viz 5 to Kandiyan, as was offered by the highest- bidder Chicka - basavalingiah in the auction sale - ~~He asks~~

~~I request~~ 3 I am directed to order that there is a certain amount-

13

~~[illegible]~~

3. I am desired ~~to request~~ to request
~~this case and~~ die de gooi as to
you to ~~be~~ forward the
entire records bearing
on the subject; ~~I am~~
and to state
~~I am advised to request~~
that, pending final
orders of Government
the present occupant
should not be disturbed
in their enjoyment of
the lands ~~which~~ and the
until then, 56 Kundies of barley may be
accepted from them — I have the

Dft: [illegible] 19/6/05
Secretary to
Irrigation Dept

Ks
D
477 / D 27.6.05 19/6/05
Email to Patwa

D

4771

WWZ-F-34-1907.

27-6-05

Secretary.

By order

Asstt.

22-6-1905

Hc

1905 - 1906.



Rupees
61
7/8/05

Office note card
& subsd. Orders
12/8/05

From
H. H. Sparkes Esq:
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
Le. Anantasami Rao Esq B.A.
Secy. to Govt. Mug. Dept

Dated 4th August '05

Despatched

Received

General No. 94
No.

Enclosure No. one folio

Submits records re sale of the right to cultivate the Inam lands endowed to the Basweswara temple at Hadya - Yedatore Taluk.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Inam Department
Dated, Mysore, 4th August 1905

No 74
Yellow Ifrii

I.

To Srikantaiah Rao Esq: B.A.
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Inam Department, Bangalore

Sir,

As called for in your letter comp
c No. 6 dated 20th June 1905, I have
the honor to submit herewith the entire
records, (as per phariat attached to
the records) regarding the Sale of the
right to cultivate the Inam land
endowed to the Basaveswara temple at
Hadya in the Yedatore Taluk, and
to State that necessary orders have
been issued to the Assistant Com-
-missioner, Hunsur Division, that
pending final orders of Government
the present occupants should not be
disturbed in their enjoyment of the

lands and that until then 51 Kandies of paddy may be accepted from them

2. The petition received with the above together with its enclosure is herewith retransmitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

cpc
BA

Draft as per Devan's memo.

Muzrai

Read:-

Rubkari No. 636, dated 4th November 1896, to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, directing the attachment of the produce of the inam lands endowed to the Sri Basaveswara swami temple at Hadya in the Yedatore Taluk, owing to the disrepaired condition of the institution.

(2) Govt. P.o: No. 558-558A Muzt-F24-01, dated 2nd August 1904, sanctioning the leasing out of the inam lands of the Basaveswara temple for the year Sarvari to Chenna Veerayya and other old tenants thereof for a kuttu of 55 Kandies of paddy.

(3) P.C. Regd. No. 4651 dated 14th June 1905, from Chenna

Veerappa stating that during
the auction sale of the temple
lands which took place during
this year, one Chikka Basavalingappa,
out of enmity, bid for 56 Kandres
of paddy, and praying that,
considering the long enjoyment
of the lands by himself and the
other old tenants the lands
may be leased out to them
for the same putta viz 56 Kandres
either permanently or for a long
term or until such time as
in the opinion of the local
officers the rent may be enhanced
on account of the improvement
of the local circumstances.

(4) Petition Regd. No. 528
dated 24th July 1905, from
Jawaraya, the present Pujari
of the Basaveswara temple,
and from his mother Linjamma

praying that the temple lands may be released from attachment as the object of the attachment viz the repairs of the temple, has been ~~complied~~ fulfilled, that after deducting Rs 250/- being the estimated cost of the repairs, the balance of the accumulated savings together with the produce of the inam lands from the last year may be ordered to be given over to them

(5) Correspondence ending with letter No. 94, dated 4th August 1905, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting on the above subject.

Order thereon

From the correspondence submitted by the Deputy Comm. with the letter read above, the

No. 2463-4 of 24.11.05.
Exe. 1116.

Govt observe that owing to bitter and unhealthy competition amity the bid for the temple lands in question has been going on increasing and has reached 56 Kandies ~~otherwise accordingly~~ ~~there Amildar ascertained there~~ ~~to be 45 Kandies for acre or about 40 Kandies in all disfavour for the land~~ - As there should be a finality in this matter, and taking into consideration the long enjoyment ~~of the lands~~ ~~30 years~~ of the lands by the petitioners Chennaveraippa and other and the fact that they have improved the land at their own cost, Govt are pleased to fix the putta at 56 Kandies for the future, as agreed to by the petitioner Chennaveraippa, and also to direct that they be not dispossessed of the lands.

2. ✓ As regards the pujaris

request for the restoration of the lands to him, it is observed that the Representatives of the Dasara Assembly are pressing for the construction of the temple in pukka fashion in brick and chunam. The question of the restoration of the lands will therefore be duly considered after a thorough re-construction of the temple is effected. The Deputy Commissioner is requested accordingly to submit an estimate for the re-construction at an early date.

Dewan's order) 22/11/05

Mysore Secretary

To 22/11/05

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore
returning the Enclosures.

" Comptroller, Mysore Govt

Endorsements to Chennaveerappa and Javaraya.

No. 2465

శ్రీనుందరు శ్రీవల్లభుడంచిన
రవరగవ్వలివింపవాలుబరిలు
ఇలంఖిలులంద

లులతమేంశిశాలల్లాంశలుటాశ్రీదిల్లల
వశనవేల్లశరదీలవరభ్రంభంచిబవరిలు
యింశనశాలులులాంగవ్మశినిగ.

దద్దరిదీలవసిద్ధినదలులనాంశిభి
మేన్నునిశ్మస్తాద్దినస్మే కేంతిని బిలకేంశనీరు
శ్రీఖిలాంతిశిభాంఖిలర్ణతికేంతశిలుస్త్రీ24
నేమరక్తిర్భంవిశనేనంశియలభిద్దిలుక్తే రే
ఏశ్మాలుదర్ల్లవ్మినీలుంచుతోశ్రీకేరవలింద
శంచదిశ్రీకా తర్ణినిశ వంచంశతినిలాలులశ్రీ

తిజేంచీలవసిద్ధిన శిటళశిచ్చిళంచి
ళువశాంరణం జీలుంనిదాశ్రీలుంటుంచుశీనుళ్ళర్ణుంశో
దలుంద్ర లుందశశ్రీ శీట్టుశ్రీ శీట్టుశ్రవన్న చీలవ
సిద్ధినదవుట్టుశ్రీ శీలుంగాల శిసిందశ్రీట్టుశీద

ప్రభుత్వానికి చెందిన భూమిని ఇతర ప్రయోజనాలకు
మళ్ళించడానికి ముందు ప్రభుత్వ అనుమతి
పొందవలసిందిగా సంబంధిత అధికారులకు
ఆదేశాలు జారీ చేయడమైనది.

By order

Sd/- 24/11/05

Secretary to Govt

Irrigation Department

Sd/-
25/11/05

వేదనిలయంబు శ్రీమల్లికార్జునం
బిరవరగవర్ణిలుంబు తలిపి
రాజులు ఇలంబియుండ

చలత్రకిరీటకాలల్లాంశులు కాళ్ళదన్నగ
కువగిచన్నివీరభద్రుగా-
యుంతాన్.

నిదదిడాభ్రిదన్లరువశనిపెల్లశరదీప
విద్రినదయినాంకిచివెసలశంగువళి
భారవాంశనీవు శ్రీబలాంతికిళాంపెల్లర్థది
కీంతలు25సోలరక్ష్యం46గసీనంశర
శ్రీజ్ఞలకు యిలిశ్వయవాంశాల్పునుం
రులతలిక్రీకిరవరిందయంచరికాతనిన
నరాంగరిపిలలులకిలి ——

శ్రీయేరులునాంకిచివెసన్నదోక్ర
కలాంగుల్లడినిందు. అభ్యకీల్లు లాంతిశ
రభవనదిందసాంశువళి వలాంతులక్ష్యా

Please treat this letter as confidential

30-11-1945

Dear Mr. Gandhi,

I am writing to you in connection with the recent developments in the Congress organisation in Andhra. As you are aware, there has been a sharp division between the two groups led by Shri Prakasam and Shri Sanjiva Reddy. The matter has been discussed at length in the Working Committee and the Parliamentary Board.

I have received numerous letters from Congressmen in the districts urging that the matter be settled amicably and that the unity of the organisation be preserved at all costs. I am inclined to think that the best course would be to appoint a small committee of three persons to go to Andhra and report after consulting all the leading workers in the province.

I shall be grateful if you will kindly let me know your views in the matter at your earliest convenience.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,

Jawaharlal Nehru

30-11-1945

Shri Mahadev Desai,
Sevagram,
Wardha.

Dear Mahadevbhai,

Please find enclosed a letter for Bapu. I am sending it through you as I do not know his exact address. Kindly forward it to him.

I hope you are keeping well. I shall be coming to Sevagram in the first week of December and shall stay for a few days.

With love,

Yours,

Jawaharlal

30-11-1945

Shri Rajendra Prasad,
Patna.

My dear Rajendra Babu,

I am sending you herewith a copy of my letter to Bapu regarding the Andhra situation. I have also written to Mahadevbhai.

I hope you are keeping well. I shall be coming to Patna in the first week of December.

With love,

Yours,

Jawaharlal

Urgent-

To

The Dy Comrs
Nagpur District-

In continuation
of Government Res
No 2463-4 dated
24th November 1905,
I am directing that
a grant of 56 Candi
of Kondaly on the Sun
lands endowed by
the Basaveswara
temple at Hadagalli
the Gadatore Taluk
be fixed for the fasli
ens

that the cultivators

Chamuriampha and

others be not dispossessed

of the lands, have

the honour to forward

herewith for early

enquiry and disposal

petition Regd no 2434

dated 30th ultimo,

from Chitika Basanthiyah

alleging that he cultivated

the lands this year and

that the produce is

accordingly due to him

By order

1/12/05

Secretary

Agricl Dept

Dft:

1/12/05

Encl: Petition

Muz. 21]

ನಂ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಡಿಸೆಂಬರ್ ಮಾಹೆ 30 ನೇ

ಮತ್ತು 2434 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

Bangalore
1st Dec 1905.

Draft

By Order,
1/12/05
Secretary to Govt,
Muzrai Department

1/12/05

...CRE GOVERNMENT ...
4519 1905-06

From
H. H. Sparkes Esq:
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
L. Anantasawmi Rao Esq B.A.
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
Mungrai department.

Dated 21st April 1906.

Despatched

Received

General No. 530
No.

Enclosure No. one file

Submits report on the petition of Chikka Basavalingiah re cultivation of the Inam lands of the Sri Basaweswara Swami temple at Hadya in the Yedatore Taluk.

Refer
Ks
24/4/06

Vice note Submits
V
24/4/06

No 530
Final file

21st April 1906

Sir,

In reply to your docket No 2535 dated 1st December 1905 forwarding for enquiry and disposal petition Regd No 2434 dated 30th November 1905 (herewith returned) from Chikka Basavalingiah alleging that he cultivated the Inam lands endowed to the Sri Baseweswara swami temple at Hadya, Yedatore Taluk, during the Year 1905-1906 and that the produce is due to him, I have the honor to submit herewith the records of enquiry held by Mr C. Devaraj Urs, assistant commissioner in charge of the Hunsur group of Taluks, received with his letter No 284 dated 5th April 1906 (copy enclosed for reference) and to state that as the enquiry proves that Chikka Basavalingiah, and not Chennaveerappa, cultivated the land during 1905-1906 and as Chennaveerappa is reported to have taken part of the produce, I have issued orders to the Assistant Commissioner in charge

of

of the Hunsur group of villages that the produce taken by the said Chennaveerappa should be recovered from him and the produce for 1905-1906 made over to Chikka Basavalingiah

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

H H Sperling
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

CPS
BD

~~189~~ 1905-06.

From
C. Devarajurs. Esq:
Assistant-Commissioner
Huzur Division.

To
N. A. Sparkes Esq:
Deputy Commissioner
Bellary District.

Dated

Despatched 5th April 1906

Received

General No. 284
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

Reporting on the produce of Inam lands endowed to the Sri Basaveswara Swami temple at Hadya in the Gedaloor Taluk.

Assistant-Commissioners Office
Hanvar Division

Dated 11th April 1906

From
C. Devarajiru Esqr:
Assistant-Commissioner
Hanvar Division

To
A. H. Sparkes Esqr:
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Sir,

With reference to your memo No. 305 dated 3rd December 1905 forwarding for enquiry and report Mugrai Secretary's No. 2535 dated 1st December 1905 with its enclosed petition from Chikka Basavalingiah, regarding the cultivation of Inam lands endowed to the Sri Basaveswara Swami temple at Hadya in the Yedatore Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith the record of enquiry made by me.

It will be seen therefrom that the petitioner Chikka Basavalingiah was the man that cultivated the land during 1905-1906 and not Chennaveerappa in whose favor the Government passed their Proceedings No. 2463-4 dated 24th November 1905.

No doubt each party have adduced evidences in their own favour But I would believe the

of Srikantaiya who co-
government Nala Manegar
and as such must have had
various opportunities of know-
-ing as to who the real cultiva-
-tor of the land was.

Under the above circumstance
it is but fair that Chikka Basa
-walingiah who cultivated the
land should get the produce.
Channaveerappa who is said
to have removed some paddy
will, if ordered, be directed to
produce the same before the
Taluk in view to hand over
the same to Chikka Basawa-
-lingiah who is entitled to it

As Chikkabasawalingiah
is the person who cultivated
the land during the year
1905-06 the Gutta viz: 56 Kan
-dies of paddy may be or-
-dered to be recovered from
him.

The delay is due to the non
-receipt of summonses is-
-sued to the Manegar Sri
-kantiah.

The statement of the
Manegar taken before
the

The Duplicate as produced by Chikka Basavaraya is filed with the Record.

I have &c

(Sd) C. Devaraj Urs
Assistant Commissioner
Hunsur Division.

"Copy"

Lt. Hayward
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

cpb
12-8

No 5028 27.4.06
Cert. Mic file.

To

The Dy Commr

of the Anjaum District

His letter no 530 dated the 21st April 1906 reporting on the petition of one Chickalamma - hirajah re the cultivation of the Inam lands of the Sri Basaweswara - swami Temple at- Hanagal in the Gadag Taluk.

With reference to the above I am to invite u

By Court's attention

to the Auditor's report

on 29 ult. the 23rd

which is in the file,

December 1905 and

request to be informed

of the result of the

criminal case pending

in this Court on the

same subject.

The enclosures are

returned for reference

and resubmission.

By order

26.4.1906

DJL

25/4/06

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No. 2724 / 1

Mysore, 31st May 1906

A.V.
Final orders have been passed
Please compare the office Note
& submit Orders early
31/5/06

317

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzroi Department, Bangalore, for disposal.

No. 1640 of 05-06.

By Order,

I. C. S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharajah of Mysore.

"Second Petition on the Subject



To

His Highness The Maharaja

Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar, Bahadur,

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR HIGHNESS,

Chicka Basavalingiah, son of Chenna Basaviah, of Hadya, Chunchankatte Hobli, Yedatore Taluk.

Most respectfully begs to submit the following complaint against the action of the Muzaroi Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

I. The Pujari of Sri Basaveswara's Temple at Hadya, who enjoyed the Government grant of a wet land of nine and odd acres in lieu of his services, allowed the temple building to fall into ruins though he himself was cultivating and taking the produce of the land which was about 100 candies per year.

II. One of the devotees of the Temple, Annajappa, having brought this state of things to the notice of the then Muzaroi Superintendent Mr. Sreenivasa Charlu, the latter ordered that the Pujari should rebuild the temple with a terraced roof within six months and that if he failed to do so, the Government would assume the management of the land and rebuild the temple out of its income. This was on 14—11—1896, and within six months thereafter the Government did assume the management of the land.

III. About the year 1897, the Amildar of Yedatore leased the land to one Yedarahatti Chennaveerappah, for a trifling annual gutta of 38½ candies of paddy. This lease continued for about four or five years in spite of several applications to the Amildar and Muzaroi Superintendent by the ryots of the place to lease the land to them for a higher quantity of gutha.

IV. At last, on the complaint of one Hanchanna, the Government ordered ~~that~~ the lease of the land to be put up for public auction in 1902. The petitioner became the highest bidder for 55 candies of paddy and the land was put in his possession and a lease-deed was obtained from him by the Amildar on 13—6—1902. The petitioner cultivated the land and planted seedlings at a cost of Rs. 100.

V. Mysteriously enough, the Muzaroi Superintendent without assigning any reasons and without hearing the petitioner ordered the Amildar to resume possession of the land from the petitioner and make it over to the former guthadar Chennaveerappa for the same old gutha of 38½ candies. Nobody bestowed any thought on the contract of lease entered into by Government with the petitioner and the loss occasioned to him and the temple by the unjustifiable order of the Muzaroi Superintendent.

VI. In 1904, the petitioner again made an application to the Muzaroi Superintendent complaining of the loss caused to him and offering a yearly gutha of 55 candies of paddy and he was not favoured with any reply.

VII. On 13—8—04 and 30—9—04 the petitioner petitioned to Your Highness not to refer his petitions to the Muzaroi Superintendent who the petitioner fears is not made acquainted with the real state of things so as to manage the land to the best advantage of the temple but to kindly pass orders for a public sale of the lease of the lands so as to secure to the temple nearly 20 candies more than what the former lessee had been paying.

On this, the Government passed Proceedings No. $\frac{558-558}{34-01}$ dated 30—7—1904 to say that for 1904 the lease may be granted to the previous lessee if he would consent to pay a gutha of 55 candies offered by other ryots. The petitioner was given an endorsement to this effect which also stated that from next year, that is from 1905, the lease would be sold by public auction and knocked down to the highest bidder. Accordingly the lease for 1904 was given to the previous lessee as he consented to pay a gutha of 55 candies.

VIII. In 1905, the petitioner sent in his applications to the Amildar, Deputy Commissioner, and the Muzaroi Superintendent asking for a public auction of the lease and offering a gutha of 55 candies. All these petitions were referred to the Deputy Commissioner for disposal. In the meantime, the former lessee presented a petition to the Deputy Commissioner offering 55 candies and by misrepresenting matters got a lease in his favour. The very next day, the petitioner represented to the Deputy Commissioner and pointed out that matters had been misrepresented to him and that the Government Proceedings of the 1904 were most probably not brought to his notice. The Deputy Commissioner saw his mistake and cancelled his previous order of lease to the former lessee and sent an order to the Amildar to sell the lease by public auction as required by the said Government Proceedings.

IX. The Amildar then served notices on both parties and sold the lease by public auction. The previous lessee declined to bid although the Amildar advised him to do so. The petitioner's bid for 56 candies was accepted by the Amildar and a Saguvali-Chit was issued to him on 29—5—1905, and this was confirmed by the Deputy Commissioner. On this the previous lessee went up to the Deputy Commissioner and petitioned to him that the lease granted to himself should be confirmed or a fresh sale ordered when he would also bid. The Deputy Commissioner rejected his petition as frivolous.

X. The petitioner on the strength of Saguvali-Chit granted to him by the Amildar began cultivation of the land from 29—5—1905 and grew crops and was in possession up to 24—10—1905, when the former lessee somehow again brought an order from the Muzaroi Superintendent cancelling the lease to the petitioner, and directing the petitioner to put the land with crops thereon in possession of the former lessee. A notice to the above effect was served on the petitioner by the Amildar and the petitioner strongly protested and made an endorsement on the back of the notice itself that he had spent a large sum on the land, had engaged several ryots, and that within a fortnight the crop was ready to be cut and gathered in and that he might be allowed to take his crop and then deliver possession. The petitioner continued to be in possession till 12th November 1905 and only reaped the crop on three-fourths of an acre of land.

XI. On this the former lessee filed a Criminal complaint of theft of paddy so reaped in Criminal Case No. 314 of 05—06 against the petitioner and his ryots before the Amildar of Yedatore who, after trial, threw out the said complaint and found that the petitioner had

raised the crop in 1905. With the help of the Amildar and the Police, the former lessee carried away the produce of the remaining portion of the land.

XII. The petitioner learns that the Muzaroi Secretary contrary to Government Proceedings of $\frac{558-558}{34-01}$ dated 30—7—04 and the endorsement granted to petitioner about the same time directing that the lease of the land should be sold by public auction every year and given to the highest bidder, by his order No. 2463—4 dated 4—11—1905 has now granted a *permanent lease* of the land to Chennaveerappa (the former lessee) at a yearly rent of 56 Candies, his reason for doing so being that Chennaveerappa had improved the land at a great cost and had incurred heavy loss.

XIII. The ground of this order is untenable and not founded upon facts. The land is under wet cultivation for the last 40 years and more and is yielding good income and Chennaveerappa has spent nothing to improve the same. That such an arrangement will cause material loss to the temple does not appear to have come to the notice of the Muzaroi Secretary. *If the lease is put up for auction, it will fetch more than 60 candies and the petitioner is willing to pay a gutha of 60 candies, per year.*

XIV. The former lessee Chennaveerappa is a great favourite of the Manager of the Muzaroi Secretary's Office, and has somehow from the beginning got into his good graces, and with his help, has been getting favourable orders every time and has been benefitting himself to the prejudice of the temple and the other ryots of the village.

XV. Under these circumstances, the petitioner most respectfully prays that, in the interests of the temple, all the papers connected with the case may kindly be sent for and perused, and the lease of the temple land may kindly be ordered to be sold every year by public auction and given to the highest bidder.

XVI Since this is the Cultivation Season, the petitioner respectfully prays that this matter may be graciously disposed of immediately, and the said Chennaveerappa restrained from entering on the land, until disposal, to avoid complications.

CHICKA DASAVALINGIAH

OF HADYA,

C/O JAHAGIRDAR MADAPPAH,

FORT, MYSORE.

MYSORE,
Dated 30—5—1906.

THE G. T. A. PRESS, MYSORE.

Regr no 5300

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No. 2834 / *Dated.* Mysore, 9th June 190 6

Telegram and a petition received from Chikka Basavalingiah of Hadya are forwarded with compliments to the Dewan of Mysore, Mysore, for favour of disposal.

By Order,

I.C.S.,

Private Secretary.

55
11-6-06 P.No./722 dated 9th June 1906

Memo note
To the Huzrai Secr for early submitting the papers with a note.
9-6-06

Rec'd
11/6/06
Office Register and submit the File at once 11/6/06
is submitted

Code Class. } 99P

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Monthly Number. } 990

To (Office) Bangalore city

From (Office) Mysore

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions
43	6	14	30	Ephs

To (Person) His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

From* (Person) Private Secretary

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY 1722 1 JUN 1908 TO H.H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Dewan Sahib

Regret his Highness the Maharaja is suffering from fever and is confined to bed. Has had slight rigor this morning. Temperature 103 degrees. Pulse 120. Has had no motion since yesterday. Has had no food since yesterday. Has taken only a little milk and soda water. Has had no sleep since yesterday. Has taken no medicine as yet. Doctor Thelwall Thompson from Bangalore arrived this morning. Doctor Richardson from Madras arrived yesterday evening. Will remain here until Thursday.

From

Hrs Mts. by

Sent to Mysore 7.6.08

Time delivered 7.6.08

Received here 7.6.08

Time delivered 8.6.08

Telegraph Master.

* In foreign telegrams the Person from, if telegraphed, should be written after, but separated from the text.

T—18 10 1906—9600000

5416.

SECRETARY TO
OF MYSORE.

1 June 1906.

Telegram dated 14-6-1906, from Chikka Basava Lingiah of Hadya, is forwarded, with compliments, to the Dewan of Mysore, Mysore, in continuation of this office No.2834 dated 9-6-1906.

By Order,

I.C.S.,

Private Secretary.

Pn.No.1750 of 05-06.

18/6/06
B.t.56

To the Inspector General of Forests
B.D.
16/6
56
Manager & submit tomorrow

Tl. 5.
New No. T.2.

Code Class.

THE SOUTHERN MAHRATTA RAILWAY CO. LD.

Telegraph Message Form.

TO
(Office)

From
(Office)

No.	Code	Day	Hour.	Minute.	Special Instructions.

To
(Person)

From
(Person)

Sender's signature & address.

From (Station) (Sgr.)
Mts. by (Sgr.) at (Station)

Sent to (Station) (Sgr.)
At Hrs. Mts. by (Sgr.) at (Station)

Orgn.

Date 14. 6. 06

Written a.
Stamped

Office
Date.

5607

27.6.06

To

The Dewan to His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore

May it please your Excellancy.

Received 30.6.06

Chicka Basavalingiah, son of Chenna Basaviah of Hadya, Chunchankatte Hobli, Yedatore Taluk.

Most respectfully beg to submit the following complaint against the action of the Muzaroi Secretary to the Government of Mysore

I. The Pujari of Sri Basaveswara's T at Hadya, who enjoyed the Government of a wet land of nine acres odd in of his services, allowed the temple building to fall into ruins though he himself was cultivating and taking the produce of the land which was about 100 candies per year.

II One of the devotees of the Temple, Annajappa having brought this state of things to the notice of the then Muzaroi Superintendent Mr. A. Sreenivasa Charlu, the latter ordered that the Pujari should rebuild the temple with a terraced roof within six months and that if he failed to do so, the Government would assume the management of the land and

rebuild

rebuild the temple out of its income. This was on 14-11-1896, and within six months thereafter the Government did assume the management of the land.

III About the year 1897, the Amildar of Yedatore leased the land to one Yedarahatti Chennaveerappa, for a trifling annual gutta of 38½ candies of paddy. This lease continued for about four or five years in spite of several applications to the Amildar and Muzari Superintendent by the ryots of the place to lease the land to them for a higher quantity of gutta

IV At last, on the complaint of one Hanchamma, the Government ordered that the lease of the land to be put up for public auction in 1902. The petitioner became the highest bidder for 55 candies of paddy and the land was put in his possession and a lease-deed was obtained from him by the Amildar on 13-6-1902. The petitioner cultivated the land and planted seedlings at a cost of Rs 100/—

V Mysteriously enough, the Muzari Superintendent without assigning any reasons and without hearing the petitioner ordered the Amildar to resume possession of the land from the petitioner and make it over to the former guthadar Channaveerappa for the same old gutta of 38½ candies. No body bestowed any thought o ~~T~~ Contract

entered in by Government with the petitioner and the loss occasioned to him and the temple by the unjustifiable order of the Muzaroi Superintendent.

VI In 1904, the petitioner again made an application to the Muzaroi Superintendent complaining of the loss caused to him and offering a yearly guthe of 55 candies of paddy and he was not favoured with any reply.

VII On 13-8-04 and 30 9.04 the petitioner petitioned to His Highness the maharaja not to refer his petitions to the Muzaroi Superintendent who the petitioner fears is not made acquainted with the real State of things so as to manage the land to the best advantage of the temple but to kindly pass orders for a public sale of the lease of the land so as to secure to the temple nearly 20 candies more than what the former lessee had been paying.

On this, the Government passed Proceedings No. $\frac{558-558}{34-01}$ dated 30.7.1904 to say that for 1904 the lease may be granted to the previous lessee if he would consent to pay a guthe of 55 candies offered by other ryots.

was given an endorsement

to

to this effect which also stated that from next year, that is from 1905, the lease would be sold by public auction and knocked down to the highest bidder. Accordingly the lease for 1904 was given to the previous lessee as he consented to pay a gutha of 55 Candies.

VIII In 1905, the petitioner sent in his applications to the Amildar, Deputy Commissioner, and the Muzari Superintendent asking for a public auction of the lease and offering a gutha of 55 Candies. All these petitions were referred to the Deputy Commissioner for disposal. In the meantime, the former lessee presented a petition to the Deputy Commissioner offering 55 Candies and by misrepresenting matters got a lease in his favour. The very next day, the petitioner represented to the Deputy Commissioner and pointed out that matters had been misrepresented to them and that the Government Proceeding of 1904 were most probably not brought to his notice. The Deputy Commissioner saw his mistake and cancelled his previous order of lease to the former lessee and sent an order to the Amildar to sell the lease by public auction. as required by the Government Procee

IX The Amildar then served notices on both parties and sold the lease by public auction. The previous lessee declined to bid although the Amildar advised him to do so. The petitioner's bid for 56 candies was accepted by the Amildar and a Saguvali-chit was issued to him on 29-5-1905, and this was confirmed by the Deputy Commissioner. On this the previous lessee went up to the Deputy Commissioner and petitioned to that the lease granted to himself should confirmed or a fresh sale ordered when he would also bid. The Deputy C rejected his petition as frivolous.

X The petitioner on the strength of Saguvali-chit granted to him by the Amildar began cultivation of the from 29-5-1905 and grew crops and in possession up to 24-10-1905, when the former lessee somehow again brought an order from the Muzari Superin Cancelling the lease to the petitioner, an directing the petitioner to put the lan with crops thereon in possession of the former lessee. A notice to the above effect was served on the petitioner by th xar and the petitioner stronglu and made an endorsement

The

The leach of the notice itself that he had spent a large sum on the land, had engaged several ryots, and that within a fortnight the crop was ready to be cut and gathered in and that he might be allowed to take his crop and then deliver possession. The petitioner continued to be in possession till 12th November 1905 and only reaped the crop on three-fourth of an acre of land.

XI On this the former lessee filed a Criminal Complaint of theft of paddy so reaped in Criminal Case No. 314 of-05-6 against the petitioner and his ryots befor the Amildar of Nedatore who, after trial threw out the said Complaint and found that the petitioner had raised the crop in 1905. With the help of the Amildar and the Police, the former lessee carried away the produce of the remaining portion of the land.

XII. The petitioner learns that the Muzari Secretary Contrary to Government Proceeding of $\frac{558-558}{34-01}$ dated 30-7-04 and the endorsement granted to petitioner about the same time directing that the lease of the land should be sold by public auction every year and given to the highest bidder, by his order No. 2463-4 dated 4-11-1905 has now granted a permanent lease of the land to Channveer (the former lessee) at a yearly rent of 56 Canore his reason for doing so being that he

had improved the land at a great cost and had incurred heavy loss.

XIII The ground of this order is untenable and not founded upon facts. The land is under wet cultivation for the last 40 years and more and is yielding good income and Channaveerappa has spent nothing to improve the same. That such an arrangement will cause material loss to the temple does not appear to have come to the notice of the Muzarai Secretary. If the lease is put up for auction, it will fetch more than 60 candies and the petitioner is willing to pay a sum of 60 candies, per year.

XIV The former lessee Channaveerappa is a great favourite of the manager of the Muzari Secretary's Office, and has some how from the beginning got into his good graces, and with his help, has been getting favourable orders every time and has been benefitting himself to the prejudice of the temple and the other rypt of the Village.

XV. Two petitions of appeal one on 16-5-06 and another on 30-5-06 were submitted to His Highness the maharaja against the order of the Muzari Secretary, A telegram was also to His later on i.e. 6-

for disposal of the case as the cultivating season was fast approaching.

XVI Under these circumstances, the petitioner most respectfully prays that in the interests of the temple, all the papers connected with the case may kindly be sent for and perused, and the lease of the temple land may kindly be ordered to be sold every year by public auction and given to the highest bidder.

ಅಯ್ಯ ನಾವು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅಧೀನದವರು
ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ
Sd/- Chick Basavalingia
of Hadya
C/o Jahagirdar Madapp
Fort
Mysore

Mysore
Dated 16-6-1906

VS

Read from
Dewan's Office
6/7

To.

V. P. Madhava Rao Esquire, C.I.E.
Dewan of Mysore
Bangalore.

Vide Dewan's
order dated
5th July 1906

Sir,

Place in the file

KI
6/7/06

I beg respectfully to bring to your kind notice that no orders as yet have been received on my petitions regarding the leasing on annually, by open competition, of the Inam lands belonging to Sri Basaveswara Swami Temple at Hadya, Yedatore Taluk, and to request, the favour of your issuing early orders in the matter as the present tenant has already arranged to cultivate the lands.

For which act of kindness I shall ever be grateful.

I most humbly beg to remain,

Your most obedient and humble Servant

Mark of Basavalingayya

No 90 dy 7.7.06

memorial addressed to H.H. Maharaja No 2724 dy 8th May 1906.
Printed memorial No 722 dy 30th May 1906 and Telegram dy 6th June 1906.
Telegram dy 14th June 1906.
Petn Regd No 5607 dy 26th June 1906.

Endorsement granted to Chicka Basavalingaiah, son of Chenna Basaviah of Hadya, Chunchinakatte Hobli, Yedatore Taluk, in regd to his Petn as noted in the margin, praying that the inam land belonging to the temple of Basaveswara at the place may be ordered to be let every year by public auction & that he is himself prepared to pay to Kandies' gutta.

Petr is informed that Govt have in their Pro: No 2463-4 dy 24th Nov: 1905, passed orders fixing 56 Khandas for the future which were

the Suttā offered by Chenna-
Virappa and also directed
that he should not be
dispossessed of the lands.
The above orders of Govt.
are final. The Memorials
are therefore rejected.

By order

Sec. Revenue Dept.

4/7/06

No. 91 1.7.06.
Copy to the Dy Commr., Mysore &
in cont. of Govt Pro: No
2463-4 dy 24th Nov. 05.

By order

Sec.

1906-07

From

M Kantiraj Urs Esqr B.A
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

L. Anantasawmi Rao Esqr B.A
Secy. to the Govt of Mysore
Inam Dept

Dated

Despatched 25th July 1906

Received

General No. 37
No.

Enclosure No. 2 Pcs

Submits copy of judgment passed by the Tehsildar Amildar Magistrate re cultivation of the Inam lands of the Sri Basaveswara Swami temple at Mysore.

To

The Secretary to His Highness the Rajpramukh's Government
Revenue Department, Bangalore

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No. 5028 dated 26/27th April 1906 requesting to be informed of the result of the Criminal Case on the file of the Yedatore Taluk Magistrate's Court regarding the Cultivation of the Inam lands of the Sri Basaveshwara Swami temple at Hâdya in the Yedatore Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith Copy of judgment passed by the amildar magistrate on the 26th February 06 in the said case received with report No 133/467 dated 29.5.06.

2. The enclosures received with your docket under reply are herewith resubmitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Myst

Proceedings of the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

M U Z R A I.

Read:-

Government Proceedings No. 2464-4 dated the 24th Novem-ber 1905 passing orders regarding the lease of the lands belonging to the Basavesvaraswami temple at Hadya in the Yedatore Taluk.

Petition Registered No. 2434 dated the 30th November 1905 from Chicca Basavalingiah of Hadya alleging that he cultivated the lands for the year 1905-06 and that the produce was accordingly due to him.

Correspondence ending with letter No. 37 dated the 25th July 1906 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District submitting a copy of judgment passed by the Amildar Magistrate of the Yedatore Taluk and reporting that as Basavalingiah had cultivated the lands for 1905-06 before the receipt of the Government order in November 1905 in favour of Chenna-veerappa the present lessee of the lands, he issued orders to the Assistant Commissioner in charge of the Hunsur group of --- Taluks that the produce for 1905-06 taken by the said Chenna-veerappa should be recovered from him and made over to Chicca-basavalingiah.

No 0194 vfiles Dated Bangalore 11th August 190.

Recorded.

2) The Deputy Commissioner is requested to report if the gutta of 53 candies of paddy due to the temple for the year in question was received from Chikkanayakanahalli.

Fair draft) 11/8/06

To, SECRETARY.

The Deputy Commissioner Mysore District returning the Enclosures.

S. J. R.

ಚನ್ನ ವಿಶ್ವಶ್ವಯ್ಯ ಕಲುಪು ಜವರಾಜ್ಞರುಜು ಜಲಹ
ನಿಂಗಮ್ಮನಗಾಡಿನೆಲಪಹಾಗೆ ದಿಮೋಜಾಜವ

ಹೀಗೆ ಹೀಗಿಲ್ಲಿಯಾಯ್ತು ಅಂತ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಹೊತ್ತು ಯೋಚಿಸಿದೆ

ಆಯಿತು 24-11-05 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ 59772 ನೇ ಅಂಚೆ ಮಾಲಾ ಪಾವತಿ ಪುಸ್ತಕ ನಂ
ದಾಖಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡೆವು ಎಂಬ ಲೆಕ್ಕವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅಂಚೆಯ ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಟ್ಟು ಬೀಗ ಹಾಕಿ
ಹೋಗಿದ್ದೆವು ಎಂಬ ಮಾತಿಗೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಯಾರೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಅಂಚೆಯವರು ಹೇಳಿದಂತೆ ಅಂಚೆಯ
ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಇಟ್ಟು ಬೀಗ ಹಾಕಿ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದೆವು ಎಂಬುದು ಸತ್ಯವಾದ ಮಾತು. ಆದರೆ
ಅಂಚೆಯವರು ಹೇಳಿದಂತೆ ಅಂಚೆಯ ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಇಟ್ಟಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಂಚೆಯವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು
ಸುಳ್ಳು. ಅಂಚೆಯವರು ಹೇಳಿದಂತೆ ಅಂಚೆಯ ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಇಟ್ಟಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಂಚೆಯವರು
ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು

Muz. 21]

ನಂ. 1800 ಬಿ/23.9.06.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ

[illegible]

[illegible]

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀರ್ತೆಂಬಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಹೆ 13 ನೇ

ಮಕ್ಕಂ [illegible] ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

[illegible]

Secretary

GPB—1,000—18-6-06.

From

To

Dated

Despatched 26

Received

General No. 220

No.

Enclosure No.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Dated Mysore 23rd March 06

Re [illegible]

To [illegible]

[illegible]

on 2-11-1906 and credited to the Treasury and that Chikka Basavaliga has matured to pay for the year 1905-06
2. The Assistant's receipt No 21/229 dated 12th November 1905 is herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

[signature]
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore

B.O

Mysore.

Read:-

Letter No. 220 dy

23d November 1906

from the Dy Commr,

Mysore Dt, reporting

with refce Govt Pro:

No 1019 dy 11th August 1906

that Rs 490 being

value of 56 Khandies of

paddy due to the Bas

temple at Hadya in

Yedatore Taluk, was

recovered from Chickabasu

on the 22nd Novr 06

and credited to

Treasury.

Order thereon

Recorded.

2. The amount shall be credited to the funds of the Temple.

4/12/06

Secy Mun's Dept

4/12/06

To

the Dy Commr

Mgmt & Dept records

To

The Councillor to His Highness
the Maharaja in Charge of the
Muzarai Portfolio,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Your humble petitioner, Chicka Basavalingiah, son of Chenna Basaviah, of Hadya, Chunchankatte Hobli, Yedatore Taluk.

Most respectfully begs to submit the following Review Petition against the action of the Muzarai Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

I. The Pujari of Sri Basaveswara's Temple at Hadya, who enjoyed the Government grant of a wet land of nine and odd acres in lieu of his services, allowed the temple building to fall into ruins though he himself was cultivating and taking the produce of the land which was about 100 candies per year.

II. One of the devotees of the Temple, Annajappa, having brought this state of things to the notice of the then Muzarai Superintendent Mr. Sreenivasa Charlu, the latter ordered that the Pujari should rebuild the temple with a terraced roof within six months and that if he failed to do so, the Government would assume the management of the land and rebuild the temple out of its income. This was on 14—11—1896, and within six months there-after the Government did assume the management of the land.

III. About the year 1897, the Amildar of Yedatore leased the land to one Yedarahatti Chennaveerappah, for a trifling annual gutta of 38½ candies of paddy. This lease continued for about four or five years in spite of several applications to the Amildar and Muzarai Superintendent by the ryots of the place to lease the land to them for a higher quantity of gutha.

IV. At last, on the complaint of one Hanchanna, the Government ordered that the lease of the land to be put up for public auction in 1902. The petitioner became the highest bidder for 55 candies of paddy and the land was put in his possession and a lease-deed was obtained from him by the Amildar on 13—6—1902. The petitioner cultivated the land and planted seedlings at a cost of Rs. 100.

V. Mysteriously enough, the Muzarai Superintendent without assigning any reasons and without hearing the petitioner ordered the Amildar to resume possession of the land from the petitioner and make it over to the former guthadar Chennaveerappa for the same old gutha of 38½ candies. Nobody bestowed any thought on the contract of lease entered by Government with the petitioner and the loss occasioned to him and the temple by the unjustifiable order of the Muzarai Superintendent.

VI. In 1904 the petitioner again made an application to the Muzarai Superintendent complaining of the loss caused to him and offering a yearly gutha of 55 candies of paddy and he was not favoured with any reply.

VII On 13—8—04 and 30—9—04 the petitioner petitioned to His Highness the Maharaja not to refer his petitions to the Muzarai Superintendent who the petitioner fears is not made acquainted with the real state of things so as to manage the land to the best advantage of the temple but to kindly pass orders for a public sale of the lease of the lands so as to secure to the temple nearly 20 candies more than what the former lessee had been paying

On this the Government passed Proceedings No [illegible] dated 30—7—1904 to say that for 1904 the lease may be granted to the previous lessee if he would consent to pay a gutha of 55 candies offered by other ryots The petitioner was given an endorsement to this effect which also stated that from next year, that is from 1905, the lease would be sold by public auction and knocked down to the highest bidder Accordingly the lease for 1904 was given to the previous lessee as he consented to pay a gutha of 55 candies

VIII In 1905 the petitioner sent in his applications to the Amildar, Deputy Commissioner, and the Muzarai Superintendent asking for a public auction of the lease and offering a gutha of 55 candies All these petitions were referred to the Deputy Commissioner for disposal In the meantime, the former lessee presented a petition to the Deputy Commissioner offering 55 candies and by misrepresenting matters got a lease in his favour. The very next day, the petitioner represented to the Deputy Commissioner and pointed out that matters had been misrepresented to him and that the Government Proceedings of 1904 were most probably not brought to his notice The Deputy Commissioner saw his mistake and cancelled his previous order of lease to the former lessee and sent an order to the Amildar to sell the lease by public auction as required by the said Government Proceedings.

IX. The Amildar then served notices on both parties and sold the lease by public auction The previous lessee declined to bid although the Amildar advised him to do so. The petitioner's bid for 56 candies was accepted by the Amildar and a Saguvali-Chit was issued to him on 29—5—1905, and this was confirmed by the Deputy Commissioner. On this the previous lessee went up to the Deputy Commissioner and petitioned to him that the lease granted to himself should be confirmed or a fresh sale ordered when he would also bid. The Deputy Commissioner rejected his petition as frivolous.

X. The petitioner on the strength of Saguvali-Chit granted to him by the Amildar began cultivation of the land from 29—5—1905 and grew crops and was in possession up to 24—10—1905, when the former lessee somehow again brought an order from the Muzarai Superintendent cancelling the lease to the petitioner, and directing the petitioner to put the land with crops thereon in possession of the former lessee. A notice to the above effect was served on the petitioner by the Amildar and the petitioner strongly protested and made an endorsement on the back of the notice itself that he had spent a large sum on the land, had engaged several ryots, and that within a fortnight the crop was ready to be cut and gathered in and that he might be allowed to take his crop and then deliver possession. The petitioner continued to be in possession till 12th November 1905 and only reaped the crop on three-fourth of an acre of land.

XI. On this the former lessee filed a Criminal complaint of theft of paddy so reaped in Criminal Case No, 314 of 05—06 against the petitioner and his ryots before the Amildar

of Yedatore who, after trial, threw out the said complaint and found that the petitioner had raised the crop in 1905. With the help of the Amildar and the Police, the former lessee carried away the produce of the remaining portion of the land.

XII. The petitioner learns that the Muzarai Secretary contrary to Government Proceedings of $\frac{578-578}{54-01}$ dated 30—7—04 and the endorsement granted to petitioner about the same time directing that the lease of the land should be sold by public auction every year and given to the highest bidder, by his order No. 2463—4 dated 4—11—1905 has now granted a *permanent lease* of the land to Chennaveerappa (the former lesse) at a yearly rent of 56 Candies, his reason for doing so being that Chennaveerappa had improved the land at a great cost and had incurred heavy loss.

XIII. The ground of this order is untenable and not founded upon facts. The land is under wet cultivation for the last 40 years and more and is yielding good income and Chennaveerappa has spent nothing to improve the same. That such an arrangement will cause material loss to the temple does not appear to have come to the notice of the Muzarai Secretary. *If the lease is put up for auction, it will fetch more than 60 candies and the petitioner is willing to pay a gutha of 60 candies, per year.*

XIV. The former lessee Chennaveerappa is a great favourite of the Manager of the Muzarai Secretary's Office, and has somehow from the beginning got into his good graces, and with his help, has been getting favourable orders every time and has been benefitting himself to the prejudice of the temple and the other ryots of the village.

XV. In reply to the memorials submitted to H. H the Maharaja by the petitioner appealing against the orders of the Muzarai Secretary, the accompanying endorsement No. 90 dated 7th July 1906, informing the petitioner that the orders of Government dated 24th November 1905 fixing 56 candies for the future and directing that Chennaveerappa should not be dispossessed of the lands are final, and that the memorials are therefore rejected, has been granted.

XVI. The petitioner respectfully begs to submit that the memorials referred to the Muzarai Secretary against whose orders the petitioner had preferred an appeal, the matter was disposed of by the Muzarai Secretary without issuing a notice to the party and giving an opportunity to the petitioner to represent his grievances in person.

XVII. The petitioner in urging for a re-consideration of the final orders passed by the Government in regard to the gutta of the temple lands in question respectfully begs to submit that he is not acting in spite against the present lessee but is acting with the best of motives for the benefit of the temple.

XVIII. Under the foregoing circumstances the petitioner most respectfully prays that in the interests of the temple you may be pleased to order that all the papers connected with the case may be sent to you for perusal and pass such orders as you may deem fit.

N. B.—A Review petition to the above effect has also been submitted by the humble petitioner to His Highness the Maharajah for reconsideration of the order above referred to.

CHICKA BASAVALINGIAH
OF HADYA,
C/o JAHAGIRDAR MADAPPAH,
FORT, MYSORE.

MYSORE,
Dated 12—12—1906.

Copy

Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Muzrai Department.

No. 90

Dated Bangalore 7th July 1906.

Endorsement granted to Chicka Basavalingiah son of Chennabasaviah of Hadya, Chunchinakatte Hobli, Yedatore Taluk, in reply to his petitions &c. noted in the margin praying that the Inam Land belonging to the temple of Basaveswara at the place may be ordered to be sold every year by public auction and that he is himself prepared to pay 60 Kandies Gutta.

1 Memorial addressed to H. H. the Maharaja No. 2724 dated 31st May 1906.
2 Printed memorial No. 1722 dated 30th May 1906. and telegaam dated 6th June 1906.
3 Telegram dated 14th June 1906.
4 Petition Registered No. 5607 dated 26th June 1906.

Petitioner is informed that Governmet have in their proceedings No. 2463-4 dated 24th November 1905 passed orders fixing 56 Kandies for the future which was the Gutta offered by Chenna Virappa and also directed that he should not be dispossessed of the lands. The above orders of Government are final. The memorials are therefore rejected.

By order,
L. ANANTASAMI ROW,
Secretary.

No. 4019
Dt 24.2.07

In reply to Subject no 350 brought up at the D. R. Assembly regarding the Inam lands of and the repairs to the Basveswara Swamy temple at Hadya in the yedatore Taluk, Mr. Inamdar Madappa, Representative member of the mysore Taluk is informed that the grant of the lease of the lands has been finally disposed of by Govt. and that as regards the repairs of the temple and the restoration of the Inam lands, the Dy. Commr. will be asked to expedite his report.

By order
15/2/07

2/2/07 RDO

Copy to the Dy Commr
Hyderabad with in continuation
of this office no Dy.
with a request that
the report already called
for on the subject may
be submitted at an early
date.

Yrs.
Dy
Secretary.

13/2/07

SUBJECT No. 350.

Basaveswaraswami temple at Hadya.

Mr. Iramada Madappa, Mysore Taluk.

Summary of discussion. (1) The Government order leasing out the inam lands endowed to the Basaveswaraswami temple at Hadya in the Yedatore Taluk for a permanent gutta of 56 kandies of paddy may be cancelled and the lands put up to auction every year.

(2) The temple may be substantially repaired and the inam lands now under Government management made over to the pujari, after the completion of the repairs, the management being left in the hands of the Dharmadarsis.

Reply of Government.—(1) The question of the lease has been finally disposed of by Government.

(2) As regards the repairs of the temple and the restoration of the inam lands, the Deputy Commissioner will be asked to expedite his report.

Muz. 25]

ನಂ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ

ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ

ತಿಪ್ಪಿಗೌಂಡರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಮಿಲರಿಗೆ.

By order

Secretary to Govt

Muzrai Dept

4/3/07

Muz. 25]

11834

ನಂ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ

ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ

ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಆಮಿಲರಿಗೆ.

By Order

Secretary to Govt

Muzrai Dept

ಸಿ
5.3.07

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 1122

5.3.07

GPB—1,000—21-6-06

12149

10.3.07

ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆ

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರ ಹುದ್ದೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇವೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರ ಹುದ್ದೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇವೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರಿಗೆ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆ ಒದಗಿಸಿ ಆದೇಶ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರ ಸಂಘದ ಪದಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಮನವಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಆದೇಶಿಸಿದೆ.



Mux. 25]

ನಂ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ

ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ

ಎಲ್ಲ ತಹಸೀಲ್ದಾರ್‌ರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಆಮಿಲರಿಗೆ.

[illegible]

By order

Secretary to Govt

Mutrai Dept.

4/3/07

4/3/07

Muz. 25]

ನಂ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ

ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ

ಅ 124

ಆ 9761

ಅಮಲ್ದಾರರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಆಮಿಲರಿಗೆ.

[illegible]

By Order

Secretary Muzrai

Dewan

ಡಿ

ಬೆಂ. 30-

GPB—1,000—21-6-06

6307 ಬೆಗಿ 13.3.07

MYSORE GOVERNMENT

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು

ಅವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಲು ಆದೇಶಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಅವರ ಕಚೇರಿಯ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಇ ಡಿ ೧೨ ಪ್ರೌಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ೮೬ ದಿನಾಂಕ ೧೭ ನೇ ಆಗಸ್ಟ್ ೧೯೬೬ ರ

ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿದೆ. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲ

rypat
20.3.07.

To

The Dy Commr
Mysore District.

Sir,

In continuation of this Office no 91 dated 7th July 1906, forwarding a copy of the Endorsement granted to Chicka Basavalingiah of Hardya, in the matter of the lease of the Inam lands endowed to the Basaveswaraswami Temple in the said Village, I am directed to state that the said

Parsvanathapuram village
presented a memorial
to Government, and in
the course of enquiry
before the Revenue
Committee, alleged
that Channayappa,
the present holder of
the land, obtained
the lease about the
year 1896-97 when it
was taken under Govt-
management; that
the land yielded 1000-
120 Rupees of. Hardly anything
and that the himself
prepared the dry land
for wet cultivation
and that the Committee
petitioner Channayappa

did not object any
money in labour on
his account. He and
Jakangirdar mudappa
said that they were
prepared to give a
cutta of 6 5 Rupees
per annum and to ground
tax same for 10 years

2. The Counter petition
Channavarappa states
that the land was culti
-vating the land for
more than 30 years +
since the death of
his father
who died 22 years ago;
that he converted the
land from dry into wet
a
at large outlay when
the channel was brought-

to the village, that the land does not yield more than 6 or 8 maunds a year, and that the present dispute was the result of ill-feeling between himself and the petitioner including others in the village, and that he was not prepared to enhance the rate.

3. The first Whether the present lessee claimant actually came to the possession of the land in question – whether in 1896-97 as alleged by claimant/respondent or some time before that, as alleged by

by the lessee; what-
annual is derive
average income from
the lands in question
and who commenced
the clay lands into wet-
lowering the outlay,
and how long ago this was done
and the facts which
have to be ascertained
before the present 2nd
Review petition is finally
disposed of.

4. I am therefore
to request you to
submit reports on the above points
and to forward the above record
if any, throwing
light on the above
points, together with
the entire records
which were returned

[illegible]

2463-4 daté du 24 [illegible]

[illegible] 1905.

[illegible]

19/3/07

Please contact the Office Note briefly in answering on a new sheet of paper. Ltr

24/4/07

Ltr 24-4-07

12 4 - 907

Muz. 5]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

190

From

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Dt.

Dated

Despatched May 1907

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

M. No.

This Office No. 4636 dated

23-3- 1907 requesting to
furnish certain information
re the lands endowed to the
Basaveswara temple at
and subsequent reminder marginally noted
Hadya in the Yedatore
Taluk.

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request ~~to be informed as to what action has been taken in the matter and when a reply may be expected.~~ the favor of a reply may be expedited.

By Order,

16/5/07

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

8/5/07

Rupees

11/6/0,

Kunzru
Why is the item
not yet received
The delay is suspicious

Muz. 1 A]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

Dated

Despatched July 1907.

Received

General No.
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

This office No. 4636, dated 23rd March 1907 requesting certain information re the lands endowed to the Basaveswara Temple at Hadya in the Yedatore Taluk + subsequent reminder marginally noted

— u —

Has the honor to forward herewith ~~another~~ two letters registered No. one

D/18th May 1907.

from Patel Appajeeah of Hubli — and the other dated 27th ~~June~~ Aug. from Haveri respectively

5596, dated ~~the~~ 11th June 1907 on the same subject and to request ~~an early reply~~ that the information already called for may be furnished without further delay.

By order,

(Sd) 8/7/07

Secretary.

a

Bangalore
31st July 1907.

I have Sir,

On subject No. 350 discussed during the Annual Representation Meeting held last year (1906) regarding the repairs to the Basaveswara temple at Hadya in the Yedatore Taluk I enclosed was called for from ~~MM~~ you in this Office No. 402 dated 21st February 1907

The undersigned Land Revenue Officers were due on ~~tour~~ tour and the report has not yet been received. I request the favour of your giving the subject your personal attention and kindly sending the report called for in the above cited date.

I have,

Yours Sincerely

31/7

To,

The Honble Mr. [illegible], B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner & [illegible] District.

D. J. R.

1907-8

From
M. Kanṭaraj Urs Esq B.A
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
L. Anantasawmi Rao Esq B.A.
Secy: to Government
Judicial Department

Dated 7th August 1907

Despatched

Received

General No 60
No.

Enclosure No. 3 files

Submits records relating to the lease of the Inam lands endowed to Basawes-wara Swami temple at Hadya in the Yeda-ṭore Taluk.

Ref. Please

Please continue the correspondence

W 12810/1

Ans. 50
2 fols

7th August

Adverting to your letter No. 4636 dated 23rd March 1907 calling for further information on certain points in the matter of the lease of the Inam lands endowed to the Basaveswara Swami temple at Hadya in the Yedatore Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith the records relating to the subject received with yadi No. 1 dated 22nd July 1907 from the Sub Divisional officer Mysore Division and to state that the information called for in para 3 of the letter under reply is furnished in the Amildar's English report No. 5/3 dated 20th July 1907 filed with the records.

2 The two petitions received with

mm

your docket No. 74 dated 9th July 1907 are also herewith retransmitted.

3. The delay in the submission of the report is explained in the Amildar's report No. 6/6 dated 20th July 1907 received with the Sub Divisional officer's No. 6/46 dated the 24th idem. herewith submitted.

4. The records which were returned with Government Proceedings No. 2463-4 dated 24th November 1905 and ending with the Amildar's report No. 6/70 dated 25th July 1907 are also herewith submitted as called for in para 4 of the letter quoted above.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient

Deputy Commis
Bd. Mysore District

1907 - 8

From
Mr. Kamboringwa Bosoga B.A.
Native Commissioner
Gwanda District

To
The Secretary Kaoseqa
Bulawayo

Dated 7th August 1907

Despatched

Received

General No. 61
No.

Enclosure No.

Refer.
Ina
12/8/07
L.G.

Submitted for information

Submits report re
repairs to Bavaro-
wana [illegible] at
Filabusi

No 61

From

The Superintending
Engineer, Bastral
Circle, Bangalore, 7th August 1907

To

The Secretary to Govt
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Revenue Department
Bangalore

Sir,

Adverting to your Memo No: 4020 dated (initial date) received on 6.3.07 and subsequent D.O. letter of the 31st July 1907 calling for the submission of a report regarding the repairs to the Basaveswara Swami Temple at Hadya in the Yedatore Taluk, I have the honor to invite your kind attention to Govt Progs: No. 3690-1 dated 28th January 1907 sanctioning an estimate for Rs 1572/- for repairing the said temple and to state that the Sub Division officer to whom the sanctioned estimate was sent has been requested to issue needful orders to the Amildar of the Yedatore Taluk to have the work executed substantially under his supervision.

2. The Sub Division officer has again been requested to submit a completion bill as early as possible.

3. As regards the restoration to the Archak of the Inam lands endowed to the temple the Government have in their Progs: No. 2463-4 dated 24th November 1905 directed that the question would be duly considered after the thorough reconstruction of the temple

4. In the matter of the Inam lands of

Yours

invite your kind attention to my
letter no 60 of 7th August 1907
forwarding report in reply to
your letter no 1636 dated 23.3.07

I have the honor, to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Dir Upper Circle

Put with connected papers

8/12/20.8.07

To.

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Inam department
Bangalore.

Petition of Chennavirappa
resident of Hosaya village
Yedatore Taluq, Mysore.

The Petitioner's permanent-
tenancy to the Inam lands
of Basaveswara of Hosaya
village was confirmed by
Government proceedings. On
this a Revision was filed by
Basava Lingayya and others
requesting the Government
to review the order. Both par-
ties were heard before the Coun
cil and orders were reserved.
Meanwhile an enquiry seems
to have been made through the
Amildar as to how long the
said lands have been under
wet cultivation. In response
this enquiry the Petitioner
that the Amildar with-
a proper enquiry

with reference to records questioned Cussi by one Krishna Rka who was never the Shanbhoge of the lands nor has he any knowlege of the vicinity. In the strength of the said Krishnappa, the Amildar seems to have made a report that the lands in question have been under wet cultivation for the last 60 years. The Petitioner humbly begs to submit that the information is incorrect A reference to the Village accounts and to the records of the P.W.D department will show that although the Krishnaraj Katte Anekat and its northern channel were opened nearly 60 years ago, the Channel itself did not extend further than up to two miles beyond the lands in question. The Channel ~~in question~~ was emptying itself to the river near the village, called Kaggala. The channel was extended only about 25 to 30 years ago when Petitioner's ancestors began to convert the inam lands in question into wet cultivation gradually until the Petitioner completed it about about 2 to

exfiree. This fact the Petitioner is in a position to prove if a responsible officer is deputed to enquire. The Petitioner further begs that the prayer of this petition may be considered before the matters are disposed of finally.

2. Petitioner do not remain

Your humble most obedient

[illegible]

Read again:-

Govt. Proceedings No.2463-4, dated the 24th November 1905, directing ~~the continuance~~, the continuance of the enjoyment of the lands endowed to the Sri Basaweswara swami temple at Hadya, by the former tenant Chennaveeriah on payment of a gutta of 56 kandies of paddy annually for the future,

Read also:-

Petition regd. No.3016, dated the 17th December 1906, from Chickabasavalingiah praying for a reconsideration of the ~~foregoing~~ above order ~~of Government in regard to the lease of the said lands~~ and for the acceptance of ~~his~~ the offer of an annual gutta of 60 kandies made by him for the said lands.

Petitions Reg. Nos. 4782 & 4788, dated the 20th April 1907, from the villagers of Hadya stating that the annual yield from the lands is 100 or 110 kandies of paddy and praying that the lease of the land may be sold by public auction year by year and given to the highest bidder.

Letter No.60, dated the 7th Aug. 1907, from the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District, reporting on the subject.

Order thereon:-

Order thereon:-

In the Government Order read above, it was observed that owing to the bitter enmity existin between Chikkabasavalingiah (the present petitioner) and Vedrahatti Chennaveerappa (the present lessee), the bid for the temple inam lands had gone on increasing and had reached 56 kandies in 1905, and in order to put a stop to such unhealthy competition, the gutta of the lands was permanently fixed at 56 kandies and Chennaveerappa having agreed to pay the same, it was ordered that he should not be dispossessed of the lands which were said to have been in his enjoyment from a long time and for the improvement of which he was reported to have incurred a large expenditure.

2 - Chikkabasavalingiah and certain other residents of the Hadya village presented petitions to Government praying for a reconsideration of the above order on the ground that Chennaveerappa's possession of the land was only of recent origin, that the land was very valuable and that if the right to cultivate the same be put to auction they would themselves take it up for 10 or 15 years, paying an annual gutta of 65 kandies.

3 - Both the parties were summoned to appear before Government and during the hearing of the case the appellant Chikkabasavalingiah alleged that

Chennaveerappa obtained possession of the entire land only about the year 1896-97 when it came under Government management, that the land yields from 100 to 120 kandies of paddy annually, that he himself and not the present lessee had converted the dry land into wet and ~~~~ that he was prepared to take it up for a gutta of 65 kandies for a period of 10 years. Chennaveerappa, on the contrary, stated that he has been cultivating the land for more than 30 years, that he brought it under wet cultivation at a great cost, that the annual yield was not more than 65 kandies and that the present appeal was the result of the ill-feeling existing between himself and the petitioner.

4. The Deputy Commissioner was therefore, in this office letter No. 4636 dated the 23rd March 1907, requested to submit a report, together with the old Taluk records (if any), throwing light on the above points. That office has, under cover of his letter read above, forwarded all the records connected with the case together with a full report from the Amildar of the Taluk.

From this it will be seen that Yedrahatti Chennaveerappa was, according to his own statement made in an enquiry held by the Amildar in 1896, then in possession of only about 16 kolagas of the Basaweswara Inamthi land

As regards the time when Chennaveerappa actually came into possession of the entire inam land, it will be seen from the present report of the Amildar that, although the exact time is not ascertainable, yet it is evident from the records of an enquiry held by the Amildar in 1896, that the said -- Chennaveerappa ~~was~~, according to his own statement, was then in possession of only 13 kolagas of land which he ~~was~~ had been cultivating for about 15 years prior to that date. When, however, the lands came under Government management in 1897, he was permitted to enjoy all the inam lands on an enhanced gutta of 38½ kandies ~~annually~~ per year.

Regarding the point who converted the land into wet and when, it is reported that there is no record of the Basaweswara Inam lands ever having been dry, except 32 guntas in Survey No.37, which became wet in 1892. The lands were shown as wet in the Survey Pahani Sud (1883) and the Khetwar of 1873 shows that they were wet long before the survey, when Hadya was a part of the Arkalgud Taluk. The records of 1896 also show that beyond advancing to the Poojari a sum of Rs.15, in common with the other tenants, Chennaveerappa did not lay out any money on the improvement of the lands.

7 - From the fact that the produce of about an acre of the land, attached in

connection with a criminal case in 1905-06, was 8½ kandies even after the quantity had suffered in the course of handling and threshing, and that neighbouring lands similarly situated yield about 9 to 10 kandies per acre, the Amildar estimates the annual produce of the 9 acres and 15 guntas of the temple Inam land at 90 kandies.

7. From the foregoing facts now brought to light, it is clear that Yedrahatti Chennaveerappa was originally a tenant of only 16 kolagas of land and that his enjoyment as tenant of the entire inam lands is of very recent date. The land is evidently very valuable, the present Amildar's moderate estimate of the annual outturn being 90 kandies. If so, the offer of 65 kandies made by Chickabasavalingdaha is by no means too high.

8. Under these circumstances, the existing arrangement fixing Chennaveerappa as tenant in perpetuity on an easy gutta of 56 kandies per year does not seem justifiable. It has also created much bitter feeling in the village. Government therefore direct, in modification of their previous order on the subject, that the present lease be cancelled, that the current year's gutta due from Chennaveerappa be recovered from him and credited to the temple and that the land----------

hereafter
be ~~thereafter~~ thrown open to public competition, an arrangement which will be to the best advantage of the temple. The Deputy Commissioner is accordingly requested to take the needful action in the matter and to submit the sale records to Government for confirmation. ~~as early as possible.~~ in due course

5/12/07

6/12/07
Secy: Muz: Dept

Send the corrected draft read from the 1st
6/12/07

To, the Dy Commr:
Ahmedabad with records.

Endd: to Petn

7.12.07

(Draft as per Dewan's Notes)

Mysore

Read the...

Govt Pro: No. 2463-4 dated 24th November 1905, directing for reasons stated, the continuance of the enjoyment of the lands endowed to the Sri Basaveswara Swami temple at Hadya by the former tenant Chennaveeriah on payment of the gutta of 56 Kandies of paddy for the future.

Read also:-

Petition Regd. No. 3016 dated 17th December 1906, from Thi K.K.a. - basavalingiah praying.

127

Submitted to the 2nd ?
for appl.

23/12/07

Revised draft is
prepared

27/12/07

P.S.

Have prepared also a revised draft Endt as directed

Wondy

for a reconsideration of the foregoing orders of Government in regard to the lease of the said lands and for the acceptance of the offer of an annual gutta of 60 Kandies of paddy made by him for these lands.

Petn Regd Nos 4782 & 4788, dated 20th April 1907, from the villagers of Hadya alleging that the annual yield from the lands is 100 or 110 Kandies of paddy and praying that the lease of the land may be sold by public auction year by year and given to the highest

bidder.

Letter No 60 dated 7th August 1907, from the Deputy Commr, Mayore Dt, reporting on the subject.

———"———

Order thereon No

———.

In the order of the 24th November 1905 read above, it was observed that owing to bitter enmity and unhealthy Competition, the bid for the temple lands in question had been going on increasing and had reached 56 Kandies and taking into consideration the long enjoyment of Veera-veerappa & others by the Dycommr as reported & and

and the alleged improvements said to have been effected by them to the lands, the Govt fixed the gutta at 56 Kandies for the future as agreed to by Chennaveerappa and directed that he should not be dispossed of the land. Thi KKabasavalingiah has appealed to Govt praying for a re-consideration of the foregoing orders. The villagers of Hadya also pray in their petition read above that the lease of the land may be sold by public auction and given to the highest bidder. ~~Sd~~

~~from the course of proceedings held by Court~~,

During the hearing of the case - the appellant Hirikka - basavalingiah alleged that Thimmaveerappa the present holder of the land, obtained the lease about the year 1896-7 when it was taken under Government management; that the land yields 100 to 120 Kandies of paddy annually that he himself prepared the dry land for wet cultivation and that the counter petitioner Thimmaveerappa did not outlay any money or labour on this account He and Jahagirdar Madappa said

that they were prepared to give a gutta of 65 Kandres per annum and to guarantee the same for ten years.

2. The Counter pet^{r} Veerasingam stated that he had been cultivating the land for more than 30 years since the time of his father who died 22 years ago; that he converted the land from dry into wet at a large outlay when the channel was brought to the village; that the land does not yield more than 65 Kandies a year; that the present dispute was the result of ill-

between himself and the petitioner including others in the village and that he was not prepared to enhance the gutta.

3. On a reference from this Office the Deputy Commr has submitted under cover of his letter of the 7th August last the Taluk records throwing light on the above points.

4. As regards the time when the present lessee Chennaveerappa actually came to the possession of the temple land it is now reported to Government that the exact time is not ascertainable; that

from the records of
enquiry held by the
Amildar in December
1896, it is evident that
Chennaveerappa was
then in possession of M/s
16 Kolagasi of the
inam land in question;
and that he said
that he had been
cultivating it for
the past 15 years, which
would take his possession
back to 1881, and
further that when
the lands were taken
under Govt management
in 1897, Chennaveerappa
was permitted to con-
tinue in possession
of the land on en-
hanced gutta.
7. Regarding the question
as to who converted
the dry land into
wet bearing the cost

and how long ago this was done, it is reported that there is nothing to show that either Edrahatti Hemmaveerappa or Basavalingiah lent out any money for converting the land from dry to wet, that the lands were wet at the time of the Survey Paham ~~Sooda~~ Sud (1883); that the Khetwar of 1873 shows that they were wet long anterior to the Survey when Hadya was part and parcel of Arkalgud Taluk and that there is no record of the Basaweswara inam lands ever having been dry except 32 guntas of S. No. 37

which became wet in 1892. It is further stated that from the records of enquiry before the Amildar in 1876, it appears that Veerannaveer appa had lent Rs 50/- along with other tenants to the Pujari which were laid out on the improvement of the land.

6. In regard to the question as to what average annual income is derived from the land, the then Amildar (Mr. N. Rama Rao) on Comparing the yield with the neighbouring lands similarly situated, has stated that the produce

on 9 acres, 15 guntas of the temple Inam land was 90 Kandies.

7. From the foregoing facts now brought to light, the Government observe that Edrahatti Thimmaveerappa has got the lands on too easy terms. He was a tenant of only 16 Kolagams of land originally and by a fluke got possession of the whole extent when the lands were taken over by Govt. It is therefore evident that his enjoyment as tenant of the whole of the land is of a very recent date. In these circumstances, the Govt do not approv

of the arrangement
under which his tenancy
was fixed in perpetuity
on easy gutta as ordered
in the Pro: of the
24th Novr 1903, read
above. There is much
bitter feeling in the
village on account
of this matter and
it is desirable to
put an end to it.
In modification of
the orders already
passed on the subject
the Government now
direct that Khema
-veerappa should
be dispossessed of
the lands which are
evidently most
valuable, though the
Amildar makes
a moderate estimate
of the out-turn

The current year's Kutta due from Channa Veerappa should be recovered from him & credited to the temple.

at 90 Kandies of paddy. The Govt are further pleased to direct that the inam lands in question should be thrown open to open competition after the present cultivation season, which will be to the best advantage of the temple and which will also be the best arrangement in the long run. The Deputy Commr is accordingly directed to take the needful action in the matter and to submit the sale records for confirmation by Govt as soon as possible.

To,

Dewan's orders

Dft

Secretary

W. Venkatasubbiah

encl

retg.

orders to peters

అంగీకారపత్రము

తంగళ్ళపల్లి వాస్తవ్యులగు కొఠారి వెంకటరంగయ్యగారు వ్రాసి
యిచ్చుకొనిన అంగీకారపత్రము. నిర్ధారమగు వెంకటలక్ష్మమ్మగారి
వివాహము సంబంధించి సంవత్సరం 1896 వ సంవత్సరం
ఆగష్టు నెల 15 వ తారీకున మాకు వ్రాసి యిచ్చిన
కాగితమేమనగా - మీ కుమార్తె వెంకటలక్ష్మమ్మను మా
కుమారుడు వెంకటరమణయ్యకు ఇచ్చి వివాహము
చేయుటకు మీరు నిశ్చయించినట్లు మీ కుమార్తె
వివాహమునకు ముందుగా మీరు మాకు ఇచ్చెదమని
ఒప్పుకొనిన కట్నము రూపాయలు 1116 లు
మీరు మాకు ఇచ్చినట్లు మేము ఒప్పుకొని
మీ కుమార్తె వివాహమునకు ముందుగా మీరు
మాకు ఇచ్చెదమని ఒప్పుకొనిన కట్నము
రూపాయలు 1116 లు మీరు మాకు ఇచ్చినట్లు
మేము ఒప్పుకొని మీ కుమార్తె వివాహమునకు
ముందుగా మీరు మాకు ఇచ్చెదమని ఒప్పుకొనిన
కట్నము రూపాయలు 1116 లు మీరు మాకు
ఇచ్చినట్లు మేము ఒప్పుకొని మీ కుమార్తె
వివాహమునకు ముందుగా మీరు మాకు
ఇచ్చెదమని ఒప్పుకొనిన కట్నము రూపాయలు
1116 లు మీరు మాకు ఇచ్చినట్లు మేము
ఒప్పుకొని మీ కుమార్తె వివాహమునకు
ముందుగా మీరు మాకు ఇచ్చెదమని
ఒప్పుకొనిన కట్నము రూపాయలు 1116 లు
మీరు మాకు ఇచ్చినట్లు మేము ఒప్పుకొని
మీ కుమార్తె వివాహమునకు ముందుగా
మీరు మాకు ఇచ్చెదమని ఒప్పుకొనిన
కట్నము రూపాయలు 1116 లు మీరు

Revised draft as per 2nd set orders

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No. 1624 Madras 3rd January 190 8.-

Received 6/1/08
Refer the file in the subject at once.

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department (Br: 1), Bangalore, for submission of papers in the case.

No 816 of 07-08.

By Order,

For *Private Secretary*
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.



May it please Your Highness

The under signed petitioner has been a permanent tenant of the Inam lands belonging to Sri Basaveswara swamy Temple of our Village He and his ancesters have spent considerable sum of money and trouble in converting these lands from dry to wet and have been cultivating these lands as permanent tenants paying gutta to the Inamdars who is the Poojari of the temple.

One Chika Basavalingia and some others who are theinvitrate enemies of the petitioner have been trying to oust me from the possession of the lands and have been making several attempts to do so irrespective of the ruin they are trying upon themselves and upon your humble petitioner.

Afterall these lands were in the possession and manage-ment of the Inamdar Poojari and they wereattached some IO years ago as temple needed rebuilding and are to be given back to the possession the Poojari after the purpose for which they wrere attached is accom-estimate has been prepared for about Rs I500 for the building already nearly 3000 Rs are in deposit out of the produce of the land now thereis really no reason to continue the attachment.

During temporary possession which Government has taker rary/[illegible]/ purpose the petitioners possession as tenant

After the fullest possible discussion and correspon-

ence Your Highness Government was pleased to confirm the petitioner's

ermanent tenancy as per their Muzroi Department Proceedings No 2465 A

dated 24th November 1905 and again this order was confirmed on appeal made

to Your Highness by the opposite party and in such a matter Your Highness

Government has been pleased to admit a review and have passed orders date

10-12-1907 No 2712 cancelling the former order confirming permanent ten

-cy

Your Highness humble petitioner makes bold to approach

Your Highness with this appeal with a view to draw Your Highness attention

to the fact that frequent changes of what are called final orders by

Government lead to the unsettling of the mind of Your Highness subjects,

May it please Your Highness to observe that the petitioner

was informed that his tenancy was confirmed and the appeal to Your Highness

with the opposite party was rejected and long afterwards this review is

admitted and a report was called for from the Taluk on a very highly dis-

ted matter and without notice to either party some report on a question

fact is received by Government and the former orders which had all the

arance of finality are cancelled and unhealthy competition is again

established.

The petitioner has been paying gutta at the rate of

Rupees per acre the maximum rate subsisting in these parts and again the

Government want the land to be leased by auction.

Under these circumstances Your Highness humble petitioner

respectfully begs Your Highness to be pleased to call for these

former order

records and after persual to be pleased to order to be restored.

Your Highness the petitioner further prays until the

disposal of this petition for an interim order staying further proceedings

in the matter of bringing the land to auction.

The petitioner respectfully

begs to remain

Your Highness most humble and loyal subject

26.12.1907

Note by the Nuzrai Secretary:-

Referring to His Highness' re[illegible] dated the 30th January 1906, I very respectfully beg to submit as follows:-

Flag W. The orders issued in Pro. No. 2433-4, dated 24th November 1905 were passed by the late Dewan and the late 2nd Councillor upon a consider-ation of the very unhealthy competition between two opposing parties and of the fact that it was not reasonable to expect more than 56 Khandies of gutta offered by the tenant in possession ~~viz~~, viz, Channaveerappa. The order in question was passed with the view of setting at rest the perpetual dispute between the two parties, and the man in actual possession was naturally preferred. The order merely stated that Channavirappa should not be disturbed in possession of the land if he paid 56 Khandies per annum and did not, in so many words, sanction his tenancy in perpetuity. The--- above said order came up before the present ---- Dewan and present 2nd Councillor in July 1906 when the aggrieved party complained of it and the --- decision passed in the order of the 24th November 1905 was upheld and the higher gutta of 60 --

No. 90, dated 7th July 1906
Slip Y.

Khandies offered by the then Petitioner Chikkabasavalingiah was rejected. Upon a further representation by the last named petitioner, both the parties were heard by the 2nd Councillor and further reports called for from the local authorities. The reports and records received thereupon showed a somewhat different state of facts from what had been previously before Government. The possession by Channaveerappa of the entire - lands in question was found to be only from the ear 1897 while he had been in possession of 16 olagas thereof from the year 1881, and it was al--so found that neither party had spent any large sum of money for converting the land from Dry to Wet as alleged. The annual yield of the land was reported to be 90 Khandies while it was reported on the former occasion that the gutta due therefrom was about 40 or 45 Khandis. All these facts ----- changed the aspect of the case and led to the issue of the order No. 2711, dated 10th December -- 1907 setting aside the order of November 1905.

I very much regret that the order of the 10th December 1907 which cancelled the order

order of November 1905 passed by the late Dewan was not submitted to H. H. the Maharaja before - issue. The omission was due to oversight and I am sorry for it.

Referring to para 3 of His Highness' orders, I respectfully beg to submit that the -- policy of Government in the Inam Department has been not to give out leases of temple lands in perpetuity, and in many instances recommendations made by Deputy Commissioners for granting perpetual leases were not approved, and periods of 20 years or so were fixed, as already explained -- above, the idea of giving out the lease in perpetuity in the present case was not contemplated, all that was intended being that the occupation should not be constantly disturbed by annual --- sales of the lease. After all, the lands in ---- question are under the temporary management of the Government, and the Pujari of the temple has been urging their restoration to him, but Government is putting it off until the temples are --- thoroughly repaired.

Referring to the query in para 4

of His Highness' order, it is respectfully submitted that the rates of Gutta are dependant upon local conditions and circumstances and that- where competetion exists, the parties concerned forego a great deal more than what they would do under ordinary circumstances. In the present instance as the Amildar reported that the yield of the land was about 90 Khandies and as one of the parties offered 65 Khandies, it was considered -- that 56 Khandies was easy and not justifiable," and that 65 Khandies was not too high," as it left a margin of 25 Khandies to the lessee.

Submitted to Dewan thro' the 2nd Councillor.

G Ananta Lama Rao

1st February 1908.

I have known cases in which $\frac{2}{3}$ of the produce is given as Gutta and sometimes even more. Where the ryot's population is in excess of the land available for cultivation the proportion of the Gutta

of His Highness' order, it is respectfully submitted that the rates of Gutta are dependant upon local conditions and circumstances and that--- where competetion exists, the parties concerned forego a great deal more than what they would do under ordinary circumstances. In the present instance as the Amildar reported that the yield of the land was about 90 Khandies and as one of the parties offered 65 Khandies, it was considered - that 56 Khandies was "easy" and "not justifiable", and that 65 Khandies was "not too high," as it left a margin of 25 Khandies to the lessee.

Submitted to Dewan thro' the 2nd Councillor.

G Ananda Rama Rao

1st February 1908.

I have known cases in which $\frac{2}{3}$ of the produce is given as Gutta and sometimes even more. Where the ryot's population is in excess of the land available for cultivation the proportion of the Gutta

is high. The rents lay great store on the straw and subsidiary products

H. M. Butterworth
1/2/08

(1/2/08)

7854
1.2.08

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Bangalore
2-2-08

My dear Sri,

The Dewan has directed me to ~~draw~~ write your attention to the passage marked in pencil at p. 2 of your note of the 18th inst on this file. The passage seems to require some alteration. The comparison should only be between the annual yield as influenced reputed and the annual

309

Bangalore
3d Feb: 1908

Dear Mr. Matthews

The difficulty pointed out by you in your D.O. of the 2nd Instant was felt by me also when the sentence in question was written. The Vernacular Records having been sent back to the Deputy Commissioner, I was not able to state what the yield of the land, as reported by the Amildar, was. It is not certain whether the Amildar had actually reported the yield. As the question at the time related to the Cutcha to be recovered, I noted at page 87 of the Bill Office note that "according

of the Guildar not more than 4½ or 5 Kandies per acre or about 40 Kandies in all was fairly due from the land".

The sense of the above remark has been given in my present Office Note.

Yours sincerely

[signature]

3/2/08

My dear Sir

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT



Dft as per H. H's order

2032

Endorsement granted to Yeduvhalle Chennaveerappa of Hadya Village in the Yedatore Taluk with reference to his review- memorial registered no. 1626/2148 dated 6th January 1908 addressed to H H the Maharaja praying for a re-consideration of the orders of Govt recently passed in regard to the lease of the Inam lands belonging to the Sri — Baseweswara Swamy temple at the place and praying that his enjoyment of the lands may not be disturbed.

~~for the continuance of his enjoyment of the lands~~

In reply, the memorialist is informed that ~~the Govt of~~ H H. the Maharaja ~~will not interfere in the matter. He are satisfied that the order~~ see any reason to re-consider Dept. Cror which was Ltr in W12 of ~~this case~~ date. memorial is accordingly rejected. The enclosures are herewith returned.

By order -
14/2/08
Secretary

T.O Copies

14/2/08 H. H's order

No. 01/(01)/11

Copy to the Dy. Comm^r,
Mysore District, in contn.
of Govt Secr. no. 2411
dated 10th December 1911

By order
Dy Secretary.
W 15/12/08.

1907-08

From
Mr. Kantaraj Urs Esq., B.A.
Dy. Commissioner
Mysore District.

To
L. Srinivasa Rao Esq., B.A.
Secy. to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, ~~Gen & Rev~~ Judl. Dept.

Dated 22nd February 1908

Despatched

Received

General No. 3512
No.

Enclosure No. 16 files

3437
25/2/08

V/ Above action ends
25/2/08

Subject

Submits for sanction the sale records relating to the Gutta of the lands endowed to the Sri Basaweswara Swami temple at Kadakola in the Yedatore Taluk

No. 557
Enc. No. 1 file

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore Camp 22nd February 1908.

To

L. Anantasawmi Rao Esq., B.A.
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
Huzur
~~GENERAL AND REVENUE~~ DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

As called for in para 9 of Govt. Progs: No 2711 dated 10th December 1907, I have the honor to submit herewith the sale records relating to the gutta of the lands endowed to the Sri Basaveswara Swami temple at Hadya in the yedatore Taluk received with the accompanying yadast no $\frac{103}{549}$ (without date) received on 18-2-1908 and to state that as recommended by both the amildar and the Sub Division officer, the bid offered by Basaviah alias appajiah viz 85 Kandies of Paddy for the year Kilaka may be accepted.

2. It will also be observed from the Amildar's report that a sum of Rs 170-0-0 has been deposited by the said Basaviah alias appajiah

appayiah subject to adjustment later on and that the former cultivator Yeduvahalli Chennaveeraappa has signed the sale list on 10.1.08 with the remark that as he has appealed to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore regarding the cultivation of the lands in question, he did not bid at the auction although he was present at that time.

3. As Basaviah alias Appajiah has to be put in possession of the land early orders are solicited in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commr
Mysore District

B.A.

No. 3883 Encl. 2

Government of H. H the Maharaja of Mysore

Muzrai Department

Dated Bangalore, 2nd February 1908

Endorsement granted to Yedurhatti Chennaveerappa of Hadya village in the Yedatore Taluk with reference to his Review Memorial Regd No. 1624/3748 dated 6th January 1908 addressed to H. H. the Maharja praying for a reconsideration of the orders of Government recently passed in regard to the lease of the Inam lands belonging to the Sri Basaweshvara Swamy temple at the place and praying that his enjoyment of the lands may not be disturbed

---

In reply, the Memorialist is informed that ~~the Government~~ H. H. the Maharaja does not see any reason to reconsider the order of the 10th December 1907 which was communicated to the Petitioner in Endorsement No. 2712 of the same dat The Memorialist is accordingly rejected. The enclosures are herewith returned.

By Order,

25/2/08

Secretary

Dft: as per orders

Inwards.

Read;

Govt. Crr. no 2711 Dy

10th December 1904 directing ~~amongst other things~~, that the lands endowed to the Sri-Baneswaraswamy temple at Hadya in the Yedatore Taluk hereafter should be thrown open to public competition.

Letter no. 352 dated 22d February 1908 from the Dy. Commr., Mysore Dist., submitting the Sale records relating to the patta of the atvesais lands.

O 4161-28/24.3.08
Enc: one file

Order thereon no. Dy.

In pursuance of the Govt. order of the 10th December 1904 read above, the Dy. Commr. submits the sale records relating to patta of the temple lands & reports that as recommended by both the Assistant

The Sub Divl Officer,
The bid offered by Basavial
alias Appajiah viz 85
Kandies of paddy for
the year Kilaka may
be accepted. He further states
that a sum of Rs 170/
has been deposited by
the said individual
subject to adjustment later
on. ~~That Officer further~~
~~states, that the former~~
~~cultivator~~ Chennaveerappa, the former cultivator
has signed the sale list
with the remark that
as he has appealed to
H. H. the Maharaja
on the subject, he did
not bid at the auction
although he was present
at that time. His appeal has since been rejected
by Govt.

2) ~~The Govt observed~~
~~that~~ the bid of 85 kandies
of paddy offered by Basviah

alias Appajiah is advantage
ous to the Sri Bakeswara
-swamy temple at Hadya
and the Gurukkals are accordingly pleased
to confirm it. The
Dy Commissioner is requested
to take the needful action in the
matter

Secs. order) 21/3/08
Secretary.

No, 4/9/1908
The Dy. Commr, Tumkur
Dist. returning the records.
The Cmptr, Mysore
Govt



766
18/8/08

Reply
V.S
Received Here

To
The Hon'ble the Secretary to
Government, Chingrai Department,
Bangalore

Submitted
Attorney
be granted
by 17/8/08

The undersigned begs that he may be
granted an authenticated copy of the
Post Proceedings Mugrai Rev 4161-2 dy 24-3-08
and he begs to submit herewith annas
eight in stamps and annas eight in
cash therefor

Application for
the copy is
enclosed

R.K. Ramaswamy Iyengar
Advocate Bangalore

17.8.08

No. 914 W/28.3.09

Encls. one Plaint
As 8. Separately.

Memo

With reference to his application No. 766, dated 18th August 1908 applying for a Copy of Govt Pro: No. 4161-2 dated 24th March as Mr. K. Ramaswami Iyengar, Advocate is requested to state why and for whom the Copy applied for is wanted.

2. The Court Fee Stamp of annas eight, together with ~~and~~ annas eight in Cash is returned herewith.

By Order,

DFK

CRB

for Secretary to Govt
Mr. Muzumdar Dept